

NAVAJO NATION JUDICIAL BRANCH

FISCAL YEAR 2021

SECOND QUARTER REPORT

January 1, 2021 – March 31, 2021 www.courts.navajo-nsn.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE	3
II. CONTACT PERSON	5
III. Vision, Mission and Strategic Goals	5
IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY	6
V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS	8
A. Administration	8
B. Human Resources ("HR")	9
C. Navajo Nation Integrated Justice Information Sharing Progject (NNIJISP)	11
D. Grants Administration	11
E. Information Technology	12
F. Probation & Parole Services	14
VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM	18
VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION	22
A. SUPREME COURT	22
B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	31
C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT	33
D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & Pueblo Pintado Court	33
E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT	36
F. DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT	37
G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT	38
H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	40
I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT	42
J. TO'HAJIILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT	43
K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT	47
L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT	49
VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION	51
IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA	53
X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES	58
XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION	64

I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE



Fiscal Year 2021 SECOND Quarterly Message

Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne (Aláájí Hashkééjí Nahat'á)

Yá'át'ééh, Shí Díné Doo Shíghéí, Ado Nihíí Nahatajíí Nataani Honorable 24th Navajo Nation Council Delegates, Doo Aláájí Hózhóójí Nahat'á Jonathan Nez, Doo Myron Lizer Akádó Dájizdahyígí, Doo distinguished guests. I respectfully present you the Hashkééjí Nahat'á (Judicial Branch) Second Quarterly Report for Fiscal Year 2021: Yasnilt'ees, thawing of snow (January); Atsabiyaazh, birth of Eagles (February); Woozhch'iid, first cry of Eagles (March).

The Judicial Branch was originally created as a separate branch by Navajo Nation Council Resolution in 1959. The separation became effective April 1, 1959 and codified under Title 7, Navajo Nation Code. 7 N.N.C.§ 201. Later, the Branch was re-established as a separate branch by the Navajo Nation Judicial Reform Act of 1985 by Navajo Nation Council Resolution.

The Judicial Branch is conscious of the need for independence and the obligation to protect the sovereignty of the Nation. A 2001 Navajo Nation Supreme Court Opinion addressed separation of governmental functions and judicial independence. The Court stated that, "Separation of functions is a concept that is so deeply-rooted in Navajo culture that it is accepted without question. It is essential to maintaining balance and harmony... If one branch oversteps its powers, and infringes on the role of another branch, the integrity of the government is ruined. In Navajo society, the integrity of the government is the key to its viability." *Tuba City Judicial District v. Sloan*, 8 Nav. R. 159, 167 (Nav. Sup. Ct. 2001).

An integral part of judicial independence is a full judiciary. With the addition of four (4) probationary Judges and one (1) Associate Justice in the last year, the Branch is moving towards a full judiciary to adjudicate cases at all district courts. Despite these additions, there remains six (6) judge vacancies. The Supreme Court had been without a third Justice for nearly a decade until a year ago with the addition of an Associate Justice. The Supreme Court now operates as statutorily required with a Chief Justice and two (2) Associate Justices. In fulfillment of statutes and Navajo culture, the principles and process of evaluations for probationary Judges and Justices commenced this quarter through transparent, objective means to improve performance of the judiciary's public duties. "Judges and justices are appointed officials exercising considerable authority over the most valued interests of Navajo people and institutions. They must be counted

upon to perform their duties according to the highest standards of personal and professional conduct." *Personnel Rules for Judges and Justices*.

The 2nd Quarter usually triggers fiscal year general funds budget preparations. District courts and programs have collaboratively prepared budget proposals for the 2022 fiscal year. Although there is separation of the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Branches, the Judicial Branch relies on the Legislative and the Executive Branches to approve adequate, sustainable funding, to avoid conditions of appropriation that threaten the Court's independence. Unlike the other branches we rely on our elected leaders to allocate and advocate for adequate funding.

On April 1, 2021, the Navajo Nation Courts reached their 62nd anniversary as an independent judiciary. Traditionally, the *dine'* had their own form of dispute resolution before a court system was in place. The *dine'* talked things out with leaders who were selected by community consensus – this process continues today through the Peacemaking Program. "In 2002, the Navajo Nation Council proclaimed that "the Dine' have always been guided and protected by the immutable laws provided by the Diyin, the Diyin Dine'e', Nahasdzaan, and Yadilhil; these laws have not only provided sanctuary for the Dine' Life Way but has guided, sustained and protected the Dine' as they journeyed upon and off the sacred lands upon which they were placed since time immemorial." CN-69-02, November 1, 2002

Within the confines of professional responsibilities, Judges are taught that Navajo thinking involves talking things out. "Baayati' (Talking Things Out). This method of dispute resolution is based on the traditional practices of the Dine'. Elders stress K'e because good relations are maintained by being respectful to your relatives and to all living things. With K'e and use of Nitsahakees, Nahat'a, Iina, and Sihasin, these help disputing parties communicate respectfully and effectively. Through Nistahakees, the parties become aware of a problem or dispute. With Nahat'a, the parties develop plans to resolve all issues. Iina reminds all parties of their maturity and experience in addressing disputes. With Sihasin, parties are hopeful for a successful outcome. When success is achieved, all parties walk in beauty before them, behind them, below them and above them. This is how harmony is restored." *Judicial Branch EPP*.

Respectfully,

JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice of the Navajo Nation

II. CONTACT PERSON

Honorable JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation Post Office Box 520

Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Telephone: (928) 871-7669 Fax: (928) 871-6866

Website: www.navajocourts.org

III. VISION, MISSION AND STRATEGIC GOALS

VISION

It is our vision that the present judicial system, consisting of an adversarial-style tribal court system modeled on Anglo courts, a peacemaking system modeled on Diné original dispute resolution methods, and Probation and Parole Services, will fully embody the values and processes of the Navajo People, including family and clan-centered Navajo values. Our justice system as a whole will truly reflect the heart and soul of the Diné. It will be one that the People can recognize as their own and fully participate in the spirit of nábináhaazláago.

MISSION

The Judicial Branch will provide stability in the Navajo Nation government by providing court, peacemaking, and probation and parole services, to adjudicate cases, resolve disputes, rehabilitate individuals and families, restore harmony, educate the public, agencies, services and other governments in Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, and protect persons and property pursuant to Navajo Nation laws, customs, traditions, and applicable federal laws. Pursuant to Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, the Judicial Branch will carefully develop a justice system that fully embodies the traditional values and processes of the Navajo People.

STRATEGIC GOALS

One: As the Navajo Nation court, peacemaking, and probation and parole system, we

will ensure the continued provision of efficient, fair, and respectful judicial services.

Two: We will ensure access to the judicial system by the public.

Three: We will address the infrastructure needed to maximize partnerships across

branches, agencies, and communities.

Four: We will develop a judicial system in accordance with Diné bi beenahaz' áanii that

fully incorporates Navajo values and processes.

Five: We will address facilities needs.

IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

P.O. Box 520 Window Rock, AZ 86515

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(928) 871-7669 / FAX (928) 871-6866

ADMINISTRATION

(928) 871-6762 / FAX (928) 871-6761

HUMAN RESOURCES

(928) 871-7023 / FAX (928) 871-6862

FISCAL SERVICES

(928) 871-7026 / FAX (928) 871-6761

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

(928) 871-6765 / FAX (928) 871-6761

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

P.O. Box 520 Window Rock, AZ 86515

WINDOW ROCK, AZ 60515

Lucinda A. Yellowhair, Chief Probation Officer

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

VACANT, Coordinator

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Hon. JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice

Hon. Eleanor Shirley, Associate Justice

Hon. Tina Tsinigine, Associate Justice

VACANT, Supreme Court Clerk

ALAMO / TO'HAJIILEE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamo Court P.O. Box 5458

Alamo, NM 87825

Alamo, NM 87825

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

To'hajiilee Court

P.O. Box 3101-A

Canoncito, NM 87026

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 320

Montezuma Creek, UT 84534

Hon. Irene S. Black, Judge

Bryan Parrish, Court Administrator

JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice

Stephen B. Etsitty, Administrative Director of the Courts

E-mail stephenbetsitty@navajo-nsn.gov

Cheron S. Watchman, Director of Human Resources

E-mail <u>cswatchman@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Yvonne A. Gorman, Financial Services Manager

E-mail vagorman@navajo-nsn.gov

Ben Mariano, Information Technology Manager

E-mail <u>benmariano@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Telephone (928) 871-6625

FAX (928) 871-7566

E-mail <u>lavellowhair@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Telephone (928) 871-6388

FAX (928) 871-6120

E-mail <u>rbitsuie@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Telephone (928) 871-6763

FAX (928) 871-7016

Telephone (575) 854-2668 or 854-2669

FAX (575) 854-2660

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (505) 908-2817 or 2818

FAX (505) 908-2819

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (435) 651-3545 FAX (435) 651-3546

E-mail irenesblack@navajo-nsn.gov E-mail <u>bryanparrish@navajo-nsn.gov</u> **CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

P.O. Box 547 District Court (928) 674-2070/2071 Chinle, AZ 86503

Family Court (928) 674-2084

FAX (928) 674-2089

Hon. Rudy I. Bedonie, Judge E-mail rudyibedonie@navajo-nsn.gov Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov Vanessa Mescal, Court Administrator E-mail vanessamescal@navajo-nsn.gov

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT AND PUEBLO PINTADO CIRCUIT COURT

P.O. Box 6 District/Family Court (505) 786-2072

Crownpoint, NM 87313 FAX (505) 786-2086

Hon. Leonard Livingston, Judge E-mail <u>leonardlivingston@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

Rhiannon Guerro, Acting Court Administrator E-mail rguerro@navajo-nsn.gov

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

District/Family Court (928) 657-8141,8142 HC 63 Box 787

FAX (928) 657-8146 Winslow, AZ 86047

Hon. Neomi Gilmore, Judge E-mail ngilmore@navajo-nsn.gov Darlene LaFrance, Court Administrator E-mail <u>dvlafrance@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 129 District/Family Court (928) 725-3781

Pinon, AZ 86510 FAX (928) 725-3786

Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge E-mail cvnthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov

Arlene Lee, Court Administrator E-mail <u>alee@navajo-nsn.gov</u>

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 2700 District Court (928) 697-5549 Kayenta, AZ 86033 Family Court (928) 697-5550

FAX (928) 697-5546

Hon. Letitia Stover, Judge Email: Istover@navajo-nsn.gov Lavonne K. Yazzie, Court Administrator E-mail lavonnevazzie@navajo-nsn.gov

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 309 Telephone (505) 775-3218 Ramah, NM 87321 FAX (505) 775-3399

Hon. Malcolm Laughing, Judge E-mail mlaughing@navajo-nsn.gov Esther Jose, Court Administrator E-mail estheriose@navajo-nsn.gov

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 1168 District Court (505) 368-1270 Shiprock, NM 87420 Family Court (505) 368-1287 FAX (505) 368-1288

Hon. Genevieve Woody, Judge E-mail genevievewoody@navajo-nsn.gov Ethel S. Laughing, Court Administrator E-mail ethellaughing@navajo-nsn.gov

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

District/Family Court (928) 283-3140 P.O. Box 725 FAX (928) 283-3158 Tuba City, AZ 86045 Hon. Victor J. Clyde, Judge E-mail vclyde@navajo-nsn.gov

Alice Huskie, Court Administrator E-mail alicehuskie@navajo-nsn.gov

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 5520 District Court (928) 871-6962/6984 Window Rock, AZ 86515 Family Court (928) 871-6471/7562 FAX (928) 871-7560

E-mail malcolmbegay@navajo-nsn.gov Hon. Malcolm Begay, Judge Verlena Hale, Court Administrator E-mail verlenahale@navajo-nsn.gov

V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

During the second quarter (January – March 2021) in FY 2021, the Administrative Office of the Courts ("AOC") completed the following:

A. ADMINISTRATION

Emergency Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

The Administrative Director of the Courts is the designated Continuity of Operation Plan ("COOP") Coordinator, and works with a designated team of executive and essential staff to implement the COOP for Judicial Branch operations during the public health emergency. The goal is to maintain essential services to uphold the courts' statutory responsibilities, to address emergency matters that come before the courts, and to conduct essential court-related functions, all while providing for safe working conditions. In order to keep personnel safe, the branch is now operating with a reduced, essential workforce that is telecommuting. The branch lacks telecommuting policies, procedures and tools. The actions taken since the beginning of March are to restrict all discretionary travel, and to prepare the essential workforce to do their jobs from their home locations, and to minimize the presence in the Judicial Branch facilities. Procurement of information technology devices and internet-based services is on-going in order for court management and essential court-related functions to continue.

Facilities and Closure/Devolution/Alternate Facility

*On December 30, 2020, the Crownpoint Court building was closed due to a COVID-19 exposure. The court did not have to devolve or utilize Alternative Facilities because the building was cleaned/disinfected on December 30, 2020; then it re-opened on January 4 2021.

*On January 28, 2021, the Tuba City Court building was closed due to a COVID-19 concern. It was re-opened for essential services after the building was cleaned and disinfected on that same day. There was a minimal interruption of essential services.

*On March 2, 2021, the Tuba City Court building was closed due to a COVID-19 concern. The court was cleaned and disinfected on that same day, and it was re-opened for essential services on March 3, 2021. During the closure period, Tuba City Judicial District facilitating filings and conducted hearings remotely using the telecommuting workforce.

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to continue the cleaning, disinfecting and decontamination services that were established by the Division of General Services, Facilities Maintenance Department, in coordination with the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOC). The contractors that were brought in to assist the Navajo Nation responded quickly to emergency exposures inside Navajo Nation facilities from COVID-19 virus, they did a very good job in a timely manner. The quick response times from the date of submittal of an FMD Work Order Request form, to scheduling a decontamination date and time, to the completion of the decontamination service and finally to re-entry into a clean building needs to remain in place for the duration of the Coronavirus Pandemic. The Judicial

Branch is appreciative to the employees of the Southern Solutions Environmental and the AM/PM Restoration Services companies for their diligent and thorough services.

Administrative Director facilitates weekly Branch Teleconferences to update all Business Unit managers and judges regarding COOP issues, health and safety information, and priority administrative issues e.g. procurement and deployment of IT equipment for telecommuting, FY 2022 Budget Development, the preliminary planning for the American Rescue Plan, Fiscal Recovery Funds, and to continue preparations and trainings for the return to Full Capacity.

B. Human Resources ("HR")

Recruitment

- 1. To fill vacant judge and staff positions, HR advertises on the Judicial Branch website, social media sites, local newspapers and radio stations, local/state organizations, and national tribal organization websites.
- 2. Judicial Branch staff also make one-on-one contacts regarding vacancies.

Selection during Second Quarter

- 1. HR Office filled five (5) positions
- 2. Five (5) individuals transitioned from 90-day introductory to regular status employees
- 3. One (1) employee retired
- 4. Three (3) employees resigned
- 5. One (1) employee was terminated

Though the Branch's offices are closed to the public, and there are no face-to-face meetings, during this quarter the HR office successfully recruited and filled five (5) vacancies. The Judicial Branch programs, judicial districts, and Supreme Court have engaged in tele- or video-conference interviews. Onboarding and training for new hires is conducted through virtual methods.

Training

The Judicial Branch received a one-time grant award from the Bureau of Indian Affairs through the P.L. 93-638 contract to meet the branch's training needs. The funds support a Training Manager position, this position was filled in February 2021 by Ms. Francine Bradley-Arthur, who brings a wealth of experience in training and court-related experience to the branch.

She completed an assessment of training needs through a branch-wide survey. In consultation with the Peacemaking Program, she developed a training to meet specific needs of one district, titled Generational Workplace. The objective was to inform the staff that though they may have different perspectives, work styles and work values, acknowledging these differences can build trust, thereby improving a judicial district's performance goals, and achieve greater harmony in the workplace. Ms. Bradley-Arthur also assumed the role of coordinating Health and Safety Trainings for the branch, due to the Health & Safety Advisor leaving the branch in March 2021.

During Contact Tracing consultations, the HR Office completes one-on-one training with each contacted employee on proper donning and doffing of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), reminders of Judicial Branch safety protocols and procedures, and Navajo Nation public health order requirements put in effect to mitigate the spread of the Coronavirus.

The training goals for third quarter will include:

- Completing mandatory trainings: sexual harassment and Judicial Branch employee policies and procedures.
- Developing a contract for active shooter refresher training.
- Coordinating "6-hour Trauma Care/Mass Casualty Triage" training for bailiffs.
- Coordinating "Verbal De-escalation & Crisis Communication" for front line staff.
- Coordinating judge/justice trainings for 2021.
- Assisting with branch trainings on re-opening the Judicial Branch.

Policy Development

The HR Office along with three staff attorneys continued to work on telecommuting, and special duty/hazardous duty/and holiday pay policies. In February 2021, the project was assigned to the Associate Attorney, a final product is being reviewed by the Judiciary.

Other

- 1. If there is a primary exposure or positive COVID-19 case among Judicial Branch employees, the HR Office uses the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC"), NAIHS, HCOC, NDOH and local health center guidance. If the exposure occurred in the workplace, HR conducts contact tracing. However, due to the availability of the COVID-19 vaccines, the exposures and positive cases have greatly diminished. The Judicial Branch's Health & Safety Advisor worked tirelessly with the NAIHS, NDOH and '638 hospitals and clinics to get our Judicial Branch staff vaccinated.
- 2. The HR Office provides customer service to applicants during the application, and recruitment and selection processes; and staff regarding employee policies and procedures. HR also assists supervisors with employee relations matters; completes bi-weekly timesheets on behalf of the branch; assists with closing and re-opening branch facilities exposed by the Coronavirus; and, assists management in other areas as assigned, i.e., American Rescue Plan development activities.
- 3. The Chief Justice has assigned the task of investigating complaints about judicial districts to the HR Director. These investigations are ongoing and in progress.
- 4. Because the Judicial Conduct Commission (JCC) does not have its own staff, some Judicial Branch staff assist JCC in fulfilling its duties and responsibilities, including the HR Director. This quarter the HR Director attended two JCC meetings.

C. NAVAJO NATION INTEGRATED JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING PROJECT (NNIJISP) Accomplishments

- 1. Monitoring the approved Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 NNIJISP Fixed Costs Budget of \$350,000. Monitoring expenses to procure computer equipment for the Administrative Offices of the Courts, Supreme Court, District Courts, and NNIJISP partners.
- 2. Compiling and preparing to submit FY 2022 NNIJISP Fixed Cost Preliminary Budget. Met with Paulson Chaco, Navajo Nation OPVP Chief of Staff to discuss NNIJISP Unmet Needs budget.
- 3. Assisted in configuring new laptops for Judicial Branch and Navajo Nation Office of Public Defender.
- 4. Assisted in procuring Xerox portable printers for employees to use during telecommuting, due to COVID-19.
- 5. Attended on-line meetings for public health awareness provided by Department of Health and Tse Hotsooi Medical Center.

Activities by NNIJISP System and Programming Manager

- 1. Provided quotes to purchase, computer equipment, printers, external drives, desktops, and laptops.
- 2. Compiled and completed NNIJISP Quarterly and Performance Criteria reports.
- 3. Completed computer software updates for 10 desktop computers assigned to district courts, including computers at Peacemaking Program, Supreme Court, and Administrative Offices of the Courts.
- 4. Completed 47 IT service requests for software support, software upgrades for desktop computers, installation of printers, hardware and software trouble shooting.

Objectives for the next quarter

- 1. Update task list for NNIJISP partners and establish a priority list that coincides with NNIJISP memorandum of understanding.
- 2. Coordinate NNIJISP meeting dates, agendas and scheduling.
- 3. Continue to participate in discussion to re-design Judicial Branch website.
- 4. Provide continued information technology support of JustWare, hardware and software for Judicial Branch.
- 5. Participate in public health presentation awareness, relating to COVID-19.
- 6. Complete NNIJISP Quarterly and Performance Criteria reports.

D. GRANTS ADMINISTRATION

Grants Management and Coordination:

- 1. Grants Administrator provided limited assistance with the Chief Justice's Evaluation Review Team.
- 2. Training provided to all Judicial Branch personnel on Road Map to Full Capacity procedures.
- 3. Assisted in expediting Professional Service Contracts for Process Servers to provide service of process for the District Courts.

4. Participated in the Senior Budget Analyst interviews.

Training:

- 1. Bureau of Justice Assistance and Just Grants Training
- 2. CTAS Webinar: Application Checklist and Final Q&A
- 3. Tribal Treatment Court Program Grant Submissions "Office Hours" Webinar
- 4. 2021 Crime Victim Services Program Planning Webinar for the NCAI Fund Victim Services Micro-grant Program.
- 5. COVID and the Courts.

Coordination

- 1. Trauma Informed Court practices coordinated with Judge Thompson, Judge Gilmore, Dilkon Staff Attorney on a proposal and project development.
- 2. CTAS 2021 grant planning and development in collaboration with other Navajo Nation programs.
- 3. Peacemaking Program proposal to BIA Tribal Justice Support for healing and prevention work for Missing and Murdered Dine' Relatives, victims and survivors.
- 4. Re-Entry grant planning and development with the American Parole and Probation Association and Judicial Branch Probation and Parole Services.

E. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Accomplishments of objectives set the previous quarter

Provided continual personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Alamo, To'Hajiilee, Dilkon, Dził Yijiin and Aneth courts.

Provided continual support for video conferencing communications for AOC, Tuba City, Dilkon, Ramah, Shiprock, Window Rock, Window Rock Detention, Supreme Court, Tuba City Detention, Kayenta, Aneth, Chinle and Crownpoint courts. Utilizing Skype for Business, WebEx and Zoom in some situations.

Provided System Administration support for the Journal Technologies JustWare computer software application for Navajo Nation Supreme Court; Shiprock Courts, Probation and Prosecutors; Dilkon Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Chinle Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Aneth Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; Kayenta Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Tuba City Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; Window Rock Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Dził Yijiin Courts; To'Hajilee Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Alamo Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Ramah Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; Ramah Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office.

Continued firmware and network monitoring of the Court's network infrastructure.

Attended meetings with Department of Information Technology ("DIT") and other Navajo Nation IT entities to address telecommunication issues caused by the COVID-19 pandemic Navajo Nation lockdown orders.

Deployed 230 laptops to Judicial Branch staff with docking stations, and UPS Batteries. Continued providing technical support for the districts for telecommuting. Laptops were verified that JTI JustWare, PAN GlobalProtect, Foxit Phantom PDF, and Skype For Business as working from external networks.

Deployed 124 Xerox B215 printers to Judicial Districts for staff who are telecommuting, who require printing and scanning capabilities.

Delivered 12 kiosks to the district courts to be placed in the courts' waiting areas for client access.

Assisted with deployment of network equipment to the district courts to replace old network equipment.

Initiated configurations for mobile Digital Recording systems for district courts.

Other significant accomplishments

Continued installing printers and scanners for Judicial Branch personnel for processing of court documents at the districts and for telecommuters.

Provided communications support between courts, prosecution, law enforcement and public defender for court services.

Provided support for CourtCall.com, an on-line service that provides court services via the internet.

Provided technical support for MiFi equipment for telecommuting Judicial Branch and Prosecutor's Office personnel.

Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter

To maintain personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Pueblo Pintado, Alamo, To'Hajiilee, Dilkon, Aneth and Dził Yijiin courts.

To continue limited support for the digital recorders, document archival computer systems and Skype For Business recordings.

To provide configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, To'Hajiilee, Aneth, Alamo, Ramah and Dził Yijiin courts, probation offices, and peacemaking offices.

To provide limited configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, To'Hajiilee, Alamo and Ramah prosecutor offices.

To provide continued support and training for JTI's JustWare application for all Navajo Nation Courts, Prosecutors, Peacemaking, Probation and Public Defender staff.

To continue to maintain JusticeWeb client access requests.

To continue providing assistance and configuration changes for the NTUA Fiber Optic Installation at the Judicial Districts.

To provide support for webpage modifications for www.courts.navajo-nsn.gov website.

To continue deployment and support of telecommuting equipment for Judicial Branch personnel. Kiosks, printers, portable digital recorders, laptops, dock systems, backup batteries, Skype for Business application, MS Office Pro 2019, Global Protect and computer system drivers.

F. PROBATION & PAROLE SERVICES ("PPS") Accomplishment of Objectives

Senior Probation Officers and Chief Probation Officer created and identified topics for the training curriculum. We conducted work sessions to discuss areas of annual training for probation staff. Upon completion of the training curriculum, we invited the new Training Manager and the Human Resource Office Director to our weekly PPS Round Table meeting to share and presented the training curriculum developed for the probation staff.

Chief Probation Officer (CPO) conducted a training on Quarterly Narrative Reports, and then conducted a follow up Question and Answer session with regards to the training with staff. Senior Probation Officers also provided their staff with one-on-one sessions, for those needing additional help with their report writing. Additional follow up is ongoing since then. Upon receiving the Second Quarter reports, there is an improvement and the reports have been submitted accordingly, and we have achieved successful outcomes.

In this quarter the Senior Probation Services and Office Technicians planned and scheduled to have all the items that were ordered, received and inventoried, sorted and delivered to each district. A limited number of PPS staff then set up the items that needed to be installed, mounted or set up in order to be utilized. Plexiglass barriers were installed in each office space that is frequently used. PPE's have been set up in areas where it is required and most used. Probation coordinated with all PPS staff to ensure all items are ready for use and PPE's available for use, in preparation for our future return to work. Staff and supervisors are to be commended for the preparations completed. We are now beginning the plans and preparations for the next order(s) with regards to funds from the American Rescue Plan Act.

In this quarter, all Probation staff continue to telecommute from their homes. PPS staff are fully equipped with necessary equipment to ensure they can work from home. All staff have

adjusted to working from home and are accountable for their time. They work closely with their supervisors and communicate on a consistent basis. The supervisors and the Chief Probation Officer meet on a weekly basis to continue operations, and to provide technical support where needed.

CPO is available daily to ensure PPS is operating on a consistent basis with all court referrals, changes or revisions of process to where it is acceptable by rules and procedures during this pandemic.

Other significant accomplishments

It has been one year since we have coordinated and arranged probation staff to telecommute from home due to the COVID-19 pandemic and per the administrative order 011-2022 and per CDC guidelines. Since then, probation staff has been adhering to numerous administrative orders to shelter at home unless identified as essential staff as identified in the administrative order. Probation staff have worked diligently and accordingly receiving court referrals and staying within caution to provide client services. It was challenging at first but today, they communicate and understand to work around caution. They have done a good job and are still working and doing a good job.

Thus leading into probation staff continuously work and manage their assigned cases and continue to find innovative avenues to work with their clients, they have adjusted. Probation staff expressed during their weekly reports that they too are learning from the current situation and how to work through barriers and find innovative ways to work with cooperating clients who are honest about fulfilling their court obligations. Senior Probation Officers continues working with their staff daily with case management, technical direct service support and training. Because of continuous court referrals to PPS, staff continue services daily, throughout the week.

The annual evaluation for all probation staff were completed and submitted by the deadline date set, February 26, 2021. The Senior Probation Officer did a good job. A side note that CPO has not been evaluated and she would like to be evaluated to have an idea by my supervisor of the management of the probation department during this pandemic and how he views her leadership skills.

The management team has been training monthly training for the staff. Probation Services has provided 3 training session this quarter. Training in the area of case management, report writing and Roadmap to Full Capacity.

Management PPS Team has also been working in work session to make revisions to the statistic form. Revision of the form is in the currently in the works and anticipate to implement the new form to staff to start using by the end of this quarter. There are additional in-house revisions for the intent of updates, workable documents during the pandemic times and plans when returning to the office in terms of supervision. Because of process changes, we meet weekly to ensure probation officers follow rules and procedures. This also requires meetings with staff attorneys for legal clarification. We find that because of constant thoughts and minor

changes, we are learning to adapt or adjust to changes on a daily basis. This requires frequent meetings with the Senior Probation Officers.

Another tasks of the PPS management team are working on updating the indigency form. This includes working with the Associate Attorney for legal clarification. We are working with one Court Administrator to make the revision.

Because of the telecommuting work from home and have to use Just Ware as their primary tool or method to exchange and generate documents to the Court and Prosecutor's Office. So continuous JustWare updates and work sessions are necessary. IT staff has been working with PPS during the past year and more so this quarter to make adjustments and further search various ways PPS can use JustWare to their benefit when working on case management task.

This quarter, the PPS management team strategized on training for PPS staff. We discussed various in-house training for staff. The intent is to keep probation officers updated and hear them out in terms of allowing us topics of interest they would like to see training provided to them. But because we have been taking note on the increase on court referrals in this quarter, staff have been focused on client supervision and a high increase in presentence reports. Presentence investigation take time, research and interviews via telephone does require more time.

An annual task that the CPO completes is the budget. Preparation for 2022 budget has begun and the 1st draft for Probation Services was submitted, and CPO attended budget meetings. This includes the supplemental budget for probation services.

Probation Services are experience a higher increase in presentence report (PSR) investigations. Because of the limited contact for interviews of clients and probation officers, all contact made by phone. It is challenging and because of barriers probation officers are expressing the stress of ensuring adequate information for a proper recommendation to the court for sentencing. CPO has heard out one district, Kayenta District where the probation officers are at the burn out stages because of the high increase of presentence report requests. Looking into the matter, CPO has found that there are up to 15 cases per Officer, which is considered high in addition to other probation related supervision. So, we were able to assist Kayenta PPS by requesting other neighboring districts to assist with report writing. This leads to suggest or recommend additional staff for probation. Specifically presentence report writers for each district. Because of increase of PSR requests, we have staff expressing stress and burn out issues. Again, we have coordinated report assignments to assist district with burn out situations. It needs to be kept in mind that during this pandemic that we need foremost the safety of staff in terms of physical and mental capacity the issues of work, home and family. Individuals, staff telecommuting from home has its challenges which causes unnecessary stress. High caseloads with limited access and limited work capacity does create stress, Courts do need to take into consideration to adhere to the administrative orders to only hear necessary essential statutory cases. The burn out by staff is concerning. We have coordinated case assignments and hopes this will alleviate the heavy requests by the Court.

One of the challenges of telecommuting from home is the accountability of ensuring staff are following rules and procedures. Accounting for cases supervised and ensuring proper direct

services. This thought leads to consistency of communication between management and staff. The purpose is to keep notes of consistency and ensuring accountability.

District Narratives

All (13) districts, staff of thirty are currently telecommuting from home. Probation Staff are equipped with their laptops, scanners, supplies and new printers. Cases are managed through the JustWare system.

All districts quarterly reports reflect the same information in terms of telecommuting from home and working with their clients through telephonic means. This also includes working with local resources that are open during this pandemic. All correspondence is channeled and communicated by phone or email. There are not in-person contacts or field visits.

Because of the shelter at home and the Nation's curfew laws, not very much can be initiated or able to work with clients. Abiding by curfew laws and continual reminders to their clients to remain sheltered at home is as much as probation officers are able to provide. There are cases where the courts are requesting for inpatient treatment recommendations via court orders and probation officers are using their resources to find facilities that are able and willing to take clients as inpatient stay. Statistics show there are four (4) inpatient treatment referrals. Case staffing for those who are in treatment facilities are communicated via telephone.

All districts are reporting minimal activity and referrals from the Court being that the COVID-19 cases are at an influx beginning of the holidays to current. However, PPS is seeing an increase in case referrals, but it differs from district to district. Probation Officers are not attending arraignment duties via Skype for Business or by telephone arrangements with the courts.

Cases are managed daily and updated accordingly. Probation Officers have done a great job working daily to ensure adequate case management services, and direct phone services for clients for proper supervision purposes.

The PPS management team has set aside date and time for continual training in the areas of supervision, case management, report writing, refresher course outlined in the PPS training curriculum that is being implemented.

We will continue to stay on the set schedule of telecommuting from home for the time being while staff shelter at home and make telephonic contacts to ensure compliance with court orders.

Staff have expressed concerns of burn out in various districts due to the high increase, numbers of pre-sentence reports requested and other short-term conditioned cases. Because of possible burn out and stress, the management team looked for various assistance for presentation and debriefing sessions for staff. We will continue to provide intervention-debriefing for staff that telecommute. Note to all, that working from home is very challenging and has its barriers. For staff who work from home, but who are also part of a statutorily required department, there remains the demands for meeting deadlines for reports. Telecommuting staff also may be

dealing with family issues during the pandemic, and having to carry the caseload can be difficult. We raise this matter to upper management and ask them to be cognizant of these trying times. Despite the challenges and barriers, Probation staff have succeeded in adapting and working through the limitations, to ensure proper statutory process.

Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter

- 1. To identify in-house training and Probation Academy training for new staff.
- 2. To conduct weekly PPS Round Table meeting to prepare, strategize and plan.
- 3. To continue working with SRPOS, OT's and AOC to identify needs and expend funds for return to office.
- 4. To initiate preparation for the American Rescue Plan funds for PPS.
- 5. Chief Probation Officer remain available daily to listen, strategize, support, and address the needs of PPS staff. CPO will continue to voice, advocate, support the needs of PPS during this pandemic time.

VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

Accomplishment of objectives set the previous quarter

The Peacemaking Program delivered on program performance goals during the second quarter, as we continue making Navajo traditional cultural problem resolution and other services available to the Navajo people. Some program positions have become vacant by retirement. The Traditional Dine' Researcher position has been filled, and his training is ongoing.

Events this quarter have highlighted the critical importance of preserving and utilizing traditional, culturally relevant methods in conflict resolution, policy formation, and cultural events. Through the pandemic, Peacemaking continues to engage and expand on our broader responsibilities of providing services to other agencies, Branches of government, and the Navajo Nation through policy engagement.

Digital Readiness. Peacemaking program has been challenged from the onset of the pandemic as staff adjusted to providing essential services, sometimes through their own means. With the CARES Act funding the Peacemaking program was able to secure cell phones for each Traditional Program Specialists and support staff to mitigate the virus by being more accessible for direct services.

Although technology reduces the spread of Coronavirus, for Peacemaking it has become a lifeline that helps make our society more resilient during lock downs and quarantines. Incorporating technology to provide direct services has reduced in-person interactions, Facial recognition of emotions, and being understood made us realize how heavily we rely on human interactions to make things work. In some cases, this creates disparity and inequality in service.

While internet requirements expanded overnight across the Navajo Nation, the importance of digital readiness has been profound for Peacemaking, as staff have absorbed certain levels of tech literacy to navigate good internet connectivity through the use of cell phones, WiFis, and hot spots, with some successes on delivering services to families, students, and communities.

To continue to have cell phones as an effective outreach tool is a much-needed resource, however, our program requires support such as efficient data plans and efficient access to internet requirements in order to remotely access Judicial Branch JustWare data.

Youth Services. Understanding virtual learning is also a challenge for Peacemaking staff. Closing the divide that was present previous to the pandemic is now amplified, as program staff reach out to students to complete the last hurdles of the school year. The majority of students will return to public schools. Peacemaking will continue our Cyber school support should students be required to be vaccinated prior to start of the 2021-2022 school year. We must prepare to not leave those educationally disadvantaged behind. Traditional Program Specialists guide students through a discussion of our values of respect for self and others, self-reliance, community obligations, Clan, K'e, and the importance of family, as well as Navajo history and culture.

Peacemaking Sessions. Peacemaking accomplishes this mission in several ways. First, the program provides traditional conflict resolution services, called Peacemaking Sessions. Peacemaking has different processes, methods, participants, and goals. While it is an alternative to Anglo-style litigation that takes place in Navajo Courts, it is not borrowed from Anglo-style dispute resolution. These services are provided by employees who are Traditional Program Specialists, and by non-employee Community-based Peacemakers, selected from each Judicial District.

Dine' Research. Peacemaking staff provides research and replies to questions about Dine' cultural solutions for judges, Probation and Parole, law enforcement, and other agencies. Dine' Traditional Researcher brings to the program his experience in digital movies and videos to enhance the traditional library and collaborated a "human-centered" approach to produce a training video for the Judicial Branch Human Resources Office on traditional work ethics. Dine' Researcher provides staff well-being support teachings in balancing and integrating new capabilities into teaching methods understanding connectivity convenience to proper traditional etiquette as not to exacerbate an erosion and loss of culture.

Prevention and Intervention. Peacemaking Program also provides Prevention and Intervention Services. Prevention focuses on culturally-based education for youth and adults, to highlight Navajo traditional values of family, self-respect and responsibility, community service, Clan, and K'e. Information is provided to young people, community groups, school administrators and teachers, program staff from other agencies, Probation and Parole Services, and non-Navajo government entities. Intervention is used when Peacemaking Sessions or other Peacemaking services might prevent school discipline or law enforcement involvement. Some intervention services are provided through telework. Other services include individual or group Life Value Engagement, a focused discussion about how Navajo traditional values can be implemented to reduce conflict and seek Hozho.

Policy Collaboration. Peacemaking is also involved in policy collaboration and program development with other agencies. The Dine' Action Plan is going through revisits and updates. The Missing/Murdered Dine' Relatives (MMDR) initiative has been successful by producing a project proposal and grant application, and we look forward to positive result in an award of grant funds.

Performance Indicators. In spite of pandemic limitations, the Peacemaking Program met or exceeded all of its quarterly performance criteria goals. Changing some of our work methods include navigating on-line telephonic staff meetings and trainings for the Road Map to Full Capacity.

Cross Training. Four Office Technicians who perform support services to Traditional Program Specialists have initiated their own cross training review efficiency, timesheet, weekly work reports, budget, 6B roles were modified to dual roles; Requisitioner and Receiver. This streamlines more concise inventory of office supplies, PPE distribution to the twelve district program offices.

Community-based Peacemakers. Peacemakers have had their share of COVID-19 related implications. Peacemaking Program suffers the loss of well-known and respected Peacemaker. Our condolences are shared with many families whose matriarchs and patriarchs made untimely departures. This significant loss of knowledge and wisdom are inherited traditional traits or acquired skill, Peacemakers are chosen from their communities who are known for their traditional knowledge, wisdom, and ethics of a life time journey. Skills in restoring harmony could be: K'ézhdindzin; k'é hoł bééhózin; hodine'é K'é bijiní; K'é bee yájíłti'; K'é bee ałhééhojoolziįh; Hoł'ílí; diné hoł nilí; bee nijilnishígíí hoł nilí; bee ándahazt'i'ígíí hoł nilí; Hozhdísin; tsodizin bee hozhdísin; diné jidisin; hanaanish jidísin; saad bee hozhdísin, Ałheełt'éigo diné baan tsíjíkees; Hazhó'ó Diné bich'į' yájíłti'.

Peacemaking uses the Dine' tradition of bringing everyone affected by a problem together to determine what would restore the participants to a state of hozho. This methodology can be applied to matters before the Courts, but also to interagency and intergovernmental issues, community problems, and policy questions. Peacemaking's potential is to bring Dine' cultural practices to more aspects of Navajo life, and by doing so to strengthen our culture and our sovereignty.

ADVANCE PRIORITIES OF NAVAJO NATION COUNCIL and Law and Order Committee

Peacemaking staff focus their work to meet the priorities of the Navajo Nation Council and the Law and Order Committee. Each judicial district has some variations in how these priorities are met, because of the different needs of communities within the districts.

OBJECTIVES TO BE ACCOMPLISHED IN THE NEXT YEAR

- 1. Work with Chief Justice and others to advance Peacemaking as a traditionally based justice system.
- 2. Develop detailed work plan, performance measurements, budget tracking and other steps necessary to implement the new grant and continue our focus on youth services.
- 3. Address need for greater conformity and protocols for case management practices.

- 4. Improve relationships with judges, educators, and service providers in order to better utilize Peacemaking services.
- 5. Continue to provide education regarding Fundamental Law and traditional education.
- 6. Continue to coordinate trainings for Peacemakers, such as the Peacemaker Orientation and for Judicial Branch employees and community members.

VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION

A. SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court Caseload Statistics

ourt Ca	Q: :1	d Statistics				
a.	(1)	Cases Filed	<u>Jan.</u>	Feb.	Mar.	<u>Total</u>
		-Certified Question 0 -Child Custody 0 -Contract 1 -Decedent Estate 0 -Domestic Relations 2 -Elections 1 -Employment/Labor 2 -Ethics 0 -Grazing 0 -Land Dispute 0 -Probate 1 -Torts 0 -Writs 3 -Reconsiderations 0	2	2	6	10
	(2)	Cases Completed	0	7	8	15
	(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0
	(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:	Ü	Ü	Ü	Ü
	(- /	Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
		Orders	0	5	6	11
		Opinions	0	1	1	2
b.	Crim	inal				
			<u>Jan.</u>	Feb.	Mar.	<u>Total</u>
	(1)	Cases Filed	0	0	0	0
	(2)	Cases Completed	0	0	0	0
	(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0
	(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:				
		(a) Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
		(b) Orders	0	1	0	1
		(c) Opinions	0	0	0	0
		· / 1				
c.	Nava	jo Nation Bar Association	Lon	Eak	Man	Total
	(1)	Coses Filed	<u>Jan.</u>	Feb.	Mar.	<u>Total</u>
	(1)	Cases Filed	0	0	0	0
	(2)	Cases Completed	0	0	0	0
	(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0

(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter: (a)Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
	(d) Orders(e) Opinions	0 0	0 0	0 0	$0 \\ 0$

d. Special Proceedings

		<u>Jan.</u>	<u>Feb.</u>	<u>Mar.</u>	<u>Total</u>
(1)	Cases Filed	0	0	0	0
(2)	Cases Completed	0	0	0	0
(3)	Hearings Held	0	0	0	0
(4)	Total Decisions this Quarter:				
	(a)Memorandum Decision	0	0	0	0
	(f) Orders	0	0	1	1
	· /	U	U	1	1
	(g) Opinions	0	0	0	0

e. Summary of <u>all</u> cases on appeal

(1) Brought Forward: 73

(2) Filed: 10

(3) Reconsiderations: 0

(4) Closed: 15 (5) Pending: 68

Pending	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	total
cases										
Civil	1	0	0	4	13	20	13	9	10	70
Criminal	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
NNBA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Special proceeding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	4	14	20	13	9	12	73

2. Motions Reviews and Decided:

Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Total
0	7	8	15

- 3. Oral Arguments/Hearings Held: <u>0</u>4. Pro Bono and Appointments:

	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Total
Tuba City/Kayenta/Dilkon	1	18	28	47
Window Rock/Chinle/Dzil Yijiin	4	22	27	53
Crownpoint/Shiprock/Aneth	1	4	2	7
Ramah/Alamo/To'hajiilee	4	5	11	20
Total	10	49	68	127

5. Navajo Reporter

There were no sales of the Navajo Reporter in the first quarter. There were \$1,242.20 in sales of the Navajo Reporter in the second quarter.

Supreme Court and Judicial Branch Meetings

Chief Justice Jayne, Associate Justice Shirley Associate Justice Tsinigine and legal staff met virtually for Supreme Court meetings to review and discuss pending cases on January 29, February 18, February 24, March 12, March 15, and March 19, 2021.

The Judicial Conference is composed of 11 Judges and 3 Justices who set policy and advise the Chief Justice in matters concerning the administrative functions and policy development of the courts. A quarterly conference was held on March 30, 2021. Judge requests for salary increases commensurate to duties, caseload, and 6 judge vacancies were topics for discussion. Administrative staff presented data on the American Rescue Plan for funding and requested jurists for budgets. Staff presented the transition components of the Roadmap to Full Capacity plan for future, safety re-entry of staff and public.

A Special Judicial Conference was held on February 26, 2021. Items discussed were proposed revisions to the Employee Personnel Policies Manual dealing with special duty pay, hazard pay, and telecommuting. The provisions were referred to our Associate Attorney to conduct research on applicable federal and Navajo Nation laws to ensure that we were in conformance with them. Another Special Judicial Conference is scheduled in April is discuss this matter further.

Supreme Court and Office of Chief Justice staff attended Judicial Branch Weekly Teleconferences where information is shared regarding COVID-19 safety protocols, court operations, building use, telework operations, and budget overviews.

Supreme Court, Office of Chief Justice, and Pro Bono Services Office held staff meetings on January 25, 2021; February 25, 2021; March 25, 2021. Discussions were held on updates from staff; staff assignments; and preparation for entering Phase 1 of the Roadmap to Full Capacity. Staff of the Supreme Court and Administrative Office of the Courts met to discuss Navajo Reporter sales and expenditures, and duties and responsibilities in financial reconciliations on

January 22, 2021. Staff met to discuss the need for a Court Administrator for the Supreme Court on March 8, March 12, and March 15, 2021.

Chief Justice and staff renewed discussion of the sale and update of the Navajo Reporter for future volumes. The Navajo Reporter, Volumes 1-9, contain official reports of cases argued and decided in the former Navajo Nation Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court, and selected cases by district courts. The first case published in Volume 1 was a June 27, 1969 case decided by the Court of Appeals. The first two (2) cases by the Supreme Court was published in Volume 5 for a December 23, 1985 decision. The last Supreme Court case published in Volume 9 is a December 20, 2012 Opinion. Requests for paper publication of Opinions after 2012 continue to occur. The Judicial Branch website, www.courts.navajo.nsn-gov, lists Opinions from 2013 to 2020.

Government Relations Officer and Judicial Staff Assistant attended the Court Administrators Meetings on February 4, 2021 and March 4, 2021. The FY22 budget development was the center of discussion.

Supreme Court and Administrative Office of the Courts Information Technology staff have commenced planning for the re-design of the Judicial Branch website at the direction of Chief Justice. The team is currently soliciting input from the judicial districts and programs in answering key questions that will guide the planning process. The re-design is necessary to make the website more accessible to the public, especially during the public health emergency where in-person contact has been limited and most meetings and hearings are being held through telephone and video means.

The Navajo Nation Cyber Team invited Chief Justice Jayne to a Navajo Nation Broadband Middle-Mile Strategic Plan presentation in February, 2021. The plan will deliver high-speed fiber-based telecommunications across the Nation. The Cyber Team presented a mapping strategy of data sets and an analysis of the building of a Navajo Network. Judicial Branch Information Technology staff are on the Cyber Team. The Branch will greatly benefit from this initiative. The NN Judicial Branch's website is a target for re-design by staff for greater understanding, access, and easier public use.

Government Relations Officer is part of the team assisting the Dilkon Judicial District in its effort to implement trauma-informed court practices utilizing Navajo cultural teachings. The Dilkon and Dził Yijiin Judicial Districts are planning to submit a grant application as part of the U.S. Department of Justice, Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation to implement such practices within the respective courts for dependency cases involving substance abuse. The team has met with the Tribal Law and Policy Institute on this initiative as it relates to restorative justice.

Associate Attorney reviewed proposed drafts for multiple employment policy amendments. She researched Navajo and federal law concerning tax implications, workers' compensation requirements, external funding implications and processes and Title 2 requirements. From this review and legal research, she redrafted separate policy amendments as well as procedures and a potential fiscal impact using baseline figures to provide leadership with critical information for their decision-making process. She met with all district courts, Peacemaking, AOC and

Probation and Parole programs as well as the Supreme Court to begin the process of redrafting the documents. Using these client meetings to delve into critical areas to be addressed, she redeveloped the policies and their respective procedures and/or agreements to address client concerns and questions.

In conjunction with multiple staff throughout the Branch, Associate Attorney advised on procurement statutory and regulatory requirements, reviewed numerous contracts and grant proposals, and began developing training protocols for procurement issues as well as a best practices manual to be utilized by the Branch staff after receiving training. She began revisions of Navajo Nation Privacy and Access to Information Act training system and 164 process solely for the Judicial Branch pursuant to various laws and regulations.

Associate Attorney spent significant time on following up on settlement funding legislation previously drafted. This has included ensuring the Judicial Branch's intent for the funding is heard by the legislative and executive branches and redeveloping proposed amendments to ensure the legality of the proposed legislation.

Justice and Judge Vacancy

The Navajo Nation has six (6) vacant Judicial District Judge positions. Recruiting efforts are ongoing and paramount for the Branch.

Judicial Hearing Officer Vacancy

Two (2) hearing officers were added to the Judicial Branch this quarter, one new position and refilling of a vacated position. A Judicial Hearing Officer presides over hearings and dispositions involving Domestic Abuse Protection Act and Alchinii Bi Beehazannii Act occurring within the jurisdiction of the District Courts. The Judicial Branch has three (3) federally funded positions.

Evaluations

The Office of Chief Justice, under direction of Chief Justice, commenced evaluation processes for 4 probationary judges and 1 probationary Associate Justice during this quarter. Judges and Associate Justices are evaluated during a probationary period within the Judicial Branch by a panel composed of the Chief Justice, a member of the Navajo Nation Bar Association, a Navajo Nation district court judge, and a member of the Law and Order Committee of the Navajo Nation Council.

Performance evaluations were also completed for non-judiciary staff who are supervised by Chief Justice, Associate Justice and Court Solicitor. Furthermore, Associate Justice Shirley participated in the performance evaluation process for Chief Justice Jayne.

Oath of Office

On January 4, 2021, Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley administered an oath of office to Coconino County Supervisor Ms. Lena Fowler, and participated in the County Swearing-In Ceremony by virtual appearance.

Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley administered three virtual swearing in of Navajo Nation elected officials. The first on January 6 at 10:00am, the second at 1:00pm, and the third on March 4, 2021. She administered the oath to the Navajo Nation elected officials consisting of Chapter Presidents, Chapter Vice-Presidents, Chapter Secretary-Treasurers, Grazing Officials, Farm Board Members, Chapter Commissioners, and Election Board of Supervisors.

Navajo Nation Government

Chief Justice Jayne is a member of the Retirement Plan Administration Committee (RPAC) of the Navajo Nation who attended meetings this quarter. RPAC had meetings in January, February, and March, 2021. On February 24, 2021, the Committee recommended to the Budget and Finance Committee an Amendment and Restatement of Retirement Plan to implement provisions of an Enhanced Retirement Plan. The RPAC meets monthly to address Navajo Nation employee retirement plans, and considers participants for deferred compensation plan administration for political appointees and council delegates.

The Judicial Branch had two important pieces of legislation introduced into the legislative system by Law and Order Committee members. The first, introduced by LOC Vice Chairman Otto Tso, was to approve funding from the Judicial/Public Safety Fund to the Window Rock Judicial District for purchase of a modular building. The Window Rock District Court has been operating out of the Administrative Office of the Courts since mid-2018, a dangerous situation for District Court and Administrative Office of the Courts staff due to lack of space to adhere to social distance requirement to prevent the spread of COVID-19. The legislation was approved March 15, 2021, by the Law and Order Committee through LOCMA-01-21. Second, LOC Chairwoman Eugenia Charles-Newton introduced Legislation No. 0011-21 to establish a Judicial Branch Fund for the funds received from the Navajo Nation v. U.S. Department of Interior case. This legislation has passed the Law and Order Committee, Budget and Finance Committee, and the Naabik'iyáti' Committee and is now awaiting action by the Navajo Nation Council. The Judicial Branch thanks all our oversight committee members for advocating on our behalf.

Chief Justice and staff have commenced planning for development of a proposal for the Judicial Branch to utilize anticipated funding from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Fiscal Recovery Fund for the Branch's short term, midterm, and long-term goals as they relate to mitigation and recovery from the effects of COVID-19. Chief Justice presented to the Naabik'iyáti Committee during the nat'áanii meeting held March 29, 2021 and has been meeting with Branch staff to prepare a proposal for consideration by leadership once it is determined what the Navajo Nation will receive.

Judicial Branch staff prepared a budget seeking supplemental funding for the Branch. This proposed budget was included in legislation to amend the Fiscal Year 2021 Comprehensive Budget by the Navajo Nation Council. However, the Branch's supplemental appropriation was line item vetoed.

Chief Justice JoAnn B. Jayne delivered the quarterly message on the state of the Navajo Nation Judicial Branch on January 26, 2021 during the Navajo Nation Council Winter Session. Chief Justice summarized the Branch's essential services during the Pandemic to virtual filings and

virtual hearings. Doors to Branch facilities are physically closed to the public until further notice. The Branch is using a continuity of operations plan (COOP), and a Roadmap to Full Capacity strategic plan to assess essential services and eventual, phase-based, safe reentry into Branch facilities by staff, and eventually for the public.

Chief Justice, Government Relations Officer and the Administrative Director have begun meeting with the Division of Public Safety and Department of Corrections to update the Judicial/Public Safety Priority List required as part of the judicial/public safety fund management plan. They have met to discuss the facilities' needs of both the Branch and the Division.

The inter-branch effort to develop and adopt a Diné Action Plan that coordinates response to the Navajo Nation's biggest challenges has resumed between the three branches. The Grants Administrator, Peacemaking Program and Government Relations Officer are attending meetings with Executive and Legislative Branches to update the Plan, in preparation for it to be submitted to the legislative process for approval and adoption. The Plan identifies the biggest challenges of the Navajo Nation to be suicide, violence, and substance abuse. The work on this document began in 2015 and continues to this day.

Government Relations Officer attended via teleconference or online platforms the Naabik'iyáti' Committee nat'áanii meeting on March 29, 2021, where a presentation by Holland and Knight was given to provide information on the American Rescue Plan Act to Navajo Nation leadership. She also attended the following meetings of committees, commissions, subcommittees and other bodies of the Legislative Branch: Navajo Nation Council special session on March 26, 2021; Law & Order Committee and Resources & Development Committee joint work session on March 23, 2021; State Task Force Subcommittee on March 22, 2021; Law and Order Committee on March 22, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on March 18, 2021; Sexual Assault Prevention Subcommittee on March 19, 2021; Health, Education and Human Services Committee on March 17, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on March 16,2021; Resources and Development Committee on March 15, 2021; Law and Order Committee on March 15, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on March 11, 2021; Health, Education and Human Services Committee on March 10, 2021; Law and Order Committee on March 8, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on March 5, 2021; Human Rights Commission on March 5, 2021; Title 2 Subcommittee on March 1, 2021; Navajo Nation Council special session February 26, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on February 25, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee work session on February 23, 2021; Law and Order Committee on February 22, 2021; Sexual Assault Prevention Subcommittee on February 19, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on February 16, 2021; Navajo Nation Council special session February 12, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on February 11, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on February 9, 2021; Law and Order Committee on February 8, 2021; Law and Order Committee and Health, Education and Human Services Committee joint meeting on February 2, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on February 2, 2021; Commission on Navajo Government Development on February 1, 2021; Navajo Nation Council Winter Session January 25-29, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on January 22, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on January 21, 2021; Sexual Assault Subcommittee on January 15, 2021; Law and Order Committee on January 19, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on January 19, 2021; Naabik'iyáti' Committee on January 14, 2021; Law and Order Committee on January 11, 2021;

State Task Force on January 8, 2021; Budget and Finance Committee on January 5, 2021; Title 2 Subcommittee on January 4, 2021; and Law and Order Committee on January 4, 2021.

Government Relations Officer listened to Office of the President and Vice President Town Hall meetings throughout the quarter to gain information on the status of the Navajo Nation and updates on the public health emergency.

<u>Intergovernmental Meetings</u>

Chief Justice, Government Relations Officer, Grants Administrator and Peacemaking Program participated in consultation meetings with the federal Government Accountability Office on the issue of Missing and Murdered Indigenous People. Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne and Elaine Henderson of the Peacemaking Program each provided a statement on March 2, 2021. Judicial Branch staff also attended the GAO consultation meetings with other Navajo Nation offices and programs. These meetings were organized by the Navajo Nation Washington Office. The GAO is expected to generate a report for Congress on this issue after consultation with multiple tribes.

Government Relations Officer listened to the federal Treasury Department's tribal consultation on March 31, 2021. The consultation was for tribal leaders to provide recommendations on the funding formula for ARPA Funds to tribes. President Jonathan Nez provided his recommendations to utilize criteria that includes population, land base, number of employees and effects of COVID-19. The Government Relations Officer also attended tribal consultation by Treasury Department on March 18, 2021, on the CARES Act. This tribal consultation gave tribes the opportunity to comment on the use of the formula that was utilized for distributing funds from the CARES Act.

On January 13, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended 26th annual Indian Nations and Tribes Legislative Day for the state of Arizona via teleconference.

Government Relations Officer attended Utah Tribal Leaders Caucus on February 24, 2021, and the Utah Tribal Leaders meeting via teleconference on February 25, 2021. During the Caucus, there were presentations on the Ashlynn Mike Amber Alert, Ancestral Winds program, Violence Against Women Act reauthorization and Utah Indian Child Welfare Act. The Utah Division of Indian Affairs provides updates to tribal leaders and invites other state programs to also provide information during the Tribal Leaders meetings.

Government Relations Officer attended Judge Victor Clyde's retirement event at the Superior Court in St. Johns, Arizona, on January 21, 2021, via teleconference.

Public Events

On February 5, 2021, Associate Justice Shirley and Government Relations Officer attended the memorial service for President Albert Hale at the Navajo Nation Veterans Memorial Park. Associate Justice Shirley provided remarks and condolences on behalf of the Judicial Branch.

Chief Justice Jayne participated in the Navajo Nation Day of Prayer on March 19, 2021, to pray for the safety of our Navajo people and for those who are grieving the loss of loved ones due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Chief Justice Jayne, President Jonathan Nez, Vice-President Myron

Lizer and Speaker Seth Damon also signed the Navajo Nation Proclamation proclaiming March 19 a Day of Prayer.

Public Information

Government Relations Officer worked with the Department of Information Technology and the Judicial Branch Information Technology Office to migrate the Judicial Branch website to the Navajo Nation network. The website's domain name was put up for sale when the Branch was not able to make payment for it due to ownership tied to a former employee. Due to the problem, we met with the Navajo Nation DIT to get a new domain name and went live in early January with the new domain name. Having the domain name on the Navajo Nation network eliminates the challenges with an outside host.

Government Relations Officer releases public service announcements and press releases, as necessary. She also released the Judicial Branch newsletter on March 9, 2021.

Government Relations Officer updated the Navajo Courts website, as necessary.

Other Meetings

On February 9, 2021 and March 9, 2021, Judicial Branch representatives to the Employee Housing Committee attended meetings by teleconference to address housing assignments and needs.

Educational Presentations

On March 3, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended a webinar on tribal consultation requirements at the federal level. The webinar was hosted by the National Congress of American Indians and included much information on the history of consultation and what is being asked for under the new Presidential administration.

Government Relations Officer attended a webinar on the federal Office of Management and Budget tribal consultation conducted by National Congress of American Indians on March 30, 2021.

Government Relations Officer attended "NAFOA Tribal Leader Covid-19 Town Hall: \$1.9 T Reconciliation Package" for a presentation on the American Rescue Plan Act on February 12, 2021.

On March 9, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended webinar on "New Changes to the Tribal Governments Solicitation This Year" by the Office of Violence Against Women's Tribal Affairs Division to learn about the changes made to the grant application process, whereby Judicial Branch applies for various grants under the Coordinated Tribal Assistance Solicitation.

On February 8, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended the Arizona Information Officers Association meeting via teleconference. The meetings are held to share information on how to best provide public information.

Government Relations Officer attended "Emerging Infections and Tribal Communities: What We Learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic" conference held by Diné College via teleconference on February 19, 2021. Focus was on use of data in addressing the pandemic among Navajo and indigenous people.

On March 25, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended the "Help, Not Handcuffs Webinar." The webinar focused on the need for crisis response, particularly through implementation of a 988 crisis call system staffed by people trained to respond to behavioral health crises by phone or text. Updates on federal, state, and local actions were shared. One option that is being explored to implement the crisis response is monthly surcharges on phone lines.

On March 10, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended "National Training and Technical Assistance Center Wellness Program Implementation Series: Components of a Wellness Program."

On January 19, 2021 Government Relations Officer attended Human Trafficking Prevention and Awareness presentation sponsored by the Office of the President and Vice President.

On January 22, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended "Not Invisible: Understanding Human Trafficking on the Navajo Nation Forum." Various groups working on human trafficking issues presented on the topic.

Trainings

On February 10, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended "Infection Prevention Control Training."

On February 11, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended "Being Resilient and Coping with Stress" by Division of Behavioral and Mental Health Services.

On February 25, 2021, Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer attended "Grief and Loss Training" provided by Navajo Nation Division of Behavioral and Mental Health Services.

On March 26, 2021, Government Relations Officer attended Judicial Branch training on the Roadmap to Full Capacity provided by Administrative Office of the Courts staff. The training included reviews of the two documents guiding the Branch's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, including the Continuity of Operations Plan and the Roadmap to Full Capacity. Information was also shared on the vaccines that are now available to the public and the variants that are present in the United States.

B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of objectives set the previous quarter

A. Aneth District has transitioned to part-time telecommuting and conducting telephonic hearings via Skype for Business and conference calls. Aneth community and its immediate vicinity telecommunication infrastructure is limited and at times does not support court clerks working from home. All telecommuting equipment in the form of phones, laptops, mobile

printer/scanners and MiFi devices have been assigned to Aneth court staff. Aneth District judge is telecommuting 100% and supportive services are offered to move essential cases forward.

B. Aneth District Court staff are on an on-call schedule because Aneth Court only allows one to two staff are in the court building to mitigate exposure to COVID-19. Aneth Court staff are self-monitoring for symptoms of the virus and for the common cold before they report for work. As they are coming into the building, staff de-sanitize their hands and shoes before going to their work station.

2. Other significant accomplishments

A. Judge Irene S. Black continues to be temporarily assigned to Shiprock Judicial District and to the Judicial Grievance Board. The first assignment began on December 01, 2014 by then Chief Justice Herb Yazzie who assigned by Administrative Order 68-2014. With the current public health emergency and administrative order, Judge Black conducts essential hearings from home for Aneth and Shiprock Judicial Districts.

- B. Aneth District Court received all of its building modifications supplies and is in the process of installing plexiglass barriers and clear plastic barriers. A drop box was installed and an intercom system for court customers to utilize while limiting exposure of Aneth District staff.
- C. The State of Utah supplied Aneth Court on 10 touchless thermometers for each staff, sanitation wipes, disinfectant spray and hand sanitizers. The procurement and delivery of the supplies from Utah was handled by Navajo Nation, Utah Navajo Commission. The supplies were delivered February 2021.
- D. A training on the Road Map to Full Capacity and a Health Safety training was completed by Aneth District staff as one of the steps necessary to begin re-entry phases. Five Aneth District staff have completed and received NNOSHA training and certification in General Industry.
- E. 2020 Aneth District Court staff performance evaluation was completed for each district employee in January 2021. All the finalized employee performance evaluations were forwarded to the Judicial Branch Human Resources Office.

3. Objectives to be accomplished by Next Quarter

A. To develop a re-entry plan for phase one of the Judicial Branch's Road Map to Full Capacity. The plan will hold the health and safety of its Aneth employees in the utmost regards in all phases of the re-opening plan. Aneth will have a safe working environment for the staff and to hold essential hearings during the COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency. Aneth will continue to explore new avenues of tele-conferencing and for tele-hearings for its staff and its customers.

C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives

- A. COVID-19 Court Response: Chinle Judicial District continues to operate on essential services to the public. Judges continue to hear emergency cases. Court documents are received by drop box, postal mail, electronic mail and fax. Staff members are on a rotating schedule to come into the court to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings and to clerk hearings. They are doing a phenomenal job in continuing to provide services to the public with the bare essentials. We have a maximum of five staff in the building on a daily basis to provide services to the public and to process court cases.
- B. Weekly Teleconference Meetings: The court administrator and judges participated in the weekly teleconference meetings. Weekly updates are provided by the Chief Justice's support staff. Information on virtual trainings for staff, updates on pandemic activities, and upcoming events are shared among staff.
- C. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The judges attended the quarterly judicial conference via teleconference. The court administrators and program managers were not included in the judicial conference.
- F. Vacant Position: The Chinle Judicial District has two vacant positions: District Court Clerk and Staff Attorney. The Human Resource Office within the Judicial Branch continues to advertise the Staff Attorney position. Applications for the District Court Clerk have been forwarded to the district and will be scheduled for interviews.

2. Objectives to be accomplished

- A. The Chinle Judicial District Judge and court administrator will participate in meetings with service providers and the Chief Justice's Office.
- B. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work toward meeting its performance criteria goals.
- C. The court administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, office technicians and custodian.
- D. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work with Judicial Branch Human Resources Office in filling the vacant positions.

D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

1. Accomplishment of Objective Set the Previous Quarter

<u>A. Crownpoint</u> Judicial District continues to operate on essential services to the public as per the COOP (Continuity of Operations Plan), as managed by the COOP Coordinator and his staff. Staff continues to be on a rotating schedule for Court daily operations to answer telephone calls, process fines and fees, process postal mail and hearings. Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court remains in devolution status since March 15, 2020 with staff working out of Crownpoint Judicial District facility due to COVID-19. The staff of both courts continue to perform limited hours and

telecommute from home or irregular basis. During the period District Court Judge, Domestic Violence Commissioner and newly hired Judicial Hearing Officer continue to hear essential court cases allowed by the COOP, and scheduling for all future cases. The Court receives documents, pleadings, petitions by drop box, postal mail, electronically via email and fax for both courts, Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado.

- B. Most of Crownpoint Judicial District and Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court personnel received the Pfizer or Moderna vaccines, approximately 11 employees accepted the vaccine.
- C. The Crownpoint Judicial District is in the process of moving to Phase One of the Road Map to Full Capacity, the re-opening of normal court operations, services, and in doing so the Crownpoint Judicial District and Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court staff have participated in and completed the necessary trainings for the Road Map. Training were held on March 26 and April 1, 2021
- <u>D. Backlog of Workload.</u> As the Crownpoint Judicial District continues to work toward Phase One of the Road Map to Full Capacity, the court continues to address the backlog of workload during the limited services period. Staff at both courts have been working diligently and safely during the COVID-19 pandemic while handling essential court cases. Judge, Staff Attorney and Court Administrator continue to maintain weekly teleconferencing by Skype for Business or call-in procedures. The Wednesday, 3PM weekly teleconferences keep the organization informed, updated with information, and disseminated back to the business units.
- E. Crownpoint Judicial District began modifications, installation of plexiglass safety barriers and other related health and safety barriers for Court Room 2, in preparation for future bench/jury trials, as prescribed by the rules, laws; and for other urgent hearing to be conducted. Om March 26, 2021, Navajo Nation Occupational Safety and Health Administration Safety Officer Richard Bates, Judicial Branch Health & Safety Advisor, and Crownpoint Judicial District Bailiff conducted a walk-through inspection of the court building for health and safety measures for the staff and the general public. The inspection is an important step for Phases One through Four of the Road Map to Full Capacity.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

- A. Judge Leonard Livingston, Staff Attorney and Court Administrator telecommute from home and conduct hearings, administrative matters and judicial related functions while using Skype for Business, teleconferences, hear essential court cases. The staff deputy court clerks, bailiffs, office technicians continue to telecommute as per the Chief Justice's administrative orders.
- B. Mr. Rodriquez Morris, formerly Interim Director of the Navajo Nation Elections Office, was hired as Judicial Hearing Officer for the Eastern Agency area courts. Mr. Morris brings his wealth of knowledge, skills and abilities to the Judicial Branch. Mr. Morris is also a veteran of the U.S. Army, Army National Guard as well as his civilian government services.

- Mr. Morris is officially assigned to Crownpoint Judicial District, but he will work with other courts in the New Mexico parts of the Navajo Nation.
- C. Court staff, Gloria Toledo completed the training in Infection Control Training on March 10, 2021. Court Clerk, Colleen Francis completed an on-line training for Coping with Stress and Being Resilient on March 11, 2021. Court staff Gloria Toledo, Jacqueline Bates and Rhiannon Guerro attended the training Road Map to Full Capacity in November 2020.
- D. Judge Livingston completed a number of on-line training courses for trial judges provided by the National Judicial College. Courses completed were: Judicial Ethics Implicit Biases; Impaired Driving Course effects of alcohol, drugs, driving; Water Rights in Indian Country; NHTSA Handling Traffic Cases; DRE Qualifications Protocol & Admissibility; the Judges' Role in a Pandemic webcast; Case Management Presiding Cases During a Pandemic Webcast.
- E. Interviews were held telephonically for a vacant Crownpoint District court clerk position. The interviews were held, assessments made and determined that Ms. Jacyln Billy to be hired. Ms. Billy completed her 90-day probationary period. Ms. Billy for personal reasons voluntarily resigned her position as District Court Clerk. Crownpoint Judicial District moved for immediate vacancy announcement, and the district is scheduling interviews for two (2) candidates. The Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court has one vacant position for a Deputy Court Clerk.
- F. Judge Livingston telephonically administered the Peace Officer's Oath of Office for Crownpoint Police Department on January 21, 2021 for a total of thirty (30) Navajo Police Officers and five (5) Telecommunication Specialists (Dispatchers). On March 22, 2021 Judge Livingston administered the Oath of Office for the Eastern Navajo Agency Election Office for two (2) newly elected chapter officials, one land board official.
- G. On January 4, 2021 Acting Court Administrator and Court Clerk attended the Court Administrators/Program Managers meeting regarding Budget Updates, COOP Meeting Updates and updates on the CARES Act. On March 16, 2021 Court Clerk attended the Budget and Finance Committee meeting. On March 25, 2021 Acting Court Administrator and Court Clerk attended the FY 2022 Budget Formulation Work Session. The budgetary sessions are necessary to prepare the upcoming budgetary year and for the American Rescue Plan.
- H. Crownpoint Judicial District Document Technician scanned over 41 cases for District and Family cases consisting of a total of 165,011 pages. She completed 349 document requests for the District for certified or copies of final decrees, final dispositions and hearing requests, etc.
- I. A total of five (5) telephonic staff meetings were held this quarter and four (4) telephonic meetings were held for administrative matters between Judge, Staff Attorney and Court Administrator. The management continues to provide guidance, feedback, direction and information by telephone, texts, email, and in-person while observing the CDC guidelines for the COVID-19 Pandemic.

3. Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter.

- A. Continue essential court operations under the existing Administrative Orders and comply with health and safety guidelines under the CDC and Navajo Department of Health Orders.
- B. To continue with the modifications and working towards returning to full capacity and resume normal court operations in a healthy, safe manner according to the "Roadmap to Full Capacity Guidelines" and under the federal, state and tribal guidelines to move forward to Phase One and Two under the guidelines of the COOP Coordinator and his staff.
- C. To maintain addressing archival scanned cases pursuant to the performance criteria.
- D. Maintain, report, update and generate status report on non-essential cases.
- E. Maintain the safety, welfare and morale of the judicial district personnel, litigants and general public and promote safety in the workplace, homes and communities and continue court operations under the COVID-19 pandemic.
- F. Achieve the best practices of the budgetary performance-based objectives.

E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives Set the Previous Quarter

- A. The Dilkon Judicial District Staff Attorney has been conducting Resource Meetings with all resources in Dilkon. They all make sure that all Children's and Criminal cases are processed to the right service providers and that no case is over seen.
- B. To conduct two in-service training for the district staff.
 - Staff 'limited service' meeting and planning
 - Road Map to Full Capacity training provided by the COOP Coordinator and his staff.
- C. Dilkon Judicial District is not open to the public per Administrative Order by the Chief Justice; however the court is assisting customers with very limited staff and by telecommuting to address essential case matters.

2. Other Significant accomplishments

- A. The Judge and Staff Attorney worked on a grant for Wellness court and Restorative Justice. We had staff members attend a strategic meeting involving what this will entail if we the Wellness court going in the Dilkon District Court.
- B. Staff-In-Services are being held by teleconference on the Road Map to Full Capacity, and had a training on Vicarious Trauma.
- C. Both the Judge and Staff Attorney attended Quarterly Judicial Conference virtually.
- D. Acting Court Administrator virtually attended meetings, Judicial Branch strategic planning, COOP meetings, Budget Readiness, Roadmap and Court Administrators/Managers meetings.

- 3. Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter: (Dilkon Court will 'virtually' continue to accomplish these objectives in the best interest of the court during this pandemic.)
 - A. To facilitate the Dilkon Judicial District Resource Meetings "virtually" to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public.
 - B. To get our FY 2022 budget developed and ready for the upcoming budget processes.
 - C. To plan, organize, prepare and structure regarding the American Rescue Plan.
 - D. Maintain quick and professional service to all customers that call or email the Dilkon District Court.

F. DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives Set the Previous Quarter

A. The Dził Yijiin Judicial District continued to deliver essential court services within the Dził Yijiin region. In accordance with Navajo Nation Supreme Court Administrative Order, the Dził Yijiin Judicial Court is closed to the public. The Court has been conducting essential court operations only. All services are subject to temporary mitigation measures designed to protect our patrons and team members to the greatest extent possible.

- B. Physical access to the Dził Yijiin Judicial District continues to be restricted. However, personnel are readily available to assist the public through contact-free methods by electronic processes: Email, Facsimile, U.S. Postal Service Mail and an outside drop box located next to the main entrance. All court appearances are handled telephonically and through Skype for Business. Individuals are encouraged to call or email the Court in lieu of making personal appearances to take care of their court business. Payments are made through contact-free payments for civil traffic fines can to be paid by credit or debit card online through Justice Web.
- C. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District continues to be deeply engaged with public health agencies, healthcare providers, local entities and partnering agencies to mitigate the impact of the virus. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District will persist in encouraging the use of face coverings, physical distancing and disinfection practices, measures our court followed throughout the Pandemic.

2. Other significant accomplishments

- A. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District continues to monitor the COVID-19 situation and take measures to help prevent virus spread in our community. Judge Thompson held court hearings on essential matters by utilizing alternative means of presiding over cases through teleconferencing or Skype for Business. The Judicial Hearing Officer continues to conduct virtual hearings on Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Orders every Monday.
- B. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District staff voluntarily opted and received two doses of the Pfizer or Moderna COVID-19 vaccination in early January. The staff then returned for their second dose in February. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District continues to see signs that we are heading in a

positive direction as more people are vaccinated, mitigation measures are practiced and the number of cases decline.

- C. Dził Yijiin Judicial District received laptops with docking stations, printer equipment for personnel to continue providing essential services, while telecommuting from home to process essential court matters.
- D. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District staff attended virtual trainings on Infection Control and Mental Health Grief and Loss, conducted by the Department of Behavioral and Mental Health.
- E. Dzil Yijiin Judicial District staff attended the mandatory training: Road Map to Full Capacity and Health & Safety.

During second quarter, Court Administrator attended the following Skype meetings and presentations representing Dził Yijiin Judicial District:

- Attended Court Administrator and Managers Skype meeting held on February 4 and March 4, 2021.
- Continue to participate in the weekly Judicial Branch Skype meetings regarding discussions and updates with Judicial Branch plans and operations, during this pandemic.
- Participated in discussions and meetings on Hazardous Duty Pay Policy.
- Participated in virtual FY 2022 General Funds Budget work session on March 25, 2021.
- Prepared proposed FY 2022 General Funds Budget and proposed Supplemental Funds for Dzil Yijiin Judicial District.
- On March 31, 2021 attended meeting and training with Judge Thompson, Dilkon District Judge Gilmore, Dilkon Staff Attorney Raven Attwood, and Precious Benally on Family Wellness Court, Restorative Justice.

3. Objectives to accomplish by Next Quarter

- A. Dził Yijiin Judicial District will continue court operations on processing essential services during this COVID-19 State of Emergency pursuant to Administrative Orders.
- B. Plan and prepare to comply with Roadmap to Full Capacity, and building modification for re-opening in the future.
- C. Staff will continue to assist in processing essential court matters.

G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives Set the Previous Quarter

A. In response to the COVID-19 crisis, the branch implemented several on-line staff trainings to address the imminent re-opening of the courts. The district staff participated in trainings entitled, COOP-Road Map to Full Capacity, Infection Control Training, and Being Resilient

and Coping with Stress. Staff are appreciative of the effective trainings that improve retention and increase staff morale.

B. Court Administrator participated in a preliminary FY 2022 Judicial Branch budget planning meeting on March 25, 2021.

2. Other significant accomplishments

- A. The district provided weekly updates as part of the Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) on essential court operations during the COVID-19 virus pandemic.
- B. Court Administrator participated in a National Center for State Courts sponsored webinar entitled, COVID-19 and the Courts, on February 10, 2021. The webinar provided a review of the distinct nature of the COVID-19 pandemic and examined the environment the present pandemic has created for the courts. An education opportunity was provided on how to improve court efficiencies and effectiveness with technical court innovations and best practices in court technology.
- C. Judge Stover and Court Administrator participated in a meeting to review and offer recommendations to the Judicial Branch Special Duty Pay and Telework policies and procedures draft on March 15, 2021.
- D. Court Administrator participated in two Court Administrator and Manager's teleconference meetings. Participants received Judicial Branch updates and ascertain branch developments.
- E. On March 17, 2021 Court Administrator participated in a meeting with newly appointed Arizona State Representative Jasmine Blackwater-Nygren to provide an update on local efforts to attain construction funding for a new Kayenta Judicial court building. Despite the pandemic, the design team attained 100% completion of the construction documents in the fall of 2020. The project is Construction Ready and the district will continue to seek funding for the proposed 17,000 square foot facility for the Kayenta Courts, Probation Services, Peacemaking Program, Office of the Prosecutor and Office of the Public Defender.
- F. Judge Letitia Stover participated in a quarterly Judicial Conference on March 31, 2020, through teleconferencing. Conference participants received Judicial Branch updates and new developments.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished by Next Quarter

- A. To complete bi-annual court case assignment clerk rotation.
- B. To provide for three in-service trainings for the district staff.
- C. To offer a virtual Kayenta Judicial District Justice Day educational opportunity to area schools.
- D. To pursue funding opportunities for the Construction Ready Kayenta Judicial Court building.
- E. To promote positive and more effective working relationships with the community, local law enforcement and other public service organizations through monthly meetings.

H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Accomplishments of Objectives Set the Previous Quarter

- A. This quarter Court Administrator continuously attended virtual Ramah Planning/Regular Chapter Meetings with chapter officials and councilman. At the meeting Court Administrator gave up to date reports regarding the planning of the Ramah Judicial Court Complex.
- B. The Judge, Court Administrator and Staff Attorney have continuously participated in the Weekly Branch Update reports meeting every Wednesday.
- C. Ms. Kimberly Longhair was hired as Office Technician and she will serve a 90-day probationary period; after the 90-days she will be eligible to become a permanent status employee.
- D. Ramah District Court is taking preventative measures but still providing essential services and hearing the following cases: Children's cases; review hearings; and permanency review hearings; Criminal cases; bench warrant hearings; bail denial hearings; motions for release; criminal trials; and sentencing hearings. All arraignments and pre-trial conferences were conducted as normal. Domestic Violence cases, statutory timelines require the first hearing to be held within 15 days. Judge Malcolm Laughing continues to telecommute from home, and all hearings are held telephonically.

2. Other significant accomplishments

- A. Due to COVID-19, Court Staff are on an on-call scheduling system, where only two or three staff are in the court building to mitigate exposure to COVID-19. Ramah court staff are self-monitoring before symptoms of the virus or the common cold before they report to work, and as they enter the building. Staff sanitize their hands and take their temperatures before going to their work stations. Court staff maintain a daily check out log for Police Officers, Social Services and Prosecutor for any incoming/outgoing inter-department mail. Court staff maintain a mail log for all incoming/outgoing mail which the Bailiff has responsibility to keep. Bailiff has been rotating to pick up and deliver court documents to Ramah Law Enforcement Services; and to handle the U.S. mail.
- B. Approximately: 0 people signed in for services, 602 telephone calls logged in/out were received, and 03 incoming/outgoing fax services.
- C. The Court Clerks continuously update the bench warrant list and update with Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement on a monthly basis through telephonic and scanning the information to the Ramah Navajo Detention Department.
- D. This 2nd quarter: Court Administrator and court staff hosted NO resource meetings. Court Administrator contacted all the resources to inform them the meetings have been cancelled for this quarter due to COVID-19. The groups include Ramah Navajo Department of Law & Enforcement Services, Department of Ramah Navajo Correction Services, and the Courts.
- E. Judge Malcolm Laughing introduced himself to the Ramah Chapter officials and the community through the virtual chapter planning meeting.

- F. Ramah Navajo Law Enforcement and Judicial Complex: On October 6, 2020, Court Administrator called in to teleconference to listen to chapter planning meeting. The Chapter passed Resolution No. 102006, which reaffirmed prior Resolution No. 062002 requesting the Navajo Nation Council for an appropriation of \$1 million from the Sihasin Fund. The next meeting was scheduled for October 14, 2020. On October 7, 2020 Court Administrator met with Ramah Navajo Grants and Contracts Administration to discuss the design of the building and the land site. Ramah Chief of Police Wallace Edison and Capt. Zane Cly joined the telephonic meeting. On October 21, 2020, Court Administrator was contacted by Ramah Chapter President to discuss the Resolution No. 102006.
- G. Court Administrator attended Skype meetings and presentations representing the Ramah Judicial District: On February 4, and March 4, 2021, attended Court Administrator/Program Manager Skype meeting. Participated in CARES Act Expenditure meeting, and Road Map to Full Capacity Decision Making meeting. On February 8, 2021, participated in discussion on Hazard Pay policy. On February 25, 2021 participated in a Grief and Loss training by DBMHS.
- H. The Ramah Judicial District is adequately supplied with personal protective supplies, cleaning supplies for janitorial cleaning equipment for the coming year. The Court Bailiff is helpful in picking up supplies from Window Rock-AOC. Supplies are ordered ad received in bulk, and Bailiff has to use his own POV/truck to pick up, load and unload items at eh Court. Custodian is assigned to perform janitorial duties Monday through Friday at 4 hours per day.
- I. Annual Staff Evaluations: On February 22, 2021, Court Administrator completed Annual performance evaluations for each employee. Judge William Platero completed the performance evaluation for the Court Administrator and Staff Attorney.
- J. Ramah Chapter Meetings: On March 1, 2021 Court Administrator updated Chapter officials about the archaeology done by BIA Southwest Regional Office, Albuquerque Area Office. Court Administrator also provided updates on the costs for the Ramah Judicial Court Complex, the cost estimates were recently updated by Dyron Murphy, Architectural & Engineering services.
- K. Training: Staff participated in virtual training provided by the Department of Health Education on COVID-19 virus infection, and return to work information; and also a training on Infection Control. On March 29, 2021, staff participated in Road Map to Full Capacity training on Skype, to work toward returning to full work load and opening the courts in a safe manner according to Judicial Branch guidelines which are based on federal, state and tribal guidance. Currently the Ramah Judicial District is under Phase 0.
- L. On March 25, 2021 participated in a meeting on the FY 2022 Budget and how to prepare the budget; training was held on preparation of the budget forms, a requesting for new positions, and the Supplemental Funding Request budgets.

3. Objectives to Be Accomplished in Next Quarter

A. To continue to work meeting its performance criteria goals.

- B. To continue addressing Archiving/scanning cases pursuant to the performance criteria.
- C. Staff will continue to assist in processing essential court matters.
- D. To facilitate the Ramah Judicial District Resource Meeting through virtual means to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public.

I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives Set the Previous Quarter

A. Tse Bit'ai Criminal Justice Facility: The Shiprock Project Team held its first project update meeting on March 31, 2021 since closure due to the Pandemic. Ms. Eugenia Charles-Newton, Navajo Nation Council Delegate, provided an update on the project and her continued efforts in obtaining funds from the State of New Mexico, and three other alternative funding sources for construction funds. The design cost will be updated for purpose of seeking funds and legislation. The Project Team will start planning meeting via Zoom meeting.

B. Continuity of Operations Plan: The Shiprock Judicial District continues to operate in accordance with the Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) into the new year 2021. It has been over a year since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. There is an increase in the district's performance beyond the essential duties in managing the district case management where filing has increased, arraignment on new complaints via Skype for Business, and fees/fines collection has increased. 715 new cases have been filed and docketed during this quarter, and 278 cases were closed.

C. Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan: The Shiprock Judicial District management continues to manage the district court services with guidance from the Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan. The district is waiting on the Judicial Branch building maintenance staff and temporary employees to schedule Shiprock for the building modification project. Supplies have been ordered and it is stored at the Administrative Offices of the Courts storage.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. The Shiprock Judicial District has replaced the previous Pro Se Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Order with the new standard forms of the standard Pro Se Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Order and Motion for (Ex Parte) Temporary Protection Order adopted by the Supreme Court of the Navajo Nation.

B. District's Essential Services Provided

Services	Received	Sent Out
Telephone Calls	1,558	
Fax Transmittals	114	29
New Established Court's E-mail	555	
On-Line Payment	0	
Call-In Payment	38	
Postal Mail	279	644
GRAND TOTAL	2,544	673

C. <u>Weekly Judicial Branch Meeting via Skype for Business</u>: Weekly judicial branch meetings continue to be held by the executive judicial staff and Chief Justice Jayne, via Skype for Business. The group is updated on programmatic information, district services, discussion and planning for the changes and the needs, current budgetary updates, procurement, human resource updates, training, and message of encouragements.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Start Building Modification for safe work environment.
- B. Continue Training on the Continuity of Operation Plan.
- C. Continue Training on the Road Map to Full Capacity.
- D. CourtCall Services training for court personnel and implementation.
- E. Continuation Tse Bit'ai Justice Center programming of the Court.
- F. Continuation Conduct district caseload inventory for calendar year 2019.

J. TO'HAJIILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 1. Accomplishment of objectives set the previous quarter
 - **A. Provide Employees Access to COVID-19 Vaccines.** Court Administrator informed the Alamo Judicial District staff and the To'hajiilee Judicial District staff about the opportunity to receive the first inoculation of the Moderna vaccine on December 21, 2020. During this reporting period, all staff received either the Pfizer or Moderna vaccines, and all staff were fully inoculated. The vaccines are part of the public health strategy to eradicate the COVID-19 virus.
 - **B. Training on Domestic Violence Petitions.** During this quarter, we did plan for providing training on how to "file" Pro Se domestic violence petitions. In the meantime, Staff Attorney provided the court clerks, office technicians, and the Court Administrator with new forms and provided the training on how to "process" domestic violence petitions. Further, during this reporting period, the Supreme Court entered an Order Adopting Standard Pro Se Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Order and Motion for (Ex Parte) Temporary Protection Order on March 10, 2021. It states in the Order that there is no standard form for litigants to file Pro Se petitions, and as such, during the pandemic, the Supreme Court adopted a standard form. The standard form was issued to Alamo and To'Hajiilee Judicial Districts in March, shortly after the Order was entered. During one of our weekly case management meetings, Staff Attorney went over the new forms with the court staff and also uploaded it into the District's SharePoint.

C. Assist Canoncito Band of Navajo Health Center with their New CARES Grant. The Canoncito Band of Navajo Health Center, Inc., the local public health authority and tribal health center, received a Centers for Disease Control grant to address suicide, adverse childhood experiences and intimate partner violence during the COVID 19 Dikos Ntsaaígíí-Náhást'éíts'áadah pandemic. This grant is being administered by the Albuquerque Area Southwest Tribal Epidemiology Center (AASTEC) and the Albuquerque Indian Health Board, During this reporting period, Court Administrator, Probation Officer Lauren Billy, Peacemaking Traditional Specialist from Alamo Annabell Pino, and Staff Attorney attended one or more meetings with AASTEC to develop an action plan to do a "Community Readiness Assessment" (CRA) using SAMHSA tools. The Alamo and To'Hajiilee Judicial District court staff, probation and peacemaking programs had completed a CRA for Alamo several years ago that created an Alamo Community Prevention Plan. So, the CRA that the district staff is participating in with this grant is important because the utilization of Peacemaking services would enhance the CBNHC efforts to address these health disparities related to suicide, alcohol and substance abuse associated with adverse childhood experiences, and intimate partner violence which the courts consistently deal with in neglect/abuse cases and domestic violence proceedings filed at the court. Court Administrator, Probation Officer Lauren Billy, and Staff Attorney attended the CRA training on how to do the surveys, how to score and what type of data will be achieved. Training and meetings occurred on February 22, 2021, March 11, 2021, March 12, 2021, and March 23, 2021. Court Administrator contacted Pueblo of Laguna Licensed Prevention Coordinator Davina Segay to assist us with reviewing the qualitative data and scoring it since she helped Alamo Judicial District with the last CRA. This is an ongoing project and will develop during the calendar year 2021.

Last quarter, it was reported that Court Administrator was a co -writer for a mini grant administered by the Albuquerque Area Health Services Tribal Epidemiology Center, to address intimate partner violence during the COVID 19 pandemic. The To'Hajiilee Judicial District will work with the Peacemaking program to provide online training to the community and to develop substance abuse prevention initiatives to address historical trauma created by "ACE" or the Adverse Childhood Experiences. The resources will be working on suicide prevention as well. Funding was approved and there was a preliminary grant meeting with AAHSTEC on November 10, 2020. On November 12, 2020, the CBNHC and the To'Hajiilee Judicial District hosted a kick off online meeting to the resources to announce the award and to provide some information on what needed to be done in the community to address suicide prevention, intimate partner violence and adverse childhood experiences. On November 16 and 17, 2020, Court Administrator attended another grant meeting with AAHSTEC on reporting guidelines.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Dikos Ntsaaígíí-Náhást'éíts'áadah, COVID- 19

COVID 19 infection rates in New Mexico, Alamo and To'Hajiilee decreased with the deployment of the COVID-19 vaccines (Pfizer and Moderna) in the two communities of Alamo and To'Hajiilee. All Alamo and To'Hajiilee court staff receive their COVID-19 vaccines during this reporting period. The Navajo Nation issued stay at home orders for an entire month so the two judicial district courthouses remained closed to in-person business or hearings. However, all staff were provided with laptops and cellular devices as needed. The Districts' SharePoint is a communication hub for all staff and is updated with photos, data, calendars, check ins, and other

training information, including uploaded training videos or links. The daily curfew continued throughout this reporting period. Even though the court staff were vaccinated, we continued to use our masks, sanitize and disinfect the court buildings when on the staggered shifts. The court staff continued to work and provide information to the public. Due to the pandemic and the lack of herd immunity in the community, we scaled back on our contacts and travel and increased individual public health measures, i.e. wearing mask, washing hands, cleaning & disinfecting high touch areas. Even though our doors were closed, the court staff worked on a daily basis at the office or at home via internet, to ensure social distancing to protect their health and safety. We continued to relay criminal summons to Crownpoint Police Department for service and to provide civil service of summons for domestic violence cases as needed.

Further, Court Administrator kept staff updated on local, state and Navajo Nation COVID-19 data. On January 7 and 12, 2021, she attended the live Town Hall for vaccine information from President Jonathan Nez's website. She attended COVID-19 updates from Dr. John Campbell on January 28, 2021, and the White House Team Briefing on February 1 and 5, 2021.

During this time, court staff attended training as well. On February 3, 2021, Staff Attorney attended the Infection Control training. On February 10, 2021, Office Technician attended a webinar on Collaboration Among Criminal Courts and Justice System, Time of Pandemic. On February 24, 2021 Court Clerk attended Infection Control training. Court Administrator attended the Grief and Loss training with Department of Behavioral and Mental Health Services on February 25, 2021. Court staff attended the "re-opening" process – Road Map to Full Capacity training on March 26, 2021. This video was uploaded to SharePoint to allow all other staff to review the information when they are able to get on the internet.

B. Dilkon SharePoint Training

Court Administrator provided Dilkon District court staff with on-line training on how to create apps and manage the SharePoint on February 12, 2021. Information sharing and communication access can be achieved through the SharePoint for any court staff including a restrict sub-site for uploads of case files for Devolution purposes.

C. <u>Hazard or Special Duty Pay Policy Discussion</u>

Last quarter, the Court Administrator provided input into the Judicial Branch employee policies and procedures for hazard and special pay provisions. Staff Attorney continued to participate in a work group to develop the policies, including attendance at meetings held on January 13 and 14, 2021 as well as February 25 and 26, 2021. Thereafter, Staff Attorney reviewed the revised policies and provided written commentary as well as verbal commentary during a meeting on March 16, 2021.

D. CARES Act

Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act, P.L. 116-136

On July 31, 2020, the Navajo Nation Council approved \$650 million in immediate expenditures for the CARES Act pandemic mitigation and relief funds by tribal council resolution No. 0144-20. On August 18, 2020, Navajo Nation President Jonathan Nez approved more than \$475 million of a \$651 million spending bill passed in late July by the Navajo Nation council for addressing the COVID-19 pandemic. Of that amount, Judicial Branch received \$9,633,863 million in emergency

management funds for personnel, meeting expenses, supplies, Communications & Utilities, repairs and maintenance, contractual services, special transactions and capital outlay for Window Rock court. On August 26, 2020, the Judicial Branch program and court administrators met to plan on how to expend those approved expenses by December 31, 2020 in compliance with strict federal guidelines. The deadline to submit quantities of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) i.e. masks, gloves, face shields, etc. by district was August 31, 2020. Alamo and To'Hajiilee Judicial Districts submitted their lists based on staffing and need for six months.

During this period, the Judicial Branch informed the trial courts that much of the funds could not be spent as planned.

E. Healing to Wellness Court

Veterans' Justice Outreach

Court Administrator continued to work with the "military support group" created when the Veterans Justice Outreach project of the Healing to Wellness Court was working on developing a roadmap for treatment options for veterans in the Navajo Nation, both on and off reservation. On February 24, 2021, Court Administrator provided input into the Tribal Law and Policy's survey. She also attended the 2021 Tribal Healing to Wellness Court consultant meeting on March 1, 2021. At this meeting we discussed the results of the last conference and what we want to do for the enhancement training this year. Court Administrator is a consultant with the Tribal Law and Policy Institute.

F. <u>Information Security</u>

During this quarter, court staff reviewed the recordings for the on-line videos offered by "e Courts", offered by the National Center for State Courts.

G. Judicial Conference

The judges of the Judicial Conference met on February 26, 2021 for a special meeting.

H. Collaborations

To'hajiilee Chapter

Court Administrator attended the To'Hajiilee Chapter planning meeting on February 9, 2021. She provided an update to the resources and public on To'Hajiilee court.

New Mexico Tribal-State Judicial Consortium

Court Administrator attended the on-line meeting on February 19, 2021, with the New Mexico Tribal-State Judicial Consortium. (Retrieved from: https://tribalstate.nmcourts.gov/) As a participant in the meetings, Court Administrator gains information on the ICWA court in New Mexico, drug courts and the Court Improvement Project on child welfare. Presently, New Mexico is working on strengthening the state funded ICWA specialty court.

I. Administrative Issues & Concerns

Budget Planning

The Alamo and To'Hajiilee Judicial Districts are two separate business units. The Office Technicians help the Court Administrator manage the general ledgers for the existing Fiscal Year budgets. On March 25, 2021, Court Administrator attended the Judicial Branch budget meeting and represented the districts. There is a need to fully fund the two districts with additional staff,

and a memo was submitted with the budgets to give a proposal to all business units to ensure "essential staff" are provided to Alamo and To'hajiilee.

Lack of Purchasing Power for Alamo Business Unit

The "operations P-card" was taken away from the Alamo Judicial District Courts (BU 102014) in 2019 when the Court Administrator was on medical leave. Court Administrator requested the return of the purchase card in January, 2020 in order to re-establish the 100% purchasing power the Alamo Judicial District had in FY2019. However, the card has never been returned so the purchasing power for Alamo business unit is 0.00% which is a disparity for the purchase of needed equipment during a pandemic to support the court staff. According to Yvonne Arviso-Gorman, at the Administrative Office of the Court's financial office, during a weekly Judicial Branch call, the operations P-card was returned to at least one AOC financial staff during this pandemic.

Need for a Court Clerk position at To'hajiilee

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the need for another court clerk's position in To'Hajiilee. Right now, there is only one clerk. If the clerk needs to quarantine, or to isolate, we would have no clerk. Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne needs to prioritize this position. Office Technicians are not court clerks.

- 3. Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter
- A. Provide training on Domestic Violence petitions.
- B. Assist Canoncito Band of Navajo Health Center with their new CARES grant.

K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

- 1. Accomplishment of Objectives during this quarter:
 - A. General Staff Meeting. Court Administrator provided updates on court operations and shared information on Judicial Branch plans for telecommuting and equipment purchases. Shared with staff the administrative orders from the Office of Chief Justice with special emphasis on preventing the spread of COVID-19 Coronavirus, keeping the office area cleaned and safety for staff and family members.
 - <u>B. Court Clerks' Meeting.</u> Court Administrator held telephonic court clerks' meeting to address case processing and case management issues. With all staff on rotating work schedules, it is imperative to coordinate and communicate with all staff. The court continues to receive a lot of incoming court documents from the post office, fax and court's email. As directed by Chief Justice Jayne, the court continues to provide essential services and conduct telephonic court hearings.
 - C. Judicial Branch COOP Road Map to Full Capacity, Health & Safety Training. On March 26, 2021, the Tuba City court staff attended the COOP Road Map to Full Capacity training. COOP Coordinator and his staff provided the training. The training topics: What is the COOP, Road Map to Full Capacity, Gating Criteria, and phases to re-opening. This is the first time all Judicial Branch staff were all in on the same training, which was good to hear from other staff members from their local districts. The training was information to the staff.

<u>D. Pro Se Training</u>. Based on requests from the public and approval by Judge Clyde, the Tuba City Judicial District started its virtual Pro Se training in March 2021. Staff Attorney and Office Technician provided three (3) Pro Se trainings for the public. The Pro Se training included two probate trainings, and one guardianship of a minor training. The training is for individuals that wish to file Pro Se packets with the court. The individuals who want to represent themselves are required to attend Pro Se training, so they are aware of what the law requires and their duties and responsibilities in court. The total attendance for the Pro Se training during this reporting period was 33 participants.

<u>E. Being Resilient and Coping with Stress</u>. On February 11, 2021, the court staff attended the training provided by the Department of Behavioral and Mental Health Services. Topics covered: what is stress, causes of stress, signs, symptoms, and how to handle stress. The training was good life skills information.

F. Daily Visitor Sign-in and Metal Detector Count for Jan., Feb. and Mar. 2021.

	FY2021 - Second Quarter Daily Visitor Sign-in Report														
MONTH	Court Hearing	Protection Order	Pay Fine/Cash Bond	Filing Documents	Obtain Information	Check Mail	Attend Training	Background Check	Oath of Office	Maintenance of Building	Attend Meeting	Other Hearings	Other	Total Clients Served	Metal Detector Reading
Jan - 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o
Feb - 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar - 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. Request for court documents and audio for Jan., Feb., and Mar. 2021.

Month:	Jan - 2021	Feb - 2021	Mar - 2021	Total:
Total Court Document Request	8	8	9	25
Completed documents Request	8	7	4	19

Pending Document Request	0	1	5	6
Total Audio Request	1	1	1	3
Total Addio Request	1	4	_	3
Completed Audio Request	1	1	1	3
Pending Audio Request	0	0	0	0

2. Other Significant Accomplishments:

A. Officers' Oath of Office and Code of Ethics – Navajo Law Enforcement. On January 19, 2021, Judge Clyde administered the Officer's Oath of Office for 17 police officers. On January 28, 2021 Judge Clyde administered the Officer's Oath of Office for 19 police officers. These oaths are administered annually.

<u>B. Tuba City Judicial District – COVID Exposure.</u> During this reporting period, the court closed on January 27, 2021 due to report of a possible staff exposure to the COVID-19 virus from a family member. The family member reported a test result that came back as "inconclusive", which the court had to close, out of abundance of caution, and safety for the staff and operations. On a second test, the family member and staff tested negative, which allowed the court staff to re-occupy the building and return to work.

<u>C. Judicial Conference.</u> On February 26, 2021 and March 30, 2021, Judge Clyde attended the Judicial Branch Judicial Conference held telephonically from Window Rock, Arizona. Chief Justice Jayne and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court facilitated the meetings.

3. Objectives of Accomplish in the next Quarter:

- A. To review court operations and processes to become more efficient and to eliminate duplication of services for court.
- B. To close adjudicated cases.
- C. To arrange for telephonic court hearings for essential cases.

L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives during this quarter:

A. Window Rock Judicial District is taking preventive measures but still providing essential services and hearing the following necessary cases: Children's cases: shelter care hearings, preliminary hearings, adjudicatory hearings, dispositional hearings, and review hearings (if they are within six months). Criminal cases: bench warrant hearings, bail denial hearings, habeas corpus relief, motions for release, criminal trials, and sentencing hearings. All arraignments and pre-trial conferences were conducted as normal hearing. Civil cases: Involuntary commitments; repossession hearings, if statutory timelines demand it. Domestic violence cases: statutory timelines require the first hearing to be heard within 15 days or less.

Court documents are received by Dropbox, postal mail, electronic mail, and fax. Staff are on a rotating schedule to come into the court to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings, and to clerk hearings. They are doing an extraordinary job in continuing to provide services to the public with the bare essentials.

- B. Judge Malcolm Begay is the only judge presiding over all Window Rock Judicial Family and District Court cases on all Navajo Nation complex and district civil cases. Judge Begay continues to conduct all hearings telephonically. Judge Begay continues to participate and assist with discussions on cases that are assigned by Chief Justice and the Supreme Court. Judge Begay also continues to assist and preside on court cases for the Dilkon and Kayenta Courts.
- C. Judge Begay attended the quarterly Judicial Conference via Skype for Business. The Court Administrators and Program Managers were not included in the discussions at the Judicial Conferences.
- D. Judge Begay administered 85 Oaths to various personnel, such as the Private Process Servers, Navajo Nation Police Officers, and Navajo Nation Corrections Officers via Skype for Business.
- E. Court Administrator and Judge Begay participated in the weekly Business Skype meeting Re: COOP WEEKLY UPDATES; the Chief Justice's support staff provides status of operations updates; Communications: Teleconference, Email, and PPE; supplies: Job reassignments as necessary. Also there are discussions on opening the courts to the public for court services.
- F. The Court Administrator attended Window Rock Judicial District Modular Building Project meetings with the Department of Community Development and Judicial Branch personnel. The Law & Order Committee passed Resolution 021-20 to appropriate funds for a new modular building for the Window Rock court and Probation & Parole Services. The Court Administrator will continue to assist with development of the vendor contract for a design-build structure.
- G. Staff Attorney assists in closing out the district court's case backlog and drafts orders for Chinle District Judge Thompson. Staff Attorney also assists Court Administrator with legal questions concerning employment on Judicial Branch Employee Policies and Procedures matters. Staff Attorney also assists with legal research and questions on civil case matters.
- H. The Window Rock Judicial District staff continue to be housed in the Administrative Office of Court conference rooms, and the Window Rock Judicial District is still displaced.
- K. The Window Rock Judicial District has been preparing to re-open the courts for public services. For the staff's safety, minimal renovations are being constructed to include plexiglass barriers for staff work stations to assist with physical distancing and a safe work environment. The case court schedule is also being assessed to establish a workable court schedule to ensure staff and public safety. Due to the large caseload volume, the Window Rock Judicial District is planning and scheduling into the calendar year 2022.
- L. The Window Rock Judicial District has two (2) vacant positions: District Judges. The Human Resources Office continues to advertise the positions. The Window Rock Judicial District hired two (2) Court Clerks, Ms. Thomasine Monroe and Ms. Larrilene Gishie, and they are on their 90-day probationary period.

2. Training:

A. Court Administrator, Judge Begay, Staff Attorney and staff participated in the Roadmap Training via Skype on March 26, 2021.

3. Other Significant Accomplishments:

- A. Through the court's email, a total of 1,535 people registered and utilized this service during this reporting period. This number represent inquiries for court hearings and services. Additional services were provided to individuals calling the court; however, no log is kept regarding this public service.
- B. There were 187 Family Court hearings and a total of 313 District Court hearings for this quarter.
- C. For the second quarter there were 52 document requests made, and 45 requests were completed. It should be noted that while the completed requests were limited, it can be attributed to the fact that most records are archived and need to be researched. This process is time consuming and a challenge due to the limited number of court staff assigned to our District.
- D. Peacemaking Program's Traditional Program Specialist is taking preventative measures for the safety of staff & litigants due to the COVID-19 pandemic and did not provide the Life Value Workshop for the Defendants.
- E. Staff training with the limited funds available is always a challenge; however, identifying training resources to benefit the staff remains a goal. The essential training required and needed is the Active Shooter, First Responders, CPR, Incident Command, and Suicide Prevention for all staff. The Court Administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, and office technicians.
- F. The Window Rock Judicial District is recruiting qualified judge applicants. The District needs two (2) additional judges to help with the caseload.
- G. In the event that Budgetary Carry-over is approved, the Window Rock Judicial District will be requesting funds to hire a temporary or permanent Court Clerk and Archiving Clerk to assist staff with the caseload and a Bailiff to provide adequate court security.

VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION

In the second quarter of 2021, regular activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission ("JCC") were affected by the public health emergency. Trainings, work sessions and meetings were not regularly held due to the pandemic. The following are some of the activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission during the Fiscal Year 2021 Second Quarter.

- A. Judicial Conduct Commission members are Chairman Robert Yazzie, Vice-Chairman Dr. Manley Begay, Jr., Judy Apachee, Dr. Raymond Austin, and Rhonda Tuni.
- B. The Judicial Conduct Commission met January 23, 2021 and February 12, 2021, regarding a referral from the Law and Order Committee. The Commission made a decision on the

- referral during the February 12, 2021 meeting, and the matter is now considered closed. There are no pending referrals before the Commission.
- C. Government Relations Officer (GRO) and the Human Resources Director assisted the Commission with its work. There are no staff assigned to assist with certain administrative functions, such as purchasing items and other matters. The lack of staff continues to be a challenge that will need to be addressed.

IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA

FY2021 SECOND QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY LOCATION

LOCATION	Brought		File		Case		Closed		Pen	ding
Supreme Court	73	0.3%	10	0.2%	83	0.3%	15	0.5%	68	0.3%
Alamo	103	0.4%	13	0.3%	116	0.4%	10	0.4%	106	0.4%
Aneth	509	2.2%	83	1.8%	592	2.1%	21	0.8%	571	2.3%
Chinle	1,135	4.9%	511	11.1%	1,646	5.9%	249	8.9%	1,397	5.6%
Crownpoint	2,314	9.9%	405	8.8%	2,719	9.8%	149	5.3%	2,570	10.2%
Dilkon	2,566	11.0%	406	8.8%	2,972	10.7%	39	1.4%	2,933	11.7%
Dził Yijiin	555	2.4%	142	3.1%	697	2.5%	130	4.7%	567	2.3%
Kayenta	2,360	10.1%	589	12.8%	2,949	10.6%	431	15.4%	2,518	10.0%
Pueblo Pintado	220	0.9%	30	0.7%	250	0.9%	23	0.8%	227	0.9%
Ramah	1,883	8.1%	177	3.8%	2,060	7.4%	202	7.2%	1,858	7.4%
Shiprock	2,434	10.5%	715	15.5%	3,149	11.3%	278	10.0%	2,871	11.4%
To'hajiilee	408	1.8%	18	0.4%	426	1.5%	26	0.9%	400	1.6%
Tuba City	2,464	10.6%	666	14.5%	3,130	11.2%	417	14.9%	2,713	10.8%
Window Rock	3,643	15.7%	164	3.6%	3,807	13.7%	172	6.2%	3,635	14.5%
Probation Services	2,417	10.4%	584	12.7%	3,001	10.8%	554	19.8%	2,447	9.8%
Peacemaking	185	0.8%	94	2.0%	279	1.0%	76	2.7%	203	0.8%
TOTAL	23,269	100.0%	4,607	100.0%	27,876	100.0%	2,792	100.0%	25,084	100.0%

FY2021 SECOND QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY CASE TYPE

CASE TYPE	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	1,308	5.6%	70	1.5%	1,378	4.9%	107	3.8%	1,271	5.1%
Criminal	8,021	34.5%	1,078	23.4%	9,099	32.6%	438	15.7%	8,661	34.5%
Civil Traffic	5,568	23.9%	1,806	39.2%	7,374	26.5%	900	32.2%	6,474	25.8%
Criminal Traffic	1,461	6.3%	244	5.3%	1,705	6.1%	72	2.6%	1,633	6.5%
Family Civil	2,485	10.7%	252	5.5%	2,737	9.8%	180	6.4%	2,557	10.2%
Domestic Violence	1,120	4.8%	406	8.8%	1,526	5.5%	369	13.2%	1,157	4.6%
Dependency	431	1.9%	46	1.0%	477	1.7%	58	2.1%	419	1.7%
Delinquency	160	0.7%	10	0.2%	170	0.6%	16	0.6%	154	0.6%
CHINS	40	0.2%	7	0.2%	47	0.2%	7	0.3%	40	0.2%
Supreme Court	73	0.3%	10	0.2%	83	0.3%	15	0.5%	68	0.3%
Probation/Parole	2,417	10.4%	584	12.7%	3,001	10.8%	554	19.8%	2,447	9.8%
Peacemaking	185	0.8%	94	2.0%	279	1.0%	76	2.7%	203	0.8%
TOTAL	23,269	100.0%	4,607	100.0%	27,876	100.0%	2,792	100.0%	25,084	100.0%

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

	COT REINE COOK! OF THE NAVAJO NATION												
Case Type	Brought Forward		Filed		Reconsiderations	Caseload		Closed Cases		Pending			
Civil	68	93%	10	100%	0	78	94%	15	100%	63	93%		
Criminal	2	3%	0	0%	0	2	2%	0	0%	2	3%		
NNBA	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
Special Proceedings	3	4%	0	0%	0	3	4%	0	0%	3	4%		
Quarter Caseload	73	100%	10	100%	0	83	100%	15	100%	68	100%		

ALAMO COURT

ALAMO COOKT													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	Fil	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding			
Civil	23	22.3%	0	0.0%	23	19.8%	0	0.0%	23	21.7%			
Criminal	48	46.6%	2	15.4%	50	43.1%	1	10.0%	49	46.2%			
Civil Traffic	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%	0	0.0%	4	3.8%			
Criminal Traffic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
District Total	75		2		77		1		76				
Family Civil	19	18.4%	8	61.5%	27	23.3%	6	60.0%	21	19.8%			
Domestic Violence	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	2	1.7%	1	10.0%	1	0.9%			
Dependency	2	1.9%	1	7.7%	3	2.6%	2	20.0%	1	0.9%			
Delinquency	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
CHINS	7	6.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.0%	0	0.0%	7	6.6%			
Family Total	28	,	11		39		9	,	30	•			
Quarter Caseload	103	100.0%	13	100.0%	116	100.0%	10	100.0%	106	100.0%			

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT												
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding		
Civil	30	5.9%	4	4.8%	34	5.7%	1	4.8%	33	5.8%		
Criminal	223	43.8%	30	36.1%	253	42.7%	8	38.1%	245	42.9%		
Civil Traffic	50	9.8%	9	10.8%	59	10.0%	1	4.8%	58	10.2%		
Criminal Traffic	52	10.2%	5	6.0%	57	9.6%	4	19.0%	53	9.3%		
District Total	355		48		403		14		389			
Family Civil	106	20.8%	13	15.7%	119	20.1%	3	14.3%	116	20.3%		
Domestic Violence	41	8.1%	20	24.1%	61	10.3%	4	19.0%	57	10.0%		
Dependency	6	1.2%	2	2.4%	8	1.4%	0	0.0%	8	1.4%		
Delinquency	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%		
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
Family Total	154		35		189		7		182	•		
Quarter Caseload	509	100.0%	83	100.0%	592	100.0%	21	100.0%	571	100.0%		

CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CHINEL SUDICIAL DISTRICT													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding			
Civil	109	9.6%	11	2.2%	120	7.3%	19	7.6%	101	7.2%			
Criminal	387	34.1%	114	22.3%	501	30.4%	64	25.7%	437	31.3%			
Civil Traffic	169	14.9%	289	56.6%	458	27.8%	88	35.3%	370	26.5%			
Criminal Traffic	112	9.9%	8	1.6%	120	7.3%	3	1.2%	117	8.4%			
District Total	777		422		1,199		174		1,025				
Family Civil	136	12.0%	34	6.7%	170	10.3%	13	5.2%	157	11.2%			
Domestic Violence	139	12.2%	44	8.6%	183	11.1%	55	22.1%	128	9.2%			
Dependency	40	3.5%	6	1.2%	46	2.8%	1	0.4%	45	3.2%			
Delinquency	38	3.3%	3	0.6%	41	2.5%	4	1.6%	37	2.6%			
CHINS	5	0.4%	2	0.4%	7	0.4%	2	0.8%	5	0.4%			
Family Total	358		89		447		75		372				
Quarter Caseload	1,135	100.0%	511	100.0%	1,646	100.0%	249	100.0%	1,397	100.0%			

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1	CROWN CHILDONAL DIGINION												
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding			
Civil	63	2.7%	6	1.5%	69	2.5%	18	12.1%	51	2.0%			
Criminal	1,286	55.6%	92	22.7%	1,378	50.7%	29	19.5%	1,349	52.5%			
Civil Traffic	192	8.3%	186	45.9%	378	13.9%	37	24.8%	341	13.3%			
Criminal Traffic	206	8.9%	8	2.0%	214	7.9%	1	0.7%	213	8.3%			
District Total	1,747		292		2,039		85		1,954				
Family Civil	270	11.7%	31	7.7%	301	11.1%	30	20.1%	271	10.5%			
Domestic Violence	191	8.3%	76	18.8%	267	9.8%	34	22.8%	233	9.1%			
Dependency	79	3.4%	6	1.5%	85	3.1%	0	0.0%	85	3.3%			
Delinquency	25	1.1%	0	0.0%	25	0.9%	0	0.0%	25	1.0%			
CHINS	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%			
Family Total	567		113		680		64		616				
Quarter Caseload	2,314	100.0%	405	100.0%	2,719	100.0%	149	100.0%	2,570	100.0%			

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DIERON GODIGIAE DIGTRIGT													
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding			
Civil	80	3.1%	1	0.2%	81	2.7%	0	0.0%	81	2.8%			
Criminal	639	24.9%	129	31.8%	768	25.8%	3	7.7%	765	26.1%			
Civil Traffic	1,084	42.2%	219	53.9%	1,303	43.8%	0	0.0%	1,303	44.4%			
Criminal Traffic	62	2.4%	13	3.2%	75	2.5%	0	0.0%	75	2.6%			
District Total	1,865		362		2,227		3		2,224				
Family Civil	345	13.4%	21	5.2%	366	12.3%	15	38.5%	351	12.0%			
Domestic Violence	294	11.5%	20	4.9%	314	10.6%	19	48.7%	295	10.1%			
Dependency	46	1.8%	1	0.2%	47	1.6%	1	2.6%	46	1.6%			
Delinquency	16	0.6%	2	0.5%	18	0.6%	1	2.6%	17	0.6%			
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Family Total	701		44		745		36		709				
Quarter Caseload	2,566	100.0%	406	100.0%	2,972	100.0%	39	100.0%	2,933	100.0%			

DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

			DZIŁ YIJII							
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	12	2.2%	7	4.9%	19	2.7%	10	7.7%	9	1.6%
Criminal	225	40.5%	67	47.2%	292	41.9%	52	40.0%	240	42.3%
Civil Traffic	213	38.4%	18	12.7%	231	33.1%	8	6.2%	223	39.3%
Criminal Traffic	15	2.7%	10	7.0%	25	3.6%	8	6.2%	17	3.0%
District Total	465		102		567		78		489	
Family Civil	28	5.0%	6	4.2%	34	4.9%	16	12.3%	18	3.2%
Domestic Violence	7	1.3%	20	14.1%	27	3.9%	23	17.7%	4	0.7%
Dependency	51	9.2%	9	6.3%	60	8.6%	9	6.9%	51	9.0%
Delinquency	4	0.7%	2	1.4%	6	0.9%	4	3.1%	2	0.4%
CHINS	0	0.0%	3	2.1%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.5%
Family Total	90		40		130		52		78	
Quarter Caseload	555	100.0%	142	100.0%	697	100.0%	130	100.0%	567	100.0%

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

			<u> </u>	7. 00 D 10	IAL DIST	11707				
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	42	1.8%	11	1.9%	53	1.8%	8	1.9%	45	1.8%
Criminal	1,690	71.6%	131	22.2%	1,821	61.7%	108	25.1%	1,713	68.0%
Civil Traffic	142	6.0%	322	54.7%	464	15.7%	213	49.4%	251	10.0%
Criminal Traffic	305	12.9%	42	7.1%	347	11.8%	16	3.7%	331	13.1%
District Total	2,179		506		2,685		345		2,340	
Family Civil	89	3.8%	30	5.1%	119	4.0%	18	4.2%	101	4.0%
Domestic Violence	34	1.4%	45	7.6%	79	2.7%	57	13.2%	22	0.9%
Dependency	46	1.9%	8	1.4%	54	1.8%	9	2.1%	45	1.8%
Delinquency	9	0.4%	0	0.0%	9	0.3%	2	0.5%	7	0.3%
CHINS	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.1%
Family Total	181	•	83	•	264	•	86	•	178	_
Quarter Caseload	2,360	100.0%	589	100.0%	2,949	100.0%	431	100.0%	2,518	100.0%

PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File		Case		Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	15	6.8%	2	6.7%	17	6.8%	9	39.1%	8	3.5%
Criminal	155	70.5%	11	36.7%	166	66.4%	1	4.3%	165	72.7%
Civil Traffic	7	3.2%	5	16.7%	12	4.8%	3	13.0%	9	4.0%
Criminal Traffic	7	3.2%	1	3.3%	8	3.2%	0	0.0%	8	3.5%
District Total	184		19		203		13		190	
Family Civil	17	7.7%	0	0.0%	17	6.8%	0	0.0%	17	7.5%
Domestic Violence	12	5.5%	11	36.7%	23	9.2%	9	39.1%	14	6.2%
Dependency	6	2.7%	0	0.0%	6	2.4%	1	4.3%	5	2.2%
Delinquency	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
CHINS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Family Total	36		11		47		10		37	
Quarter Caseload	220	100.0%	30	100.0%	250	100.0%	23	100.0%	227	100.0%

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	5	0.2%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%
Criminal	517	27.5%	20	11.3%	537	26.1%	23	11.4%	514	27.7%
Civil Traffic	1,075	57.1%	133	75.1%	1,208	58.6%	138	68.3%	1,070	57.6%
Criminal Traffic	85	4.5%	14	7.9%	99	4.8%	22	10.9%	77	4.1%
District Total	1,682		167		1,849		183		1,666	
Family Civil	108	5.7%	3	1.7%	111	5.4%	5	2.5%	106	5.7%
Domestic Violence	70	3.7%	6	3.4%	76	3.7%	13	6.4%	63	3.4%
Dependency	5	0.3%	1	0.6%	6	0.3%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%
Delinquency	17	0.9%	0	0.0%	17	0.8%	0	0.0%	17	0.9%
CHINS	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%
Family Total	201		10		211		19		192	
Quarter Caseload	1,883	100.0%	177	100.0%	2,060	100.0%	202	100.0%	1,858	100.0%

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Case Type Brought Forward		SHIPROC File	Filed		load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	130	5.3%	14	2.0%	144	4.6%	6	2.2%	138	4.8%
Criminal	640	26.3%	211	29.5%	851	27.0%	12	4.3%	839	29.2%
Civil Traffic	662	27.2%	268	37.5%	930	29.5%	175	62.9%	755	26.3%
Criminal Traffic	396	16.3%	110	15.4%	506	16.1%	6	2.2%	500	17.4%
District Total	1,828		603		2,431		199		2,232	
Family Civil	383	15.7%	24	3.4%	407	12.9%	6	2.2%	401	14.0%
Domestic Violence	173	7.1%	81	11.3%	254	8.1%	72	25.9%	182	6.3%
Dependency	13	0.5%	3	0.4%	16	0.5%	1	0.4%	15	0.5%
Delinquency	28	1.2%	3	0.4%	31	1.0%	0	0.0%	31	1.1%
CHINS	9	0.4%	1	0.1%	10	0.3%	0	0.0%	10	0.3%
Family Total	606		112		718		79		639	
Quarter Caseload	2,434	100.0%	715	100.0%	3,149	100.0%	278	100.0%	2,871	100.0%

TO'HAJIILEE COURT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	0.2%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%
Criminal	250	61.3%	2	11.1%	252	59.2%	5	19.2%	247	61.8%
Civil Traffic	76	18.6%	0	0.0%	76	17.8%	0	0.0%	76	19.0%
Criminal Traffic	17	4.2%	1	5.6%	18	4.2%	0	0.0%	18	4.5%
District Total	343		4		347		6		341	
Family Civil	26	6.4%	10	55.6%	36	8.5%	9	34.6%	27	6.8%
Domestic Violence	20	4.9%	3	16.7%	23	5.4%	9	34.6%	14	3.5%
Dependency	4	1.0%	1	5.6%	5	1.2%	2	7.7%	3	0.8%
Delinquency	7	1.7%	0	0.0%	7	1.6%	0	0.0%	7	1.8%
CHINS	8	2.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.9%	0	0.0%	8	2.0%
Family Total	65		14	•	79	•	20	•	59	_
Quarter Caseload	408	100.0%	18	100.0%	426	100.0%	26	100.0%	400	100.0%

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pend	ding
Civil	43	1.7%	6	0.9%	49	1.6%	8	1.9%	41	1.5%
Criminal	1,598	64.9%	213	32.0%	1,811	57.9%	79	18.9%	1,732	63.8%
Civil Traffic	430	17.5%	356	53.5%	786	25.1%	237	56.8%	549	20.2%
Criminal Traffic	152	6.2%	21	3.2%	173	5.5%	1	0.2%	172	6.3%
District Total	2,223		596		2,819		325		2,494	
Family Civil	166	6.7%	26	3.9%	192	6.1%	27	6.5%	165	6.1%
Domestic Violence	28	1.1%	41	6.2%	69	2.2%	45	10.8%	24	0.9%
Dependency	37	1.5%	3	0.5%	40	1.3%	16	3.8%	24	0.9%
Delinquency	8	0.3%	0	0.0%	8	0.3%	2	0.5%	6	0.2%
CHINS	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%
Family Total	241		70		311		92		219	
Quarter Caseload	2,464	100.0%	666	100.0%	3,130	100.0%	417	100.0%	2,713	100.0%

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

		771	NDOW K	JUN JUL	IIGIAL DI	<u>STRICT</u>				
Case Type	Brought	Forward	File	ed	Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pen	ding
Civil	756	20.8%	7	4.3%	763	20.0%	27	15.7%	736	20.2%
Criminal	363	10.0%	56	34.1%	419	11.0%	53	30.8%	366	10.1%
Civil Traffic	1,464	40.2%	1	0.6%	1,465	38.5%	0	0.0%	1,465	40.3%
Criminal Traffic	52	1.4%	11	6.7%	63	1.7%	11	6.4%	52	1.4%
District Total	2,635		75		2,710		91		2,619	
Family Civil	792	21.7%	46	28.0%	838	22.0%	32	18.6%	806	22.2%
Domestic Violence	111	3.0%	37	22.6%	148	3.9%	28	16.3%	120	3.3%
Dependency	96	2.6%	5	3.0%	101	2.7%	16	9.3%	85	2.3%
Delinquency	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	3	1.7%	3	0.1%
CHINS	3	0.1%	1	0.6%	4	0.1%	2	1.2%	2	0.1%
Family Total	1,008		89		1,097		81		1,016	
Quarter Caseload	3,643	100.0%	164	100.0%	3,807	100.0%	172	100.0%	3,635	100.0%

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

		INAVA	<u> 130 NA 11</u>	ON PRO	<u>DATION S</u>	CINVICE	<u> </u>			
Case Type	Brought Forward		Filed		Case	load	Closed	Cases	Pending	
Adult Probation	577	23.9%	124	21.2%	701	23.4%	101	18.2%	600	24.5%
Adult Parole	6	0.2%	3	0.5%	9	0.3%	4	0.7%	5	0.2%
Adult Short-Term Probation	1,701	70.4%	445	76.2%	2,146	71.5%	429	77.4%	1,717	70.2%
Adult Probation Total	2,284		572		2,856		534		2,322	
Juvenile Probation	46	1.9%	2	0.3%	48	1.6%	5	0.9%	43	1.8%
Juvenile Short-Term Probation	87	3.6%	10	1.7%	97	3.2%	15	2.7%	82	3.4%
Juvenile Probation Total	133		12		145		20		125	
Quarter Caseload	2,417	100.0%	584	100.0%	3,001	100.0%	554	100.0%	2,447	100.0%

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

District	Brought	Forward	File	od		eload	Closed	Cacac	Pen	dina
	Brought		FII		Case		Cioseu			· J
Alamo	11	5.9%	28	29.8%	39	14.0%	3	3.9%	36	17.7%
Aneth	6	3.2%	0	0.0%	6	2.2%	0	0.0%	6	3.0%
Chinle	28	15.1%	11	11.7%	39	14.0%	29	38.2%	10	4.9%
Crownpoint	18	9.7%	0	0.0%	18	6.5%	0	0.0%	18	8.9%
Dilkon	8	4.3%	10	10.6%	18	6.5%	6	7.9%	12	5.9%
Dził Yijiin	6	3.2%	3	3.2%	9	3.2%	1	1.3%	8	3.9%
Kayenta	17	9.2%	10	10.6%	27	9.7%	2	2.6%	25	12.3%
Ramah	13	7.0%	3	3.2%	16	5.7%	0	0.0%	16	7.9%
Shiprock	33	17.8%	2	2.1%	35	12.5%	24	31.6%	11	5.4%
To'hajiilee	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%
Tuba City	9	4.9%	26	27.7%	35	12.5%	11	14.5%	24	11.8%
Window Rock	35	18.9%	1	1.1%	36	12.9%	0	0.0%	36	17.7%
Quarter Caseload	185	100.0%	94	100.0%	279	100.0%	76	100.0%	203	100.0%

X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

JUDICIAL BRANCH FY 2021 BUDGETS vs EXPENDITURES - as of 3/31/21

The Judicial Branch receives operating funds from two main sources of continual appropriation to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

A Navajo Nation General Fund. The 24th Navajo Nation Council tabled Legislation 0224-20, the FY 2021 Comprehensives budget on 9/24/20. The Council approved legislation 0232-30 on 9/25/20, adopting a Continuing Resolution (CR); Beginning 10/1/20 and Ending December 31, 2020 and uploaded 1/4 of the NN Fiscal Year 2020 Comprehensive Budget as approved through CS-30-19 on 9/13/19. The Judicial Branch General Fund budget allocation was \$3,731,163.25 plus Indirect Cost Recovery of \$37,526 totaling \$3,768,689.25. On 10/6/20 an additional allocation of \$69,480.18 was uploaded into FMIS to cover the 2% GWA from FY 2020. The budget was revised to \$3,838,169.43 (Original Budget). The budget was revised again in October, 2020 to include FY 2020 Prior Year Encumbrance Carryover for BU 102008 @ \$1,500 and BU 102012 @ \$2,701.37 totaling \$4,201.37 for a Revised Budget amount of \$3,842,370.80. On 12/10/20 the NN President approved the FY 2021 Comprehensive budget per legislation CN-88-20. The difference of \$12,916,590.57 for General Funds and \$92,581 for IDC totaling \$16,624,653 and \$130,107 respectively was posted to the FMIS on 12/18/20. Also, FY 2021 IDC Carryover of \$48,206 and \$439,253 in FY 2022 GWA was posted to FMIS for month ending 3/31/21 for a 3rd Revised Budget amount of \$17,246,420.37. As of 3/31/21, the Judicial Branch's FY 2021 General Fund Budgets consists of eighteen (18) Business Units plus one (1) NN Integrated Justice - Fixed Cost Budget which also includes 1/4 funding in the amount of \$87,500, FY 2020 Prior Year Carryover of \$32,807.50, and the FY 2021 Fixed Costs Allocation of \$287,500 for a Revised Budget of \$407,807.50. The Judicial Branch's and expenditures are as follows:

	Revised Bu	dget of \$407,807.50. The Judicial Brand	ch budgets and expendi	tures are as follows:				
	Business							%
	Unit/Object					ĺ		Expensed
No.	Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	of Total
1	102001	Admin Office of the Courts						
	1930	Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(48,206.00)	0.00	0.00	(48,206.00)	0.00%
	1992	IDC Recovery	(37,526.00)	(130, 107.00)	(60, 107.40)	0.00	(69,999.60)	46.20%
	1996	Allocation	(358,249.52)	(2,232,822.00)	0.00	0.00	(2,232,822.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(395,775.52)	(2,411,135.00)	(60, 107.40)	0.00	(2,351,027.60)	2.49%
					, , ,			
	2000	Personnel Expenses	372,569.52	1,530,755.00	701,930.78	0.00	828,824.22	45.86%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	23,206.00	880,380.00	48,197.47	9,704.56	822,477.97	6.58%
	2000	Expenses	395,775.52	2,411,135.00	750,128.25	9,704.56	1,651,302.19	31.51%
	2000	Expenses	000,110.02	2, ,	700,120.20	0,7 0 1100	1,001,002.10	0110170
2	102002	Chinle Judicial District						
_								
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(269,339.37)	(1,166,054.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,166,054.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(269,339.37)	(1,166,054.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,166,054.00)	
	.000	T LO VOLINGO	(200,000.01)	(1,100,001.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,100,001.00)	0.0070
	2001	Personnel Expenses	250,032.37	1,079,192.00	397,490.78	0.00	681,701.22	36.83%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	19,307.00	86,862.00	23,599.14	0.00	63,262.86	27.17%
	2000	Expenses	269,339.37	1,166,054.00	421,089.92	0.00	744,964.08	36.11%
	2000	Expenses	200,000.01	1,100,004.00	421,000.02	0.00	744,504.00	30.1170
3	102003	Crownpoint Judicial District						
3	102003	Crownpoint Judicial District						
-	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(226,498.40)	(982,201.00)	0.00	0.00	(982,201.00)	
-	1000	Revenues	(226,498.40)	(982,201.00)	0.00	0.00	(982,201.00)	0.00%
-	1000	Revenues	(220,490.40)	(902,201.00)	0.00	0.00	(962,201.00)	0.0076
-	2001	Personnel Expenses	213,607.40	929,916.00	335,119.26	0.00	594.796.74	36.04%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	12,891.00	52,285.00	13,315.57	0.00	38,969.43	25.47%
-	2000	Expenses	226,498.40		348,434.83	0.00		35.47%
-	2000	Expenses	220,490.40	982,201.00	340,434.03	0.00	633,766.17	35.47%
	100001	Western Basis to Patel Blacket						
4	102004	Window Rock Judicial District						
_	1010	D: V O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DD //OI
-	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(283,237.77)	(1,226,615.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,226,615.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(283,237.77)	(1,226,615.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,226,615.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	266,220.02	1,147,327.00	383,463.66	0.00	763,863.34	33.42%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	17,017.75	79,288.00	13,175.71	0.00	66,112.29	16.62%
	2000	Expenses	283,237.77	1,226,615.00	396,639.37	0.00	829,975.63	32.34%
5	102005	Shiprock Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(279,287.31)	(1,162,283.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,162,283.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(279,287.31)	(1,162,283.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,162,283.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	263,888.06	1,104,149.00	447,617.60	0.00	656,531.40	40.54%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	15,399.25	58,134.00	22,758.23	5,224.28	30,151.49	48.13%
	2000	Expenses	279,287.31	1,162,283.00	470,375.83	5,224.28	686,682.89	40.92%

No.	Business Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
6	102006	Tuba City Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(249,680.76)	(1,128,696.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,128,696.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(249,680.76)	(1,128,696.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,128,696.00)	0.00%
				` '				
	2001	Personnel Expenses	234,522.01	1,038,167.00	467,047.79	0.00	571,119.21	44.99%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	15,158.75	90,529.00	25,680.85	10,313.09	54,535.06	39.76%
	2000	Expenses	249,680.76	1,128,696.00	492,728.64	10,313.09	625,654.27	44.57%
7	102007	Ramah Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(125,312.86)	(560, 106.00)	0.00	0.00	(560, 106.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(125,312.86)	(560,106.00)	0.00	0.00	(560,106.00)	0.00%
_	2001	Personnel Expenses	115,392.86	515,730.99	190,051.91	0.00	325,679.08	36.85%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	9,920.00	44,375.00	12,409.19	2,427.59	29,538.22	33.43%
	2000	Expenses	125,312.86	560,105.99	202,461.10	2,427.59	355,217.30	36.58%
8	102008	Supreme Court						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(1,500.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,500.00)	0.00%
	1942	Allocation	(322,929.05)	(1,410,332.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,410,332.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(322,929.05)	(1,411,832.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,411,832.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	299,134.80	1,308,458.00	586,572.97	0.00	721,885.03	44.83%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	23,794.25	103,374.00	27,608.91	1,544.33	74,220.76	28.20%
	2000	Expenses	322,929.05	1,411,832.00	614,181.88	1,544.33	796,105.79	43.61%
9	102009	Peacemaking Program						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(312,675.40)	(1,311,736.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,311,736.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(312,675.40)	(1,311,736.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,311,736.00)	0.00%
	2001	Porconnol Evnonces	293,167.90	1,201,672.99	563,970.68	0.00	627 702 24	46.93%
	3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	19,507.50	110,063.00	14,642.01	45.66	637,702.31 95,375.33	13.34%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	312,675.40	1,311,735.99	578,612.69	45.66	733,077.64	44.11%
10	102010	Kayenta Judicial District						
	.020.0	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(217,460.62)	(905,269.00)	0.00	0.00	(905,269.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(217,460.62)	(905,269.00)	0.00	0.00	(905,269.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	199,799.12	820,849.00	333,614.09	0.00	487,234.91	40.64%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	17,661.50	84,420.00	16,403.27	0.00	68,016.73	19.43%
	2000	Expenses	217,460.62	905,269.00	350,017.36	0.00	555,251.64	38.66%
11	102011	Dilkon Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1942	Allocation	(195,102.35)	(804,855.00)	0.00	0.00	(804,855.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(195,102.35)	(804,855.00)	0.00	0.00	(804,855.00)	
	2001	Personnel Expenses	177,089.10	727,606.00	338,971.34	0.00	388,634.66	46.59%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	18,013.25	77,249.00	16,325.18	4,462.45	56,461.37	26.91%
	2000	Expenses	195,102.35	804,855.00	355,296.52	4,462.45	445,096.03	44.70%
12	102012	Aneth Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(2,701.37)	0.00	0.00	(2,701.37)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(159,862.50)	(672,677.00)	0.00	0.00	(672,677.00)	
	1000	Revenues	(159,862.50)	(675,378.37)	0.00	0.00	(675,378.37)	
	.000					1		
	2001 3000-7000	Personnel Expenses Operating Expenses	145,158.25 14,704.25	606,576.00 68,802.37	227,187.87 20,236.20	0.00 4,912.16	379,388.13 43,654.01	37.45% 36.55%

No.	Business Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
	102013	Tohajiilee Judicial District	T Original Zaagot		71010000		uger/rranazie	0
	1010	Div V	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	((DI) ((O)
	1942 1996	Prior Year Carry Over Allocation	0.00	0.00 (691,428.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00 (691,428.00)	#DIV/0! 0.00%
_	1000	Revenues	(160,815.25) (160,815.25)	(691,428.00)	0.00	0.00	(691,428.00)	0.00%
	1000	Neveriues	(100,013.23)	(091,420.00)	0.00	0.00	(091,420.00)	0.0078
	2001	Personnel Expenses	145,158.25	606,576.00	287,020.22	0.00	319,555.78	47.32%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	15,657.00	84,852.00	13,964.52	0.00	70,887.48	16.46%
	2000	Expenses	160,815.25	691,428.00	300,984.74	0.00	390,443.26	43.53%
44	102014	Alama Indiaial District						
14	102014	Alamo Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
_	1996	Allocation	(57,643.22)	(192,129.00)	0.00	0.00	(192,129.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(57,643.22)	(192,129.00)	0.00	0.00	(192,129.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	49,828.22	149,276.00	72,251.81	0.00	77,024.19	48.40%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	7,815.00	42,853.00	6,268.90	0.00	36,584.10	14.63%
	2000	Expenses	57,643.22	192,129.00	78,520.71	0.00	113,608.29	40.87%
15	102015	Dzil Yiijin Judicial District						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(80,773.78)	(478,397.00)	0.00	0.00	(478,397.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(80,773.78)	(478,397.00)	0.00	0.00	(478,397.00)	0.00%
			, , , , ,				,	
_	2001	Personnel Expenses	72,229.78	429,669.01	172,155.01	0.00	257,514.00	40.07%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	8,544.00	48,728.00	14,108.27	6,655.05	27,964.68	42.61%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	80,773.78	478,397.01	186,263.28	6,655.05	285,478.68	40.33%
16	102017	Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(42,238.05)	(219,943.00)	0.00	0.00	(219,943.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(42,238.05)	(219,943.00)	0.00	0.00	(219,943.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	36,518.30	192,454.01	36,611.38	0.00	155,842.63	19.02%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	5,719.75	27,489.00	2,851.45	0.00	24,637.55	10.37%
	2000	Expenses	42,238.05	219,943.01	39,462.83	0.00	180,480.18	17.94%
17	102018	Probation Services						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1996	Allocation	(450,867.72)	(1,877,669.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,877,669.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(450,867.72)	(1,877,669.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,877,669.00)	0.00%
	1000	To to lideo	(100,001.12)	(1,011,000.00)	0.00	0.00	(1,077,000.00)	0.0070
	2001	Personnel Expenses	419,576.72	1,719,993.00	774,752.34	0.00	945,240.66	45.04%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	31,291.00	157,676.00	36,797.80	4,175.18	116,703.02	25.99%
- 1	2000	Expenses	450,867.72	1,877,669.00	811,550.14	4,175.18	1,061,943.68	43.44%
18	102019	Judicial Conduct Commission						
-	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
_	1942	Allocation	(8,669.50)	(40,694.00)	0.00	0.00	(40,694.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(8,669.50)	(40,694.00)	0.00	0.00	(40,694.00)	0.00%
	2001	Personnel Expenses	1,096.75	10,725.00	1,976.63	0.00	8,748.37	18.43%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	7,572.75	29,969.00	1,746.18	1,001.70	27,221.12	9.17%
	2000	Expenses	8,669.50	40,694.00	3,722.81	1,001.70	35,969.49	11.61%
Judio	cial Branch	General Fund Total:	3,838,169.43	17,246,420.37	6,647,894.97	50,466.05	10,548,059.35	38.84%
Over	all Breakdo	wn of General Funds:						
		Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	1930 1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00		0.00	0.00	(52,407.37)	#DIV/0! 0.00%
	1942	IDC Recovery	(37,526.00)	(130,107.00)	(60,107.40)	0.00	(52,407.37)	46.20%
	1996	Allocation	(3,800,643.43)		0.00	0.00	(17,063,906.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(3,838,169.43)	(17,003,900.00)	(60,107.40)	0.00	(17,003,900.00)	0.35%
			(0,000,100.40)	(,2.3,120.01)	(55, 157. 40)	0.50	(,.50,012.07)	3.0070
	2000	Personnel Expenses	3,554,989.43	15,119,092.00	6,317,806.12	0.00	8,801,285.88	41.79%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	283,180.00	2,127,328.37	330,088.85	50,466.05	1,746,773.47	17.89%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	3,838,169.43	17,246,420.37	6,647,894.97	50,466.05	10,548,059.35	38.84%

No.	Business Unit/Object Code	Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	% Expensed of Total
19	118019	NN Integrated Justice (Fixed Costs)	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - 11D	Lilcuitiblances	Buuget Available	OI TOTAL
19	110019	initegrated sustice (Fixed Costs)						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(32,807.50)	0.00	0.00	(32,807.50)	0.00%
	1996	Allocation	(87,500.00)	(375,000.00)	0.00	0.00	(375,000.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(87,500.00)	(407,807.50)	0.00	0.00	(407,807.50)	0.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	87,500.00	407,807.50	68,433.01	28,207.50	311,166.99	23.70%
	2000	Expenses	87,500.00	407,807.50	68,433.01	28,207.50	311,166.99	23.70%
	1930	Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
		wn of General Funds Plus NN Integrated						
	1942	Prior Year Carry Over	0.00	(85,214.87)	0.00	0.00	(85,214.87)	
	1992	IDC Recovery	(37,526.00)		(60,107.40)		(69,999.60)	
	1996	Allocation	(3,888,143.43)	(17,438,906.00)	0.00	0.00	(17,438,906.00)	0.00%
	1000	Revenues	(3,925,669.43)	(17,654,227.87)	(60,107.40)	0.00	(17,594,120.47)	0.34%
	2000	Personnel Expenses	3,554,989.43	15,119,092.00	6,317,806.12	0.00	8,801,285.88	41.79%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	370,680.00	2,535,135.87	398,521.86	78,673.55	2,057,940.46	18.82%
	9000	Capital Outlay	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	3,925,669.43	17,654,227.87	6,716,327.98	78,673.55	10,859,226.34	38.49%

The Judicial Branch currently has twenty (20) Active External Fund Budgets as follows: (1) K170801 Peacemaking Youth Edu. Apprentices Contract Term 10/1/17 – 9/30/21; (2) K180800 Navajo Juvenile Healing to Wellness Court, Contract Term 10/1/17 – 9/30/21; (3) K170802 FY 2017 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/16 - 9/30/20; (4) K180801 FY '18 New Path Reentry, Contract Term 10/01/18 - 9/30/20; (5) K180802 NN Wellness Courts, Contract Term 10/1/18 - 9/30/21; (6) K160800 FY '16 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/15 - 9/30/19; (7) K180803 FY '18 Edward Bryne Jag, Contract Term 10/1/17 - 9/30/21; (8) K160736 CY 16 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19; (9) K160781 CY 16 Judicial One Time Funding, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19, (10) K170745 CY 17 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/19; (11) K170748 CY 17 One Time Funding VAVA, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/18; (12) K180718 CY 18 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/21; (13) K180772 CY 18 One Time Direct TWAHE Funding Contract Term 1/1/18 - 12/31/21; (14) K190723 CY 19 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/21; (15) K190778 CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/20; (16) K200713 CY 20 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/21; (17) K200744 CY 20 One Time Funds VAWA, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/21; (18) K200791 CY 20 One time Fund DAPA CW, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/21; (19) K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/21 and (20) K201506 US Treasury - Judicial Brach, Contract Term 8/26/20 - 12/30/20, extended to 12/30/21. There are twelve (12) Public Law 93-638 Indian Self-Determination Act, multiyear contracts with the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

	Business							%
١	Unit/Object					_		Expensed
No.		Program/Description	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Actuals - YTD	Encumbrances	Budget Available	of Total
1	K170801	Peacemaking Youth EDU-Apprentices						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	0.00	106,658.00	11,646.50	0.00	95,011.50	10.92%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	302,448.00	195,660.00	65,576.65	0.00	130,083.35	33.52%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	47,182.00	47,312.00	12,085.44	0.00	35,226.56	25.54%
	2000	Expenses	349,630.00	349,630.00	89,308.59	0.00	260,321.41	25.54%
2	K180800	Navajo Juvenile Healing To Wellness (Court					
	2001	Personnel Expenses	106,185.00	106,185.00	15,609.35	0.00	90,575.65	14.70%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	196,583.00	196,583.00	10,866.06	0.00	185,716.94	5.53%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	47,232.00	47,232.00	4,192.70	0.00	43,039.30	8.88%
	2000	Expenses	350,000.00	350,000.00	30,668.11	0.00	319,331.89	8.76%
3	K170802	FY 17 Edward Byrne JAG						
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	64,537.00	64,537.00	0.00	0.00	64,537.00	0.00%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	6,453.00	6,453.00	0.00	0.00	6,453.00	0.00%
_	2000	Expenses	70,990.00	70,990.00	0.00	0.00	70,990.00	0.00%
4	K180801	FY '18 New Path Reentry						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	59,902.00	59,902.00	0.00	0.00	59,902.00	0.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	113,033.00	113,033.00	405.06	0.00	112,627.94	0.36%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	27,065.00	27,065.00	64.20	0.00	27,000.80	0.24%
	2000	Expenses	200,000.00	200,000.00	469.26	0.00	199,530.74	0.23%
5	K180802	NN Wellness Courts						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	365,197.00	365,197.00	5,265.35	0.00	359,931.65	1.44%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	283,311.00	283,311.00	563.67	0.00	282,747.33	0.20%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	101,492.00	101,492.00	923.90	0.00	100,568.10	0.91%
	2000	Expenses	750,000.00	750,000.00	6,752.92	0.00	743,247.08	0.90%

9000-7000 Separating Expanses 4,931.00 44,932.00 43,911.52 0.00 1,278.48							FY 16 Edward Byrne JAG	6 K160800
Temperature	97.12%	1,276.48	0.00	43,115.52	44,392.00	46,301.00	Operating Expenses	3000-7000
Total	97.13%	127.44	0.00	4,311.56	4,439.00	2,530.00	Matching & Indirect Cost	9500
S0007000 Operating Expenses 99,981 to 0 99,988 to 0 99,981 to	97.12%	1,403.92	0.00	47,427.08	48,831.00	48,831.00	Expenses	2000
S0007000 Operating Expenses 99,981 to 0 99,988 to 0 99,981 to								
Septimes Septimes								
Business	0.00%					,		
Business No. Code	0.00%					,	•	
Business No. Works ProgramDescription Pro	0.00%	109,539.00	0.00	0.00	109,539.00	109,539.00	Expenses	2000
Business No. throughout ProgramDescription	0.000	04 704 004 04	# 0.00	0474 005 00	#4 070 000 00	04 070 000 00		
No No No No No No	9.29%	\$1,704,364.04	\$0.00	\$174,625.96	\$1,878,990.00	\$1,878,990.00	Judiciai Branch External Funds	
No. Code Program/Description Original Budget Revised Budget Actuals - YTD Excumbrances Budget Available 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,344,724.00 949,782.11 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Copital Cultary 0.00 0.00 86,175.49 98,175.49 0.00 0.00 2001 Personnel Expenses 1,455.30.100 7,277.77 8,175.77,157.77 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 CY 15 Judicial One Time Funds 2001 Personnel Expenses 276,511.00 280,169.60 280,169.60 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 16,305.00 12,646.40 12,646.40 0.00 0.00 2001 Expenses 19,305.00 13,588,721.44 1,358,721.44 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Cyr 17 tribal Courts 70,471.00 13,588,721.44 1,358,721.44 0.00 0.00 10 X17074 X1707 X1700 X17074 X17074 X17074 X17074 X17074 X17074 X17074 X17074 </th <th>%</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Business</th>	%							Business
Keb0736 CY16 Tribal Courts 1,334,724.00 949,782.11 949,782.11 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 101,577.00 680,813.45 680,813.45 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 1,438,391.00 1,725,771.05 1,725,771.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 1,438,391.00 1,725,771.05 1,725,771.05 0.00 0.00 0.00 2001 CY16 Judicial Construction 276,511.00 280,169.60 280,169.60 0.00 0.00 2001 0.00 Expenses 1,630.500 12,464.00 12,464.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 16,305.00 12,464.00 222,816.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 16,305.00 12,464.00 12,464.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 16,305.00 12,464.00 12,464.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 770,471.00 1,358,721.44 1,358,721.44 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 53,683.00 232,055.56 232,055.56 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 282,043.00 1,599,777.00 1,599,777.00 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,728.00 64,201.70 64,201.70 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 298,684.00 1,555,491.00 1,559,353.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 298,684.00 1,555,491.00 1,579,715.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 20,000,800.00 275,818.10 271,754.00 0.00 3,862.06 3000-7000 0.0	Expensed							Unit/Object
2001	of Total	Budget Available	Encumbrances	Actuals - YTD	Revised Budget	Original Budget	Program/Description	o. Code
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 101.577.00 680.813.45 680.813.45 0.00 0.00								B K160736
9000	100.00%	0.00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	
Expenses	100.00%			680,813.45	,.	101,577.00	Operating Expenses	
No. No.	100.00%			95,175.49	95,175.49		Capital Outlay	9000
2001	100.00%	0.00	0.00	1,725,771.05	1,725,771.05	1,436,301.00	Expenses	2000
2001							CY 16 Judicial One Time Funds	9 K160781
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,305.00 12,646.40 12,646.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 10	100.00%	0.00	0.00	280 169 60	280 169 60	276 511 00		
2000 Expenses 282,816.00 292,816.00 292,816.00 0.00	100.00%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
10 K170745 CY17 Tribal Courts Personnel Expenses 770,471,00 1,358,721.44 1,358,721.44 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Expenses 824,034,00 1,590,777.00 1,590,777.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Expenses 824,034,00 1,590,777.00 1,590,777.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1 K170748 CY17 One Time Funds VAWA Personnel Expenses 10,272.00 25,798.30 25,798.30 0.00	100.00%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 770.471.00 1.388,721.44 1.388,721.44 0.00 0.00 2000 2000 Expenses 55.683.00 232.065.56 232.065.56 0.00	. 30.007	0.00	0.00	,510.00	_5_,510.00	_5_,510.00		
2000 Expenses 53,563.00 232,055.66 232,055.66 0.00								
2000 Expenses 824,034.00 1,590,777.00 1,590,777.00 0.00 0.00 11	100.00%							
11 K170748 CV17 One Time Funds VAWA 2001 Personnel Expenses 10,272.00 25,798.30 25,798.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 79,728.00 64,201.70 64,201.70 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 2001 Personnel Expenses 258,684.00 1,555,491.00 1,559,353.06 0.00 3,862.06 2000 Expenses 269,617.00 1,670,715.00 1,670,715.00 0.00 3,862.06 2000 Expenses 229,617.00 1,670,715.00 1,670,715.00 0.0	100.00%			232,055.56			Operating Expenses	3000-7000
2001 Personnel Expenses 10.272.00 25,798.30 25,798.30 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Cperating Expenses 79,728.00 64,201.70 64,201.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.	100.00%	0.00	0.00	1,590,777.00	1,590,777.00	824,034.00	Expenses	2000
2001 Personnel Expenses 10.272.00 25,798.30 25,798.30 0.00 0.00 3000-7000 Cperating Expenses 79,728.00 64,201.70 64,201.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.							CV 17 One Time Funds VAWA	1 K1707/19
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 79,728.00 64,201.70 64,201.70 0.00 0.00 0.00 2000 Expenses 90,000.00 90,000.00 90,000.00 0.0	100.00%	0.00	0.00	25 798 30	25 798 30	10 272 00		
12 X180778 Yes Personnel Expenses 258,884.00 1,555,491.00 1,559,353.06 0.00 0	100.00%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	
12 1180718 CY 18 Tribal Courts 258,684.00 1,555,491.00 1,559,353.06 0.00 (3,882.05 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 10,933.00 115,224.00 111,361.94 0.00 3.682.06 2000 Expenses 269,617.00 1,670,715.00 1,670,715.00 0.00 0.00 13 K180772 CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE Personnel Expenses 272,340.00 275,815.10 271,754.00 0.00 0.00 4.061.10 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 272,340.00 275,815.10 271,754.00 0.00 4.061.10 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 295,828.00 290,12.90 19,496.13 0.00 4.577.87 2000 Expenses 295,828.00 295,828.00 291,250.13 0.00 4.577.87 2000 Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4.577.87 2000 Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 2001 Personnel Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 33,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 255,766.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 61,231.06 61 K200713 CY 20 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 273,045.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,682,929.00 11,485.12 0.00 113,804.18 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 18 18 18 18 19 19	100.00%							
201	100.007	0.00	0.00	00,000.00	00,000.00	00,000.00	Experience	2000
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 10.933.00 115.224.00 111.361.94 0.00 3.862.06 2000 Expenses 269.617.00 1.670.715.00 1.670.715.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.880.725 1.680.715.00 1.670.715.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.680.715.00 1.670.715.00 0.							CY 18 Tribal Courts	2 K180718
2000 Expenses 269,617.00 1,670,715.00 1,670,715.00 0.00 0.00	100.25%	(3,862.06)	0.00	1,559,353.06	1,555,491.00	258,684.00	Personnel Expenses	2001
13 K180772 CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE 2001 Personnel Expenses 272,340.00 275,815.10 271,754.00 0.00 4,061.10 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 23,488.00 20,012.90 19,496.13 0.00 516.77 2000 Expenses 295,828.00 295,828.00 291,250.13 0.00 4,577.87 14 K190723 CY 19 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4,594.57 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06 41.200 Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 51,130.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 297,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,882,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89 17 K200744 CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA Personnel Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,485.12 0.00 104,193.00 104,193.00 Expenses 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 525,843.00 69,75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,303,133.67 2000 Expenses 289,925.	96.65%	3,862.06	0.00	111,361.94	115,224.00	10,933.00	Operating Expenses	3000-7000
2001 Personnel Expenses 272,340.00 275,815.10 271,754.00 0.00 4,061.10 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 23,488.00 20,012.90 19,496.13 0.00 516.77 2000 Expenses 295,828.00 295,828.00 291,250.13 0.00 4,577.87 4 K190723 CY 19 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4,594.57 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 467,63.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 270,643.00 276,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06 411.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 51,130.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,318.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89 17 K200744 CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA 2001 Personnel Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,804.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 273,047.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Ex	100.00%	0.00	0.00	1,670,715.00	1,670,715.00	269,617.00	Expenses	2000
2001 Personnel Expenses 272,340.00 275,815.10 271,754.00 0.00 4,061.10								
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 23,488.00 20,012.90 19,496.13 0.00 516.77								
2000 Expenses 295,828.00 295,828.00 291,250.13 0.00 4,577.87	98.53%					,	·	
14 K190723 CY 19 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4,594.57 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 250,000 250,000 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06 46,201.00	97.42%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4,594.57 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 270,643.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 33,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06 41,200 Personnel Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 323,185.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89 2000 Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 47,37,66.88 2001 Personnel Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 43,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 2000 Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 273,047.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92 2000 Expenses 289,925	98.45%	4,577.87	0.00	291,250.13	295,828.00	295,828.00	Expenses	2000
2001 Personnel Expenses 262,524.00 1,441,732.00 1,437,137.43 0.00 4,594.57							CY 19 Tribal Courts	4 K190723
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 8,119.00 244,088.00 170,314.71 27,010.26 46,763.03 2000 Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60 15	99.68%	4 594 57	0.00	1 437 137 43	1 441 732 00	262 524 00		
Expenses 270,643.00 1,685,820.00 1,607,452.14 27,010.26 51,357.60	80.84%					,	·	
15 K190778 CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 33,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06	96.95%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06				.,,	.,,			
2001 Personnel Expenses 277,245.00 278,655.00 255,765.38 0.00 22,889.62 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06							CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds	5 K190778
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 48,061.00 46,651.00 7,897.68 411.88 38,341.44 2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06	91.79%	22,889.62	0.00	255,765.38	278,655.00	277,245.00	Personnel Expenses	2001
2000 Expenses 325,306.00 325,306.00 263,663.06 411.88 61,231.06	17.81%	38,341.44	411.88	7,897.68			·	3000-7000
16 K200713 CY 20 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 51,130.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89 17 K200744 CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA VAVA	81.18%	61,231.06	411.88	263,663.06	325,306.00		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2000
2001 Personnel Expenses 272,055.00 1,688,785.40 1,576,931.24 0.00 111,854.16 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 51,130.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89 17 K200744 CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW 2001 Personnel Expenses 478,643.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26				,	,	,		
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 51,130.00 174,143.60 12,614.07 63,814.80 97,714.73 2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89	00.000	444.054.40	0.00	4 570 004 04	4 600 705 40	070 055 00		
2000 Expenses 323,185.00 1,862,929.00 1,589,545.31 63,814.80 209,568.89	93.38%						·	
17 K200744 CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA 2001 Personnel Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW Value Value <td< th=""><th>43.89% 88.75%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>	43.89% 88.75%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW Value	00.737	209,500.09	03,014.00	1,369,343.31	1,002,929.00	323,103.00	Expenses	2000
2001 Personnel Expenses 80,927.00 80,927.00 11,413.12 0.00 69,513.88 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00 2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW Value							CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA	7 K200744
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 104,265.00 104,265.00 72.00 0.00 104,193.00	14.10%	69 513 88	0.00	11 413 12	80 927 00	80 927 00		
2000 Expenses 185,192.00 185,192.00 11,485.12 0.00 173,706.88 18 K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW 2001 Personnel Expenses 478,643.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	0.07%					,	·	
K200791 CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW 2001 Personnel Expenses 478,643.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	6.20%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 478,643.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	0.207	110,100.00	0.00	,	100,102.00	100,102.00	ZAPONOGO	
2001 Personnel Expenses 478,643.00 480,023.00 76,534.23 0.00 403,488.77 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92						W	CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA C	8 K200791
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 47,200.00 45,820.00 467.51 0.00 45,352.49 2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts	15.94%	403.488.77	0.00	76.534.23	480.023.00			
2000 Expenses 525,843.00 525,843.00 77,001.74 0.00 448,841.26 19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	1.02%	45,352.49				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
19 K210722 CY 21 Tribal Courts 2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	14.64%							
2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92				,	,	,		
2001 Personnel Expenses 273,047.00 1,433,497.00 130,363.33 0.00 1,303,133.67 3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92							CY 21 Tribal Courts	9 K210722
3000-7000 Operating Expenses 16,878.00 62,837.00 694.75 0.00 62,142.25 2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	9.09%	1,303,133.67	0.00	130,363.33	1,433,497.00	273,047.00		
2000 Expenses 289,925.00 1,496,334.00 131,058.08 0.00 1,365,275.92	1.11%							
	8.76%	1,365,275.92						
Total P.L. 93-638 Funds: \$ 5,128,690.00 \$ 11,747,331.05 \$ 9,341,534.63 \$ 91,236.94 \$ 2,314,559.48								
	80.30%	\$ 2,314,559.48	\$ 91,236.94	\$ 9,341,534.63	\$ 11,747,331.05	\$ 5,128,690.00	Total P.L. 93-638 Funds:	
Judicial Branch External Funds & P.L. 93-638 Grand Total: \$ 7,007,680.00 \$ 13,626,321.05 \$ 9,516,160.59 \$ 91,236.94 \$ 4,018,923.52	70.51%	\$ 4,018,923.52	\$ 91,236.94	\$ 9,516,160.59	\$ 13,626,321.05	\$ 7,007,680.00	ch External Funds & P.L. 93-638 Grand Total:	Judicial Brand

20	K201506	US TREASURY Judicial Branch						
	2001	Personnel Expenses	334,029.00	61,308.60	61,308.60	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	7,475,923.00	1,906,446.94	1,863,048.54	31,623.36	11,775.04	99.38%
	9000	Capital Outlay	1,823,911.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!
	2000	Expenses	9,633,863.00	1,967,755.54	1,924,357.14	31,623.36	11,775.04	99.40%
Ove	rall Breakdov	wn of General Funds, NNIJ, External Fu	nds, P.L. 93-638 F	unds and US Trea	sury			
	2000	Personnel Expenses	8,987,745.43	25,667,739.55	14,345,359.16	0.00	11,322,380.39	55.89%
	3000-7000	Operating Expenses	9,513,644.00	7,241,438.42	3,694,733.26	201,533.85	3,345,171.31	53.81%
	9000	Capital Outlay	1,823,911.00	95,175.49	95,175.49	0.00	0.00	100.00%
	9500	Matching & Indirect Cost	241,912.00	243,951.00	21,577.80	0.00	222,373.20	8.85%
	2000	Expenses	\$ 20,567,212.43	\$ 33,248,304.46	\$ 18,156,845.71	\$ 201,533.85	\$ 14,889,924.90	55.22%

XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION

	FY 2021 2ndt Quarter - Budget Status Report as of 3/31/21 - BU 107008									
Object	Description	Original	Revised	Actuals -	Encum-	Budget	% Expensed			
Code	Description	Budget	Budget	YTD 04.00	brances	Available	of Total			
1400	Financial Revenues	0.00	0.00 (500,000.00)	24.00	0.00	(24.00)	20.000/			
1600	Fines & Court Fees	(500,000.00)	,	(147,979.58)	0.00	(352,020.42)	29.60%			
1850	Other Revenue Sources	0.00	0.00	75.00	0.00	(75.00)				
1000	Revenues	(\$500,000.00)	(\$500,000.00)	(\$147,880.58)	\$0.00	(\$352,119.42)	29.58%			
	Court	Fines & Fees	Collected by	Quarter		T				
Object		FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021	FY 2021					
Code	Description	1st Qtr.	2nd Qtr.	3rd Qtr.	4th Qtr.	TOTAL - YTD				
1600	Fines & Court Fees									
1611	District Court - Chinle	1,090.00	1,660.40	0.00	0.00	2,750.40				
1612	District Court - Crownpoint	2,161.40	1,831.25	0.00	0.00	3,992.65				
1613	District Court - Kayenta	1,189.35	3,907.37	0.00	0.00	5,096.72				
1614	District Court - Ramah	604.00	3,313.00	0.00	0.00	3,917.00				
1615	District Court - Shiprock	969.80	1,202.50	0.00	0.00	2,172.30				
1616	District Court - Tuba City	1,348.55	1,929.35	0.00	0.00	3,277.90				
1617	District Court - Window Rock	547.80	1,861.00	0.00	0.00	2,408.80				
1618	District Court - Dilkon	330.10	70.00	0.00	0.00	400.10				
1619.02	District Court - Aneth	111.80	105.00	0.00	0.00	216.80				
1619.04	District Court - Dzil Yijiin	1,285.00	1,615.00	0.00	0.00	2,900.00				
1610	Dist. Fines & Court Fees Total:	\$9,637.80	\$17,494.87	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$27,132.67				
1620	Family									
1621	Family Court - Alamo	55.00	220.00	0.00	0.00	275.00				
1622	Family Court - Chinle	500.00	840.00	0.00	0.00	1,340.00				
1623	Family Court - Crownpoint	610.00	1,085.00	0.00	0.00	1,695.00				
1624	Family Court - Kayenta	270.00	860.00	0.00	0.00	1,130.00				
1625	Family Court - Ramah	125.05	70.00	0.00	0.00	195.05				
1626	Family Court - Shiprock	359.50	1,093.65	0.00	0.00	1,453.15				
1627	Family Court - Tohajiilee	230.00	275.00	0.00	0.00	505.00				
1628	Family Court - Tuba City	435.00	2,290.00	0.00	0.00	2,725.00				
1629	Family Court - Window Rock	650.00	1,255.00	0.00	0.00	1,905.00				
1630	Family Court - Dilkon	115.00	175.00	0.00	0.00	290.00				
1631.02	Family Court - Aneth	265.00	240.00	0.00	0.00	505.00				
1631.04	Family Court - Dzil Yijiin	205.00	371.96	0.00	0.00	576.96				
1620	Family Court Total:	\$3,819.55	\$8,775.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$12,595.16				
1640	Circuit									
1642	Circuit Court - Alamo	32.10	96.05	0.00	0.00	128.15				
1644	Circuit Court - Tohajiilee	376.50	559.30	0.00	0.00	935.80				
1640	Circuit Court Total:	\$408.60	\$655.35	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,063.95				
1650	Supreme									
1652	Supreme Court - WR	980.00	480.00	0.00	0.00	1,460.00				
1650	Supreme Court Total:	\$980.00	\$480.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,460.00				
1601	Court Total:	\$14,845.95	\$27,405.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$42,251.78				

Description Dic Safety Fines fic fic Fines - Alamo fic Fines - Crownpoint fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	0.00 3,955.00 2,438.00 6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40 0.00	0.00 7,210.00 7,146.50 20,118.50 9,230.50 11,677.50	9.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	9.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 11,165.00 9,584.50 26,241.00
olic Safety Fines fic fic Fines - Alamo fic Fines - Chinle fic Fines - Crownpoint fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	0.00 3,955.00 2,438.00 6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40	0.00 7,210.00 7,146.50 20,118.50 9,230.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 11,165.00 9,584.50 26,241.00
fic fic Fines - Alamo fic Fines - Chinle fic Fines - Crownpoint fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	3,955.00 2,438.00 6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40	7,210.00 7,146.50 20,118.50 9,230.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	11,165.00 9,584.50 26,241.00
fic Fines - Chinle fic Fines - Crownpoint fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	3,955.00 2,438.00 6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40	7,210.00 7,146.50 20,118.50 9,230.50	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	11,165.00 9,584.50 26,241.00
fic Fines - Crownpoint fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	2,438.00 6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40	7,146.50 20,118.50 9,230.50	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	9,584.50 26,241.00
fic Fines - Kayenta fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	6,122.50 3,655.00 1,224.40	20,118.50 9,230.50	0.00 0.00	0.00	26,241.00
fic Fines - Ramah fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	3,655.00 1,224.40	9,230.50	0.00		·
fic Fines - Shiprock fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City	1,224.40			0.00	40.00= ==
fic Fines - Tohajiilee fic Fines - Tuba City		11,677.50	0.00		12,885.50
fic Fines - Tuba City	0.00		0.00	0.00	12,901.90
•		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	5,050.00	16,312.50	0.00	0.00	21,362.50
fic Fines - Window Rock	6,048.00	1,850.00	0.00	0.00	7,898.00
fic Fines - Dilkon	755.00	485.00	0.00	0.00	1,240.00
fic Fines - Aneth	645.00	1,165.00	0.00	0.00	1,810.00
fic Fines - Dzil Yijjin	235.00	375.00	0.00	0.00	610.00
Traffic Total:	\$30,127.90	\$75,570.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$105,698.40
titution	0.00	29.40	0.00	0.00	29.40
nes & Court Fees Totals:	\$44,973.85	\$103,005.73	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$147,979.58
wint Count Finance & Food S					
	_	17 404 97	0.00	0.00	27 122 67
					27,132.67
•					12,595.16
					1,063.95
					1,460.00
		·			105,698.40
ututiOf1	0.00	29.40	0.00	0.00	29.40
Crand Tatala	\$44,973.85	\$103,005.73	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$147,979.58
r	ict Court Fines & Fees S s & Court Fees illy uit reme ic titution	ict Court Fines & Fees Summary: s & Court Fees 9,637.80 iily 3,819.55 uit 408.60 reme 980.00 ic 30,127.90 titution 0.00	ict Court Fines & Fees Summary: s & Court Fees 9,637.80 17,494.87 iily 3,819.55 8,775.61 uit 408.60 655.35 reme 980.00 480.00 ic 30,127.90 75,570.50 titution 0.00 29.40	ict Court Fines & Fees Summary: s & Court Fees 9,637.80 17,494.87 0.00 iily 3,819.55 8,775.61 0.00 uit 408.60 655.35 0.00 reme 980.00 480.00 0.00 ic 30,127.90 75,570.50 0.00 titution 0.00 29.40 0.00	ict Court Fines & Fees Summary: s & Court Fees 9,637.80 17,494.87 0.00 0.00 iily 3,819.55 8,775.61 0.00 0.00 uit 408.60 655.35 0.00 0.00 reme 980.00 480.00 0.00 0.00 ic 30,127.90 75,570.50 0.00 0.00 titution 0.00 29.40 0.00 0.00