



NAVAJO NATION JUDICIAL BRANCH

FISCAL YEAR 2022

THIRD QUARTER REPORT

April 1, 2022 – June 30, 2022

www.courts.navajo-nsn.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE | 3 |
| II. CONTACT PERSON | 4 |
| III. Vision, Mission and Strategic Goals..... | 4 |
| IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY..... | 5 |
| V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS..... | 7 |
| A. Administration..... | 7 |
| B. Human Resources (“HR”)..... | 8 |
| C. Navajo Nation Integrated Justice Information Sharing Project (NNIJISP) | 11 |
| D. Grants Administration | 12 |
| E. Information Technology | 13 |
| F. Probation & Parole Services..... | 15 |
| VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM..... | 19 |
| VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION | 25 |
| A. SUPREME COURT | 25 |
| B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 34 |
| C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 35 |
| D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & Pueblo Pintado Court..... | 36 |
| E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT..... | 40 |
| F. DZIL YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 41 |
| G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 43 |
| H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 45 |
| I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 47 |
| J. TO’HAJILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT..... | 50 |
| K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 51 |
| L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT | 55 |
| VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION | 60 |
| IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA | 61 |
| X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES | 66 |
| XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION..... | 72 |

I. MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF JUSTICE



Fiscal Year 2022 THIRD Quarterly Message

Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne (Aláájí Hashkééjí Nahat'á)

Yá'át'ééh, Shí Díné Doo Shíghéí, Ado Nihíí Nahata'jii Nataani Honorable 24th Navajo Nation Council Delegates, Doo Aláájí Hózhóójí Nahat'á Dájiz'dah'yígí Jonathan Nez, Doo Myron Lizer Aká dó Dájiz'dah'yígí, Doo Seth Damon, Aláájí Nahata'jii Nataani Dájiz'dahyígí, Doo distinguished guests. I respectfully present you the Hashkééjí Nahat'á (Judicial Branch) Third Quarterly Report for Fiscal Year 2022: T'ąąchil, Growth of Early Plant Life (April); T'ąątsoh, Big Leaves (May); Ya'iishjaąshchilí, Planting of Early Crops (June).

The Judicial Branch became an independent branch on April 1, 1959 and codified under Title 7, Navajo Nation Code. 7 N.N.C. § 201. The Navajo Nation Judicial Reform Act of 1985 established it as a separate branch.

The Judicial Branch's core concepts of fairness and independence are deeply rooted in Navajo thinking and way of life. Our Navajo way of life and who we are as Diné drive how we address our challenges while the Navajo Nation continues to combat COVID-19.

Our goal within the Judicial Branch is to be accessible, fair, effective, responsive and accountable. Judges and justices, court staff, Peacemaking, Probation and Parole staff strive daily to meet these goals and to instill public trust and confidence in our court and peacemaking systems.

In facing challenges, the Judicial Branch continues to adjust how it is providing all services to the public. We strive to improve systems of service and welcome the public's input into continuing to develop the Navajo Nation's Judicial systems to meet the needs of the people.

We will carry out our duties in a professional manner, both in and out of the courtroom and aspire to maintain the highest ethical standards of performance.

Respectfully,
JoAnn B. Jayne,
Chief Justice of the Navajo Nation

II. CONTACT PERSON

Honorable JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice
Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation
Post Office Box 520
Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Telephone: (928) 871-7669
Fax: (928) 871-6866
Website: www.courts.navajo-nsn.gov

III. VISION, MISSION AND STRATEGIC GOALS

VISION

It is our vision that the present judicial system, consisting of an adversarial-style tribal court system modeled on Anglo courts, a peacemaking system modeled on Diné original dispute resolution methods, and Probation and Parole Services, will fully embody the values and processes of the Navajo People, including family and clan-centered Navajo values. Our justice system as a whole will truly reflect the heart and soul of the Diné. It will be one that the People can recognize as their own and fully participate in the spirit of nábináhaazláago.

MISSION

The Judicial Branch will provide stability in the Navajo Nation government by providing court, peacemaking, and probation and parole services, to adjudicate cases, resolve disputes, rehabilitate individuals and families, restore harmony, educate the public, agencies, services and other governments in Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, and protect persons and property pursuant to Navajo Nation laws, customs, traditions, and applicable federal laws. Pursuant to Diné bi beenahaz' áanii, the Judicial Branch will carefully develop a justice system that fully embodies the traditional values and processes of the Navajo People.

STRATEGIC GOALS

- One:* As the Navajo Nation court, peacemaking, and probation and parole system, we will ensure the continued provision of efficient, fair, and respectful judicial services.
- Two:* We will ensure access to the judicial system by the public.
- Three:* We will address the infrastructure needed to maximize partnerships across branches, agencies, and communities.
- Four:* We will develop a judicial system in accordance with Diné bi beenahaz' áanii that fully incorporates Navajo values and processes.
- Five:* We will address facilities needs.

IV. JUDICIAL BRANCH DIRECTORY

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

P.O. Box 520 Window Rock, AZ 86515

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(928) 871-7669 / FAX (928) 871-6866

JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice

ADMINISTRATION

(928) 871-6762 / FAX (928) 871-6761

Stephen B. Etsitty, Administrative Director of the Courts
E-mail stephenbetsitty@navajo-nsn.gov

HUMAN RESOURCES

(928) 871-7023 / FAX (928) 871-6862

Cheron S. Watchman, Director of Human Resources
E-mail cswatchman@navajo-nsn.gov

FISCAL SERVICES

(928) 871-7026 / FAX (928) 871-6761

Yvonne A. Gorman, Financial Services Manager
E-mail yagorman@navajo-nsn.gov

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

(928) 871-6765 / FAX (928) 871-6761

Ben Mariano, Information Technology Manager
E-mail benmariano@navajo-nsn.gov

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Lucinda A. Yellowhair, Chief Probation Officer

Telephone (928) 871-6625

FAX (928) 871-7566

E-mail lyellowhair@navajo-nsn.gov

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Elaine J. Henderson, Program Coordinator

Telephone (928) 871-6388

FAX (928) 871-6120

E-mail elainejhenderson@navajo-nsn.gov

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

P.O. Box 520

Window Rock, AZ 86515

Hon. JoAnn B. Jayne, Chief Justice

Hon. Eleanor Shirley, Associate Justice

Hon. Tina Tsinigine, Associate Justice

Corina Y. Smith, Supreme Court Clerk

Telephone (928) 871-6763

FAX (928) 871-7016

ALAMO / TO'HAIJILEE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamo Court

P.O. Box 5458

Alamo, NM 87825

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

To'hajiilee Court

P.O. Box 3101-A

Canoncito, NM 87026

Hon. William J.J. Platero, Judge

Regina C. Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

Telephone (575) 854-2668 or 854-2669

FAX (575) 854-2660

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

Telephone (505) 908-2817 or 2818

FAX (505) 908-2819

E-mail williamjplatero@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 320

Montezuma Creek, UT 84534

Hon. Irene S. Black, Judge

Bryan Parrish, Court Administrator

Telephone (435) 651-3545

FAX (435) 651-3546

E-mail irenesblack@navajo-nsn.gov

E-mail bryanparrish@navajo-nsn.gov

CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 547
Chinle, AZ 86503

Hon. Rudy I. Bedonie, Judge
Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge
Vanessa Mescal, Court Administrator

District Court (928) 674-2070/2071
Family Court (928) 674-2084
FAX (928) 674-2089
E-mail rudyibedonie@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail vanessamescal@navajo-nsn.gov

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT AND PUEBLO PINTADO CIRCUIT COURT

P.O. Box 6
Crownpoint, NM 87313
Hon. Leonard Livingston, Judge
Regina Begay-Roanhorse, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (505) 786-2072
FAX (505) 786-2086
E-mail leonardlivingston@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail reginaroanhorse@navajo-nsn.gov

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

HC 63 Box 787
Winslow, AZ 86047
Hon. Neomi Gilmore, Judge
Darlene LaFrance, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 657-8141,8142
FAX (928) 657-8146
E-mail ngilmore@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail dvlafrance@navajo-nsn.gov

DZIL YUJIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 129
Pinon, AZ 86510
Hon. Cynthia Thompson, Judge
Arlene Lee, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 725-3781
FAX (928) 725-3786
E-mail cynthiathompson@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail alee@navajo-nsn.gov

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 2700
Kayenta, AZ 86033

Hon. Letitia Stover, Judge
Lavonne K. Yazzie, Court Administrator

District Court (928) 697-5549
Family Court (928) 697-5550
FAX (928) 697-5546
Email: lstover@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail lavonneyazzie@navajo-nsn.gov

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 309
Ramah, NM 87321
Hon. Malcolm Laughing, Judge
Esther Jose, Court Administrator

Telephone (505) 775-3218
FAX (505) 775-3399
E-mail mLaughing@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail estherjose@navajo-nsn.gov

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 1168
Shiprock, NM 87420

Hon. Genevieve Woody, Judge
Ethel S. Laughing, Court Administrator

District Court (505) 368-1270
Family Court (505) 368-1287
FAX (505) 368-1288
E-mail genevievewoody@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail ethellaughing@navajo-nsn.gov

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 725
Tuba City, AZ 86045
Hon. Victor J. Clyde, Judge
Alice Huskie, Court Administrator

District/Family Court (928) 283-3140
FAX (928) 283-3158
E-mail vclyde@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail alicehuskie@navajo-nsn.gov

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

P.O. Box 5520
Window Rock, AZ 86515

Hon. Malcolm Begay, Judge
Verlena Hale, Court Administrator

District Court (928) 871-6962/6984
Family Court (928) 871-6471/7562
FAX (928) 871-7560
E-mail malcolmbegay@navajo-nsn.gov
E-mail verlenahale@navajo-nsn.gov

V. ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

During the third quarter (April – June 2022) in FY 2022, the Administrative Office of the Courts (“AOC”) completed the following:

A. ADMINISTRATION

Emergency Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

The Administrative Director of the Courts is the designated Continuity of Operation Plan (“COOP”) Coordinator, and works with a designated team of executive and essential staff to implement the COOP for Judicial Branch operations during the public health emergency. The goal is to maintain essential services to uphold the courts’ statutory responsibilities, to address emergency matters that come before the courts, and to conduct essential court-related functions, all while providing for safe working conditions and mitigating the spread of Coronavirus on the Navajo Nation. In order to keep personnel safe, the branch is now operating with an in-person workforce and a teleworking workforce. The branch has established teleworking policies, procedures and tools. The actions authorized by Administrative Order 13-2022, issued on April 22, 20220, allow for expanded services across all courts and programs; to support the entire workforce so they can do their jobs from Judicial Branch facilities or their home locations, and to maximize the in-person staff presence in the Judicial Branch facilities in order to directly serve the public. Judges and managers have the discretion to allow the Public to re-enter Judicial Branch facilities based on approved plans and applicable Navajo Nation Public Health Emergency Orders. Improvements in information technology, communication devices and internet-based services is on-going in order for court management and court-related programmatic functions to continue.

Facilities and Closure/Devolution/Alternate Facility

This third quarter following facilities were temporarily closed due to a potential or confirmed COVID-19 exposures:

*On May 18, 2022, the Peacemaking Program building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The program did not have to devolve, however it relied on Alternative Facility (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The program building was cleaned on May 25, 2022 and re-entry into the court building was approved on May 27, 2022.

*On June 1, 2022, the Supreme Court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The court did not have to devolve, however it relied on Alternative Facility (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The court building was cleaned on June 3, 2022, and re-entry into the court building was approved on June 6, 2022.

*On June 9, 2022, the Tuba City Judicial District court building was closed due to a reported COVID-19 exposure. The court did not have to devolve, however it relied on Alternative Facility (teleworking) for the continuation of essential services and functions. The court

building was cleaned on June 13, 2022, and re-entry into the court building was approved on June 15, 2022.

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to understand the changing guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons, for the Coronavirus variants, the new vaccine/testing policy requirements, and for cleaning, disinfecting COVID-19 contaminated facilities provided by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOC). The current guidance allows for quick responses to emergency exposures inside Navajo Nation facilities from the COVID-19 virus, coupled with new self-monitoring guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons. The cleaning and disinfecting of a building or the affected work spaces can be accomplished by staff, and re-entry into a clean and safe building can occur much faster at this time. This allows for a quicker resumption of services by the courts and programs.

When a Judicial Branch facility closes due to a report of a potential or confirmed exposure, it is out of an abundance of caution to protect our personnel, and to do our best to mitigate the spread of the Coronavirus and its variants in our communities where we live and work.

Accomplishments

Administrative Director facilitates bi-monthly Branch Teleconferences to update all Business Unit managers and judges regarding COOP issues, health and safety information, and priority administrative issues. Major accomplishments for this quarter include: progress on the Window Rock Judicial District Modular Building project, reviewing rising costs of construction materials and proposed Change Orders – initial plans/designs will be available in the next quarter; completed responses to the FY 2022 Conditions of Appropriations and Legislative Concerns and presented them to the Law and Order and Budget and Finance Committees on February 14-15, 2022; and held a Leadership Meeting on April 28, 2022 with both committees and the judicial partners referenced in Legislative Concern #1, who work with the courts and programs on caseload and court administration.

This quarter, Administrative Director, in the capacity of COOP Coordinator, approved requests from the judicial district/programs to move into Phase Two and Three of the re-opening process (Roadmap to Full Capacity). Under Administrative Order 13-2022, all judges have the discretion to hear all case types and to hold in-person hearings. Program managers have the discretion to hold in-person meetings, client services, etc. The Window Rock Judicial District and Administrative Offices of the Courts were approved to Phase Three, and four more districts/programs will be in Phase Three in the next quarter.

B. HUMAN RESOURCES (“HR”)

Recruitment

1. To fill vacant judge and staff positions, HR advertises on the Judicial Branch website, social media sites, local newspapers and radio stations, local/state organizations, national tribal organizations, and law school websites.
2. Judicial Branch staff also make one-on-one contacts regarding vacancies.

Judge Applicants

HR received three (3) judge applications during the third quarter, and forwarded two applications to the Judicial Conduct Commission (JCC) for consideration.

1. One applicant was partially interviewed on May 28, 2022, and was scheduled to complete the interview on July 16, 2022. However, the applicant has withdrawn the employment application as of July 14, 2022.
2. A second applicant was interviewed on June 14, 2022. The JCC will meet on July 16, 2022, to decide on whether to refer this applicant to the Navajo Nation President.
3. A third applicant's application will be completed in July 2022, and forwarded to the JCC for consideration.

Selection during FY 22 Third Quarter

During this quarter, HR processed new hire, resignation and retirement personnel action forms (PAF), as well as change notice PAFs (introductory to regular-status).

1. Five (5) positions were filled.
2. Two (2) employees transitioned from 90-day introductory to regular status.
3. Zero (0) retirements.
4. Four (4) employees resigned.
5. Zero (0) terminations.
6. Zero (0) ended temporary employment with the branch.

Though the JB's offices are closed to the public, and there are no face-to-face meetings, during this quarter the HR office successfully recruited and filled five (5) vacancies. The JB programs, judicial districts, and Supreme Court engaged in tele- or video-conference interviews to recruit and select for the vacancies. Initial onboarding process for new hires is conducted through virtual methods. When the employee reports to work in-person, the districts/program conduct in-person training for new hires.

Training

The Training Manager led the following trainings this quarter:

| MAY | 2022 | # of Participants |
|-------------|---|--------------------------|
| 1. | Customer Service and Telephone Etiquette | 60 |
| 2. | New Employee Multi-Service Orientation | 1 |
| 3. | Staff Development Dept (SDTD)– Sexual Harassment Awareness Trng | 3 |
| JUNE | 2022 | |
| 1. | Judicial Branch Sexual Harassment Awareness Trng (SHA) | 146 |
| 2. | SDTD SHA Training | 2 |

The Training Manager also coordinated Judicial Branch staffs' attendance to the following conferences:

1. Navajo Nation Bar Association Conference

2. American Indian Justice Conference

The HR Director and HR Specialist conducted training regarding COVID-19 Administrative Leave policies/procedures, Teleworking policies/procedures, and overtime policies/procedures for non-exempt employees.

Policy Development

The Training Manager continued to lead two (2) workgroups that are revising two branch policies:

1. Judicial Branch court safety policy
2. Training Policy

On March 31st, the HR Director presented COVID-19 Administrative Leave policies to the Judicial Conference, which were approved that day. Subsequently, COVID-19 procedures were developed and put into effect by Administrative Order 13-2022 on April 22, 2022. Through this same Admin. Order, the Teleworking policies/procedures were also instituted for Branch personnel.

Other

1. The Judicial Branch's Health & Safety Advisor was unable to attend Navajo Nation OSHA visits to judicial districts throughout the Navajo Nation. Therefore, the Training Manager was tasked with this project. The NN OSHA office made courtesy visits to all districts and programs to ensure that Judicial Branch health and safety protocols and environmental engineering changes were in place so that each judicial district was set to move forward in expanding court and court-related services, including provision of in-person client services.
2. The HR Director assisted the Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) Coordinator and the COOP team with districts, programs and the Supreme Court's phased reopening plans.
3. On May 20, 2022, the HR Director, accompanied by the Peacemaking Coordinator, presented the Judicial Branch sexual harassment awareness policies/procedures to the Sexual Assault and Prevention Subcommittee of the Navajo Nation Council.
4. The HR Office continues to work with staff regarding possible or actual COVID-19 exposures. To date, no exposures resulting in positive COVID-19 cases have occurred onsite at any of our facilities. However, out of an abundance of caution, even if there is a possible exposure to our staff and facilities, the HR Office works with the district/program leadership, the Health & Safety Advisor, and the COOP Coordinator on timeframes for closing court facilities if necessary, arranging for sister courts to continue operating for a temporarily closed court if needed, and rearranging staff as necessary. If there is a possible exposure to staff, HR also conducts contact tracing.
5. The HR Office provides customer service to applicants during the application, recruitment and selection process; and, provides guidance to staff regarding employee policies and procedures. HR assists supervisors with employee relations matters; completes bi-weekly master timesheets on behalf of the JB; and, assists JB leadership and management in other

areas as assigned, i.e., American Rescue Plan development activities, FY23 budget development, external grant applications.

6. The HR Office assists the Office of the Chief Justice with probationary judge/justice evaluations.
7. Because the Judicial Conduct Commission (“JCC”) does not have its own staff, some Judicial Branch staff assist JCC in fulfilling its duties and responsibilities, including the HR Director.
8. The HR Director is also assigned to represent the branch on the Motor Vehicle Review Board (MVRB). The board meets monthly.
9. The HR Director also attends the Retirement Plan Administration Committee (RPAC) meetings when the Chief Justice is unavailable. The RPAC meets monthly.
10. The HR Director also meets weekly with a JB team to update the branch’s strategic plan.
11. The JB is engaged in a compensation study. The HR Office is taking the lead in working with the contractor to complete this project.

C. NAVAJO NATION INTEGRATED JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING PROJECT (NNIJISP)

Accomplishments

1. Monitoring approved Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 NNIJISP of \$375,000 by processing procurement of computer equipment for partners. The current balance is \$328,040.27, where 19.42% of FY22 budget has been expensed. Most of the spending is payment of internet and wireless monthly services fees including MiFi devices.
2. NNIJISP has been approved to pay Internet services, software support and staff training. NNIJISP must be cognizant and focus on goals and objectives in partnership with Division of Public Safety/Information Management Section, Social Services/Family Services, Judicial Branch Courts, Probation and Parole Services, Peacemaking Program, and Department of Information Services, who are all key components of the Navajo Nation justice community.
3. NNIJISP leadership is focusing on legislative concerns among partners and are currently addressing data sharing for a more effective and efficient flow of moving cases forward. The work session for Legislation Concern had a positive message for partners that communications is very important to accomplish an efficient an effective Navajo Nation Justice community’s case management system. In addition, work sessions enlightened NNIJISP partners to discussion options on moving forward, and that using JustWare to input data is as important as reporting. The Law and Order Committee has gain interested in the use of the partners’ case management system and discuss the use in technology.

On a monthly basis or as requested, attended:

- Judicial Branch Weekly Branch Updates
- Budget Preparation
- Contract and Request for Proposal presentations
- Fiscal Office financial meetings
- Website design meetings and other
- Information Technology Webinars
- Monthly NNIJISP meetings
- NNIJISP data sharing work sessions

Activities by NNIJISP System and Programming Manager

1. NNIJISP Partners modified 'Memorandum of Understanding' was updated by NNIJISP Partners and has attained all lead partner signatures. On June 17, 2022 the MOU has been emailed to all partners and stakeholders and have no response at this time from partners.
2. Provide IT Request assistance, solutions, upgrades for software support, software upgrades for desktop computers, installation of printers, training, hardware and software using Skype for Business resolve trouble shooting issues.
3. Assist in providing quotes for, computer equipment, printers, monitors, MiFi, external drives, desktops, and laptops for Administrative Office of the Courts, Supreme Court, and Judicial Courts.

Objectives for the Next Quarter

1. Complete receiving reports, process procurement of billing statements for six (6) internet connections, MiFi devices, and wireless services.
2. Coordinate NNIJISP meeting dates, agendas and scheduling; and development of FY 2023 budget request.
3. Provide continued information technology support of computer and network usage, JustWare, hardware and software for Judicial Branch.
4. Complete NNIJISP Quarterly and Performance Criteria reports.

D. GRANTS ADMINISTRATION

Grants Management:

1. Supported the Diné Action Plan as the Advisory Group was planned and established. The Grant Administrator also began collaboration with the Casey Family Programs to accomplish the goals and objectives of the DAP. Worked with Casey Family Programs, the Legislative Branch and the Executive Branch in the planning and implementation of the first DAP Advisory Group meeting.
2. Complete reports and necessary grants administration responsibilities. Developed grant budgets for current grants.

Training:

1. Coordinated staff to plan and develop contracts for building maintenance services.

Coordination:

1. As a COOP Team member, participated in alternate facility calls for districts or programs whose staff were exposed to COVID-19.
2. The Judicial Branch Strategic Plan has been finalized for review by Chief Justice.
3. Published the RFP for Private Process Servers, as well as the guidelines and bid tabulation forms and began expediting contracts for services.
4. Supported the development and proposal of the Judicial Branch ARPA funding proposal to the Navajo Nation Council.
5. Worked as a part of the COOP Team to provide technical assistance to the Judicial Districts as they move through their Reopening phases. In the COOP Coordinator delegation, approved Roadmap Phase Advancement requests for several districts.
6. Developed and issued the RFP for HVAC services for judicial districts and programs.
7. Supported the planning and development of the Haashkeeji Fund Management Plan.
8. Performed responsibilities of the Administrative Director of the Courts in a delegated capacity, as necessary and requested.

E. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

Provided continual personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Alamo, To'Hajiilee, Dilkon, Dził Yijiin and Aneth courts.

Provided continual support for video conferencing communications for AOC, Tuba City, Dilkon, Ramah, Shiprock, Window Rock, Window Rock Detention, Supreme Court, Tuba City Detention, Kayenta, Aneth, Chinle and Crownpoint courts. Utilizing Skype for Business and CourtCall.

Provided System Administration support for the Journal Technologies JustWare computer software application for **Navajo Nation Supreme Court**; **Shiprock** Courts, Probation and Prosecutors; **Dilkon** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Chinle** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Aneth** Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; **Kayenta** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Tuba City** Courts, Probation and Peacemaking; **Window Rock** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Crownpoint** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Dził Yijiin** Courts; **To'Hajilee** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Alamo** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office; **Ramah** Courts, Probation, Peacemaking and Prosecutors Office.

Continued network monitoring of the NN Courts' network infrastructure for malware activity.

Continued providing technical support for the Judicial Branch for teleworking. Laptops were verified that JTI JustWare, PAN GlobalProtect, Foxit Phantom PDF, and Skype For Business as working from external networks.

Provided and assisted with maintenance and software updates to Judicial Branch database servers for prevention of malware and unauthorized intrusions.

Other Significant Accomplishments

Continued with replacement of printers and scanners for Judicial Branch personnel for processing of court documents at the districts and for teleworkers.

Provided communications support between courts, prosecution, law enforcement and public defender for court services.

Provided support for CourtCall.com for Supreme Court hearings.

Continued meetings with Website Redesign Team (<https://courts.navajo-nsn.gov>) project to develop a Request for Proposals (RFP) document. RFP will include information gathered from the Judicial Branch programs to create a scope of work.

Attended the weekly Judicial Branch teleconference meetings.

Continue to prepare and configure computers for new employees hired by Judicial Branch.

Continue development of an IT policy for Judicial Branch to be presented to the Judges for review and approval.

Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

To maintain personal computer support at Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Ramah, Pueblo Pintado, Alamo, To'Hajiilee, Dilkon, Aneth and Dził Yijiin courts.

To continue limited support for the digital recorders, document archival computer systems and Skype For Business recordings.

To provide configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, To'Hajiilee, Aneth, Alamo, Ramah and Dził Yijiin courts, probation offices, and peacemaking offices.

To provide limited configuration/maintenance support to the NNIJISP Project at the Tuba City, Kayenta, Chinle, Window Rock, Shiprock, Crownpoint, Dilkon, To'Hajiilee, Alamo and Ramah prosecutor offices.

To provide continued support and training for JTI's JustWare application for all Navajo Nation Courts, Prosecutors, Peacemaking, Probation and Public Defender staff.

To continue to maintain JusticeWeb client access requests.

To continue to provide support for webpage modifications for www.courts.navajo-nsn.gov website and to continue with development of the upgrade/replacement of the <https://courts.navajo-nsn.gov> website.

To continue deployment and support of teleworking equipment for Judicial Branch personnel. Printers, portable digital recorders, laptops, dock systems, backup batteries, Skype for Business application, MS Office Pro 2019, GlobalProtect and computer system drivers for Judicial Branch staff.

F. PROBATION & PAROLE SERVICES (“PPS”)

Accomplishment of Objectives

PPS accomplished its first goal this quarter, coordinating orientation for new probation staff. The Senior Probation Officers implemented the PPS curriculum. We trained 4 new probation officers and 1 office technician. For purpose of refresher information there were also a couple of staff members who asked to join the orientation. The curriculum also touches on PPS Standard Operating Procedures guide lines which correlates with administrative matters covered in the Employee Personal Rules and Procedures. Orientation went well and overall benefited the new staff.

We did not meet goal 2 because moving toward full capacity in phases requires all Judicial Branch courts and programs to move simultaneously within a particular district. This quarter, Chief Probation Officer (CPO) signed seven (7) Phase 2 plan documents to move PPS back into the office by either on-call scheduling and or scattered in-person scheduling. We are moving into Phase 2 cautiously, working together, and cognizant of the importance of communication; to ensure we are all working in a safe working environment. Thus far, caution has worked and successful.

Staff are reminded if they do not feel well to ensure they call their supervisors before entering Judicial Branch buildings. PPS will continue to work with the Districts into the fourth quarter, to move into Phase 2 and Phase 3. Currently, Shiprock, Tohajiilee/Alamo, Pueblo Pintado and Aneth still telework from home. All other Districts are transitioning back into the office.

PPS accomplished goal #3 by scheduling two (2) training sessions in this quarter and followed through on both trainings.

Training #1 - One-on-one training for Probation Officers for the topics of Quarterly Narrative Reports. Each probation officer and office technician had a one-on-one re-train with their respective supervisors through Skype for Business and on-line training material. The one-on-one approach ensures that each probation officers is comfortable to honestly discuss their reports and ask questions where they may not of quite understand the purpose and its content. Staff were comfortable, and asked questions which improved their confidence. The approach was successful. Individual training tends to build a better understanding especially when the training pertains to their own work and can view their work with edits and clarification. Upon returning their work, I asked they revise their work and resubmit for a second review. It was a good training. The training will be updated and reviewed to ensure all pertinent information is reported.

Training #2 – Office Technician training on administrative matters. Office Technician has received administrative work from the other office technicians for the last 6 months. A slow

transitioning has been working where she would be responsible for all PPS administrative matters that includes, statistics, TTFR log in and out, budgets issues, and timesheets as administrative priorities. Office Technician is eager and enthusiastic about the transitioning and was preparing to take all administrative files and matter to basically centralize and reorganize the PPS administrative structure. I worked with Tuba City Office Technician to assist with the training; in hopes that Tuba City Office Technician would take over the statistical portion of receiving, collecting data, meeting deadlines and compiling monthly, quarter and annual statistics for PPS.

PPS did not accomplish goal # 4, compiling all PPS training topics and subjects into one training curriculum. We held three (3) work sessions this quarter and went through an outline and discussed, strategized and organized the curriculum material. We recognized our Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) and ensured they complimented each other. The training curriculum is a requirement to ensure probation staff adequately and effectively gain knowledge and experience as part of their duties and responsibilities.

The SOP manual is a guide and reference for the performance of PPS duties and responsibilities. We will complete the curriculum in the next quarter with a review and final edit to the curriculum, and will share with the Office of Human Resources and the Judicial Branch Policy Committee.

PPS did not accomplish Goal #5. Conducted a search for Leadership training for PPS management team, so this goal will be accomplished in the next quarter. We will identify a training session and a vendor.

The Chief Probation Officer (CPO) did not meet her last goal for completing one-on-one training follow-ups regarding quarterly narratives in the second quarter due. However, CPO will schedule individual follow-up sessions into the third quarter.

Other Significant Accomplishments

This quarter a very important continual work in progress was interrupted. For years now, Tohajiilee district Staff Attorney has assisted PPS by providing legal clarification and guidance for our program policy development and revisions. Staff Attorney has been very helpful assisting with the revisions of the SOP manual. We hoped that the SOP revisions would be completed in this quarter. At the beginning of May the Staff Attorney was not allowed to work and assist PPS without the approval of the Chief Justice. It was recommended that PPS submit a memo requesting for the Chief Justice's concurrence to allow Staff Attorney to continue providing legal technical support to complete the revisions of the SOP manual. I have yet received a response to date. PPS management team decided to proceed working on the revisions and get it completed. This opens the possibility of advocating for a Staff Attorney for PPS in the future. Probation and Parole Services is a statutorily required department.

The Senior Probation Officers were encouraged to conduct training for staff. During the pandemic quarantine time, all PPS staff were teleworking, and we found ways to stay abreast of knowledge and skills and found new ways to supervise clients. Senior Probation Officer in Window Rock provided a training session regarding Presentence Report Investigation and Writing, focusing on re-evaluating how probation officers conducted presentence investigations and how to properly put a report together – the outline of information and sentencing recommendations. He completed follow-up training, using one-on-one sessions with a smaller groups for a comfortable dialogue and discussion with probation officers. It went well. Prior to continual training, he generated a survey and asked simple questions to give him an idea how to approach his retraining efforts.

This quarter assignments were provided to Senior Probation Officers (SRPO) to engage and become more involved in Judicial Branch efforts and initiatives. Because of busy schedules and at times attending marathon meetings and trying to find time to meet all meetings became overwhelming for me. So, I assigned SRPO's to committees to represent Probation & Parole Services. During bi-weekly PPS Round Table meetings "Updates" are on the agenda to have each of them report their meeting discussions and topics. We also invite presenters from the other groups to provide an overall presentation to the probation staff. This is to ensure there is transparency and all staff are giving the opportunity to engage and ask questions. CPO attends various committee meetings such as Judicial Branch Strategic Planning Meeting, NNIJISP, PPS Budget Meetings, Court Administrators/Program Managers meetings, Re-Entry efforts and other criminal justice departmental meetings by invites and or representations.

Information sharing is also good for all probation staff to be aware of when working with clients and direct services. The various committee assignments for Senior Probation Officers are as follows;

- Harmon Mason – Judicial Branch Policy Committee
- Sheila Begishie – Judicial Branch Safety Committee
- JoAnn Holyan-Terry- Navajo Nation Opioid Task Force Meetings

This quarter has been a busy quarter for PPS management staff attending Roadmap to Full Capacity phase advancement meetings for all districts as they transition back into the offices. A large department such as PPS requires thorough planning and discussion as each district moves into the phases differently. Planning, scheduling, and teamwork is required for all PPS staff to ensure safety first for all staff and to ensure the offices and buildings are adequately operable and suitable to work in after two years at home telecommuting.

Transitioning to Full Capacity has its challenges and again requires a lot of planning. Circumstances changes every day especially working and overseeing 13 districts from afar. So, communication and patience are factors when working through these phases. I believe we will continue to work through these issues in the next quarter.

In this quarter there was an increase of COVID-19 exposures among PPS staff. Staff work and communicate with their immediate supervisors to assess exposure reports. Staff literally at a moment's notice delegate duties and responsibilities upon confirmation of

positive COVID-19 result. PPS works to provide back-up coverage to continue following through on Probation cases from the courts. PPS cases that have a time line or considered essential such as presentence report, indigency report investigations, and court requests are usually the cases that are reassigned at moment's notice because of timelines. Probation Services has been making these kinds of decisions and arrangements because we are transitioning back into the offices and are exercising abundance of caution. This practice will continue as we move back into the offices. Courts can close at notice of exposure, however; probation services looks for other alternatives to ensure statutory documents are not left unattended or pending. The process and submissions of statutory documents continues.

In this quarter, the PPS management team focused on ensuring PPS compliance with health and safety protocols as we transition back into the offices. We made sure supplies and PPE's are provided, plexi-glass are placed and all staff are adhering to the "new normal" precautionary measures. There are concerns, barriers and some challenges but we are able to communicate and find solutions to work through them. Travel in terms of collateral field visits have gradually started. Right now, essential cases are a priority when conducting collateral field visits and they usually immediate court request cases. We are aware of the travel restrictions, however; as we move through phases staff will adjust and feel comfortable to be able to more travel. These are areas of concerns we have been approached with and are addressing to staff.

During the pandemic while teleworking PPS continues to receive essential and non-essential cases from the Courts. That process never ceased, we found various ways and some probation officers become creative and resourceful, learning right along with their clients how they stay in contact and stay in compliance. Of course, there are those who took advantage of the situation and assumed and used the pandemic to refrain from any contact with their probation officers. Again, they are reminded of the consequences of no communication upon being place on probation with conditions. Those are cases pending revocation today. Training staff on the "new normal" was emphasized and will come to use at this time of transitioning. Enhancing the department, meeting with PPS management team was consistent and believe we've achieved and accomplished goals and tasks that needed attention. Refresher course in terms of statues, law, policy and procedures were provided to the staff. Probation Services made the time useful, stayed consistent, and accomplished where we are not moving forward to apply and find new ways, new opportunities and new provisions for Probation Services.

Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

1. To continue training, refresher course for overall probation staff.
2. To continue preparations for PPS staff transition into phases toward Full Capacity.
3. To have a complete comprehensive FY2023 General Funds budget for PPS.
4. To have a complete PPS training course into one curriculum.
5. To review, meet and complete the Quarterly Narrative Retrain to all probation staff.

VI. PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

Program Coordinator continues to work on aspects of the program and has been active in the Judicial Branch management team, participating in Judicial Branch meetings. She also provides orientation, training and facilitates program staff meetings. Program Coordinator completed a four-day WebEx virtual training on Tribal Justice, Safety and Wellness Summit by US DOJ and BIA support. Areas of training included Tribal Courts Sentencing Considerations, Traditional or Culturally-based Forums and Remedies, Jurisdictional Relationships and Differences. These trainings provided candid assessments of Indian Country Prosecutions based on an obligation to treat people right.

Peacemaking Program Coordinator has provided cultural context and traditional perspectives for two different responses to framing papers relevant to the Judicial Branch contribution to the Navajo Nation's response to the tribal consultation with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on Missing and Murdered Indigenous People E.O. 14053 and on Meeting the Public Safety and Criminal Justice Needs of Native Americans. The monthly Court Administrators meeting is also attended by the Program Coordinator.

The goal of Peacemaking is to restore participants to harmonious, productive membership in their families and communities. In this way Peacemaking is not Anglo-style mediation or arbitration. The process engages people facing disharmony by talking it out, finding solutions to their problems, and removing chaos from their lives, this process is an ancient practice of Diné Justice. Peacemaking is governed by a Plan of Operations that was adopted in 2012. The first value in the Plan is that these services be available to Diné of all denominations. Peacemaking practices are relevant to Diné of all religious beliefs because they are deeply rooted in Navajo culture.

As the numbers of exposures to Covid-19 have decreased this quarter, it has allowed the courts and Peacemaking program to safely and effectively move through the Phases of our Roadmap to Full Capacity. Some program staff have been successful in accommodating families by holding peacemaking sessions outdoors, these sessions have been successful in resolving disputes. Most court referred cases for one on one engagements continue to be virtual by phone or by engagements through webcam as in services to clients in detention centers.

Our work on the Peacemaking Youth Education and Apprentice Program ("PYEAP") remains a high focus this quarter. Peacemaking program offices received over 200 referred cases from local schools who look to Peacemaking intervention services for youth in a final push to effectively assist students who found themselves on the borderline of being promoted to next grade level. Reluctance to school attendance and lack of family support for some children's education seem to be the high contributors of truancy in the last months of their academic year. Holbrook Unified School District is one of the 40 schools who continue to participate with program's Peacemaking Youth Education Apprenticeship Program (PYEAP) activities. The school administration recently renewed their Memorandum of Understanding for 2022-2023 school year with Peacemaking Program which was signed by the Chief Justice of Judicial Branch. Our efforts to assist students continues while our PYEAP grant remains in a 'frozen' status. We

commend our Peacemakers who remain dedicated to help students throughout the school year in pandemic and we continue to update core program activities set in our Strategic Plan.

Peacemaking delivered on our core programs of providing direct services and supporting the work of Community-Based Peacemakers by doubling our performance goals. Traditional Program Specialists have attended many Chapter and community meetings and presented Peacemaking information in our efforts to recruit for more Peacemakers. Peacemaking continues to fulfill our responsibilities of providing services to other agencies, Branches of government, and the Navajo Nation through policy engagement, work with schools, presentations to Chapters, and participation in community and cultural events.

Promoting and preserving Diné bizaad through radio ads and incorporating into our writings continues. Peacemaking program staff have translated and recorded radio spots on Judicial Branch Phases of Re-Opening, the recorded messages continue to be heard on KTNN and KGAK radio. Incorporating Diné ke'ji Na'adzho doo be ak'elchí in our data collection allows uniqueness into Peacemaking services and records.

Providing research on the Fundamental Laws of the Diné is another key area of our program deliverables. Research promotes the development of learning Navajo culture, tradition and other Navajo accepted beliefs in support of the Judicial and community programs. The position of Traditional Diné Researcher fulfills this purpose. Mr. Kelly A. Francis came on board as Peacemaking Program Traditional Diné Researcher in April 2022. One of his first research projects focused on Áłchíní Bi Beehaz'áanii in disciplining children. Áłchíní BáNdazhnit'á in Navajo life way brings out the ancient practice of Dóó' Akéé'ijiléégó Be'Atsxís Chóíí'dó'ííh - (if we do not do what is right we face the consequences of being whipped), a discipline of Navajo child rearing to fully know the difference between discipline and traumatizing children on the use of Be'Atsxís Báháné to better understand child thought, spirituality and physical growth. The intent of Be'Atsxís Báháné was to educate school faculty and parents regarding Navajo discipline by using Navajo oral history, ceremonial creation stories, and origin myths. In understanding Áłchíní Bi Beehaz'áanii and in regards to assisting youth mind, spiritual, and body development to improve self-esteem, avoid truancy, violence, and criminal activity. Access to researched topics are crucial to constructing and completing an efficient library system that promotes stability to Peacemaking program.

Peacemaking Program Coordinator worked in collaboration with Judicial Branch leadership on additional follow-ups in regards to FY2022 Conditions of Appropriations and Legislative Concerns to identify systemic flaws that may impact coordination with entities that work with Courts and Peacemaking. There were healthy discussions from all participants: Attorney General Office, Prosecutors, Public Defenders, Peacemaking, Division of Social Services and Division of Public Safety. Each entity had a defined role as a stakeholder as this leadership meeting took on a Peacemaking practice of identifying and acknowledge Anáhóót'i and to align a resolution that would conform to understand and apply Diné Bi Beenahaz'áanii.

1. Use community-based Peacemakers:

Community-based Peacemakers are being engaged to handle Peacemaking sessions and counseling. During third quarter Community-Based Peacemakers were used in several Judicial Districts for Peacemaking Sessions. The focus continues to be strengthening the family and

maintaining family unity when possible. Reconnecting with community Peacemakers are ongoing, program staff have attended a total of 25-chapter meetings across the Navajo Nation in an effort to promote Peacemaking services and recruit for more community Peacemakers. Our goal is to continue our recruiting and training efforts for Peacemakers.

2. Peacemaking Facilities and Equipment:

Lack of providing one on one services to users of Peacemaking has impacted our work, the ability to fully engage with our Diné people have had challenges. Our district program offices work to remain on track in navigating the Roadmap to Full Capacity Phases of Re-opening to bring Peacemaking offices to the same level of access. Each Peacemaking program offices are located at or near the Judicial Court facilities that are relatively easy for everyone to access across the Navajo Nation, all are equipped with personal protection equipment and supplies to accommodate one person at a time in our offices at Phase 3. Adjustments were made to gating criteria which allowed amendments to our Roadmap to be more user friendly in providing direct services. Peacemaking program will assist in changes to radio advertisements to continue updating the public on these re-opening phases and services.

3. Maintain our professionalism:

Program weekly staff meetings will change to a twice a month schedule, these meetings keep staff informed on issues regarding health and safety protocols that concern Peacemaking program and share resources and information of local community meetings that have been attended.

Ongoing meetings for Office Technicians have been beneficial in keeping up with FMIS 6B roles and responsibilities in the procurement processes for our program, this deepen our sharing of skills and coordination around the goals of our strategic plan. The cross trainings for office technicians will include key aspects of case management support for case accounting to improve consistent conformance to program specification. The support from our office technicians is becoming invaluable as they put improvements in our program's accountability, on our resources and equipment's.

Improved teamwork among the Traditional Program Specialists has reflected in their ability to cover for team members with services from one judicial district to another, this is unique to Peacemaking, besides fulfilling court order requirements there is almost no jurisdiction issue within Peacemaking. Our virtual trainings and discussions during pandemic months have proven to be beneficial as we become of one voice on delicate and often controversial matters in dispute resolution.

The Bi-Cultural Training Manager position and a second Traditional Program Specialist position for Crownpoint remains unfilled into another quarter.

4. Advance our mission.

Contributing to areas of knowledge when questions on Fundamental Law are posed recently presented itself when program staff were invited to roundtable discussion on incorporating use of taser weapons on our people. Areas of knowledge comparable to lighting strike *atsinilt'ish ahitáád*, 'to be contaminated by lightning' *bił hodiit'íízh* were contributed. Program staff voiced major concerns for physical, mental and spiritual well-being; a major dilemma is the scarcity of

ceremonial reparation of individual harmony. The lack of understanding Traditional and Customary Law in this area is concerning.

1. The Peacemaking Program prioritizes work based on the goals set by Council and the Judicial Branch. These are examples from some of the Judicial Districts:

Alamo/ Tohajiilee Peacemaking Program

The Traditional Program Specialist from Alamo is providing peacemaking services for both Alamo and Tohajiilee Judicial districts. She is managing cases referred from the court and focusing on youth services by providing services with truancy and other issues for youth and families. She has been instrumental in moving forward the capital project of water and sewer connection to the Alamo Peacemaking hogan. The effort now is to encumber the capital outlay funds into 164 contract to carry-over funds to FY2023. This quarter TPS completed 3 chapter meetings with Alamo chapter.

Aneth Peacemaking Program

Coverage of Peacemaking services since August 2021 was provided by program staff from Shiprock Peacemaking until June 20, 2022 when Mr. Alphonso Nez, Jr. came on board as the new Traditional Program Specialist. He brings his experience in education and well-grounded in the aspects of K'é be Hózhó náhoodleef. He recently attended his first meeting as TPS with Aneth chapter.

Chinle

Coverage of Peacemaking services is provided by program staff assigned to Dzil Yijiin for an undetermined length of time. Dzil Yijiin TPS works out of the Chinle Peacemaking building and has successfully integrated services for both districts without any laps in service.

Crownpoint

Probate and land-related matters have been a high case load for this district; handling of these cases were not in conformance to program's Plan of Operation; there may have been some successful outcomes however in review of document preparations there must be no reflection of legal determinations. In probate cases, Peacemaking program agreements are not a recognized document to effectuate change. U.S. Department of Interior/BIA and Navajo Nation Land Office policies require a court order signed by Navajo Nation judge. Often times a Navajo individual may seek Peacemaking to address Probate matters, but a court order is still needed by Navajo and federal agencies to finalize Probate. This lengthens the time and increases the burdens that Navajo people face during an already difficult time. There is a need to restore Peacemaking program resolutions to be recognized as having same force, effect and respect as court orders in state and federal courts and government agencies. This recognition will strengthen our culture and our sovereignty. Peacemaking program will work in coordination with local grazing officials, District grazing committee, chapter representation and BIA to review policy and suggest change in reassignments. Just as District Grazing Committees and Farm Boards have the authority to make recommendation on permit transfers, they should also be vested with

recommendations and approving probates of permits. We will work to find and implement solutions through review of policy and authorizations.

Dilkon

The TPS has been successful in updating Memoranda of Agreement with Holbrook schools for 2022-2023 school year. Peacemaking services are provided for court referred cases and walk-in requests. He has made virtual peacemaking sessions successful, he has also absorbed 11 additional cases by providing coverage for Kayenta Peacemaking program. His assistance in standing delegation is helpful by attending meetings with support staff.

Dzil Yijiin

While his office is located in Chinle, TPS travels to do an onsite visit to the Dzil Yijiin Court facility to follow-up on services for this area. Shared office space with Probation staff has been problematic due to lack of privacy and try to remain in compliance with CDC safety guidelines. TPS also provided leadership training balanced through Traditional Dine Values and personal experience to 10 high school students and 4 college students for Many Farms Chapter Summer Youth Employment & Training Program. In May 2022 TPS was called upon to provide Command Escort for fallen Navajo Police Officer Michael Lee's spouse and children at the National Police Week commemorating events in Washington D.C. He provided continued support to the family through traditional teachings and grief support. In continuing collaborations with local chapters TPS completed virtual and in-person meetings with the following chapters: Many Farms planning & regular chapter meeting, Administrative Service Center Chapter Staff meeting, Tselani/Cottonwood planning meeting, Tachee/Blue Gap planning & chapter meeting and Whippoorwill chapter meeting.

Kayenta

Coverage of Peacemaking services is provided by program staff assigned to Dilkon and Tuba City for an undermined amount of time. As the Judicial Branch moves to Phase of Full Capacity it will be necessary to have program staff make themselves available to users of Peacemaking services for Kayenta.

Ramah

Peacemaker Ira Burbank remains active with Peacemaking sessions by supplying guidance and problem resolution skills for families. The remaining youth who completed the PYEAP curriculum in 2016 have graduated from high school and are going on to college, they continue to express a desire to become Peacemakers by working with a Peacemaker mentor. It is hopeful with movement to Phase Two and Three work will increase as public begin having access to Judicial Branch facilities and Peacemaking staff. First Things First Program invited TPS as a presenter in a Speakers Series that was completed virtual and aired on KTNN radio station in April. Ramah TPS presented on the Importance of Family and K'é as they relate to Hooghan Haz'áádóó Na'nitin. One presentation on Peacemaking services was completed at a Ramah chapter meeting.

Shiprock

Life Value Engagements were a frequent activity in Shiprock District this quarter along with Prevention services were used to address truancy at Nizhoni Elementary School, Nizhoni Elementary, Tsi'Bit'ta' Middle School, Shiprock Career Prep and Tohaali Community School. Shiprock TPS has provided coverage for Aneth Peacemaking in the absence of a TPS. This quarter TPS attended 2 chapter meetings with San Juan and Sanostee chapters.

Window Rock

Court referrals for Life Value Engagements contribute to a high caseload for Window Rock TPS, while some services require connecting with detention centers for people who are incarcerated others are done virtual to help individuals find positive solutions to problems instead of focusing on negative behaviors. TPS has managed Life Value Engagements with individuals, some have agreed to have new staff be part of the counseling sessions that involving traditional teaching. He has completed meetings with Kinlichee and Cornfields chapter in this last quarter. Each chapter meeting attended by staff is to provide information on Peacemaking services and recruiting efforts for more Peacemakers.

Tuba City

Data shows Tuba City TPS has managed a high caseload this quarter with a one-time referral of 122 students from local schools all while absorbing some Kayenta Peacemaking referred cases. TPS stepped up to assist Dilkon TPS in providing coverage of services for Kayenta Peacemaking and has managed to provide services for people who are incarcerated in this community's detention facility. Tuba City Peacemaking services were sought out by the schools for students challenged with truancy and behavior issues as a final push to be promoted to next grade level. TPS is able to make strong use of community-based Peacemakers for families who are seeking and can connect with Navajo traditional teachings. Her successes include reduction in truancy and guide families back to Hozhó who are in distress over disharmony. TPS has also been active with both Page Community Resource Meeting and Tuba City Regional Networking from Coconino County and managed to attend Tonalea Chapter meeting.

Objectives to be accomplished in the next quarter

- Review PYEAP grant activities to acknowledge Youth Apprentices who have complete the Curriculum with our grant.
- Implement and further refine training for conformity and protocols for case management practices.
- Provide trainings for Judicial Branch employees, educators, and service providers on Peacemaking services in order to better utilize Peacemaking services.
- Continue to provide education regarding Fundamental Law and traditional education.
- Continue to coordinate recruitment for Peacemakers and begin facilitate orientation and training for existing Peacemakers.
- Continue services such as Peacemaking Sessions, Individual Life Value Engagement (ILVE), Group Life Value Engagement (GLVE), and Dine Family Group Conferences (DFGC)

VII. COURTS OF THE NAVAJO NATION

A. SUPREME COURT

Supreme Court Caseload Statistics

a. Civil

| (1) Cases Filed | <u>Apr.</u> | <u>May</u> | <u>Jun.</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 1 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
| -Certified Question..... | 0 | | | |
| -Child Custody..... | 2 | | | |
| -Contract..... | 0 | | | |
| -Decedent Estate..... | 0 | | | |
| -Domestic Relations..... | 3 | | | |
| -Elections..... | 0 | | | |
| -Employment/Labor..... | 0 | | | |
| -Ethics..... | 0 | | | |
| -Grazing..... | 0 | | | |
| -Land Dispute..... | 1 | | | |
| -Probate..... | 2 | | | |
| -Torts..... | 0 | | | |
| -Writs..... | 0 | | | |
| -Reconsiderations..... | 0 | | | |
| (2) Cases Completed | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| (3) Hearings Held | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| (4) Total Decisions this Quarter: | | | | |
| Memorandum Decision | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Orders | 2 | 12 | 2 | 16 |
| Opinions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

b. Criminal

| | <u>Apr.</u> | <u>May</u> | <u>Jun.</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| (1) Cases Filed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (2) Cases Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (3) Hearings Held | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (4) Total Decisions this Quarter: | | | | |
| (a) Memorandum Decision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (b) Orders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (c) Opinions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

c. Navajo Nation Bar Association

| | <u>Apr.</u> | <u>May</u> | <u>Jun.</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|---------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| (1) Cases Filed | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| (2) Cases Completed | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| (3) Hearings Held | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|----|----|
| (4) Total Decisions this Quarter: | | | | |
| (a) Memorandum Decision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (d) Orders | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| (e) Opinions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

d. Special Proceedings

| | <u>Apr.</u> | <u>May</u> | <u>Jun.</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| (1) Cases Filed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (2) Cases Completed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (3) Hearings Held | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (4) Total Decisions this Quarter: | | | | |
| (a)Memorandum Decision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (f) Orders | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (g) Opinions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

e. Summary of all cases on appeal

- (1) Brought Forward: 84
- (2) Filed: 23
- (3) Reconsiderations: 0
- (4) Closed: 4
- (5) Pending: 106

| Pending cases | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | total |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Civil | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 17 | 90 |
| Criminal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| NNBA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| Special proceeding | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 29 | 106 |

2. Motions Reviews and Decided:

| Apr. | May | Jun. | Total |
|------|-----|------|-------|
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |

3. Oral Arguments/Hearings Held: 2

4. Pro Bono and Appointments:

| | Apr. | May | Jun. | Total |
|--------------------------------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Tuba City/Kayenta/Dilkon | 12 | 11 | 11 | 34 |
| Window Rock/Chinle/Dzil Yijiin | 9 | 15 | 18 | 42 |
| Crownpoint/Shiprock/Aneth | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Ramah/Alamo/To'hajiilee | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 22 | 29 | 31 | 82 |

5. Navajo Reporter, FY 2022

The Navajo Nation Supreme Court sells Navajo Reporter books which are official reports of cases argued and decided in the Navajo Nation Supreme Court and the District Courts of the Navajo Nation. The books are sold in volumes starting from volume 1 to volume 9, except for volume 5, which are sold at Dine College Book Store. The prices of the books range from \$45.00 to \$100.00 per book. The order form for purchasing the Navajo Reporters is located on the Judicial Branch website.

There were no sales of the Navajo Reporter in the first and second quarters.

There was a total of 19 book sales in the third quarter.

OATH OF OFFICE

During the third quarter, Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley conducted an Oath of Office for Anson Etsitty, Farm Board Member for Many Farms Chapter, Genevieve Jones Secretary/Treasurer for Mexican Springs Chapter, and Mae Horseman, Commissioner, Nahata Dziil Chapter. These oaths were conducted virtual.

On May 25, 2022, Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne conducted an Oath of Office for Chief Prosecutor Vernon Jackson Jr. and on June 10, 2022, Chief Justice swore in newly barred Navajo Nation Bar Association (NNBA) members at the 2022 NNBA Annual Conference in Twin Arrows. AZ.

VACANCIES

The Navajo Nation has seven (7) vacant Judicial District Judge positions. Recruiting efforts are ongoing and paramount for the Branch.

The Supreme Court has one (1) vacant position, Supreme Court Law Clerk. The Law Clerk position is currently being advertised.

EVALUATIONS

The second and third 6-month performance evaluation process for the probationary Judges and Justice were on-going in the 3rd quarter and are in the completion stages. Meetings were held with the Performance Review Teams in April, May and June. Evaluations were completed for two probationary judges and others were rescheduled due to unavailability of performance review team members or the team requested for additional information. The goal is complete these evaluations by August 2022.

OFFICE OF PRO BONO SERVICES:

The Office of Pro Bono Services rules were approved by the Judicial Conference of the Navajo Nation on August 21, 1992. The Office of Pro Bono Services was given the authority by the Navajo Nation Bill of Rights to give the discretion of the Navajo Nation courts to determine when legal counsel shall be appointed for legal cases within the Navajo Nation courts.

The Office of Pro Bono Services receives requests from the Navajo Nation Judicial Districts on the reservation requesting for legal counsel for individuals who cannot afford an attorney for legal cases filed within the Navajo Nation Judicial Family and/or District courts on the Navajo reservation.

The process of obtaining legal counsel from the Office of Pro Bono Services starts with an application from the Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services. The Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services screen and determine eligibility for legal counsel. The approved application by the Navajo Nation Probation and Parole Services is sent to the court for the Navajo Nation Judge to Order for a member of the Navajo Nation Bar Association (legal counsel) be assigned to the individual that needs legal assistance. The Office of Pro Bono Services then makes an assignment for legal counsel to assist the individual in need for legal assistance pertaining to their cases with the Navajo Nation courts.

The Office of Pro Bono Services received 82 Pro Bono requests for the 3rd quarter. 34 requests were from Tuba City, Kayenta and Dilkon Judicial Districts. 42 requests were from Window Rock, Chinle and Dzil Yijiin Judicial Districts. 4 requests were from Crownpoint, Shiprock and Aneth Judicial Districts. 2 requests were from Ramah, Alamo and To'haajiilee Judicial Districts.

SUPREME COURT AND JUDICIAL BRANCH

Chief Justice Jayne, Associate Justice Shirley, Associate Justice Tina Tsinigine, and legal staff met virtually for administrative duties. The Supreme Court met for disposition meetings to review and discuss pending cases during the months of April, May, and June. They met on April 22, 26, and 27, 2022; May 6, 9, and 20, 2022; and June 2, 8, and 28, 2022. They reviewed caseloads and any pending motions and apprised each other of the status of the cases as to the draft decisions they are working on. The Justices participated in discussing motions, issues of the appeal, and researches they completed. Moreover, these discussions include comments and edits made to the draft orders and decision made on the cases with some cases continuing for further meeting dates as decided by the Court.

Oral Arguments were held on June 10, 2022 for the NNBA newly admitted members. A virtual hearing was conducted through Court Call. On June 20, 2002 a hearing was held on an election case where an appeal was filed by an applicant who was disqualified to run for an elective position.

The Third Quarter Judicial Conference was held virtually on June 30, 2022 with the Chief Justice, Associate Justices, and District Court Judges. The Judicial Conference is composed of 11 Judges and 3 Justices who set policy and advise the Chief Justice in matters concerning the administrative functions and policy development of the courts. The Judicial Conference agenda consisted of receiving reports on 1) Hashkééjį Nahat'á Béeso Bá Hooghan Fund on the draft criteria and priorities on the use of the funds where inputs were being solicited from the judges; and 2) on a report concerning the Judicial Evaluation Committee with respect to the Judges and Justices of the Navajo Nation.

Meetings for the Hashkééjį Nahat'á Béeso Bá Hooghan were held to develop criteria and priorities for expenditure. The Government Relations Officer conducted these meetings and Associate Justice Shirley and Associate Justice Tsinigine participated in the meetings. In April, the work group solicited feedback from key staff of the Judicial Branch to revise draft criteria. On May 10, 2022, the work group held a session with presentations on the various types of funding that the Judicial Branch receives with the goal of determining where Hashkeeji Funds fit into the overall financial picture of the Branch. Government Relations Officer reported to the Judicial Conference on June 30, 2022 on the status of the Criteria and solicited feedback for priorities.

On April 1, 2022, the Office of Chief Justice released a memo rescinding the travel restriction for the Judicial Branch to resume travel to conduct essential services.

Administrative Order 13-2022 was released on April 22, 2022. Administrative Order 13-2022 is in the matter of court operations in the courts of the Navajo Nation during the covid-19 state of emergency, by continuing the Continuity of Operations Plan and the Roapmap to Full Capacity Plan, eliminating blanket administrative leave, reinstating leave policies and procedures, implementing covid-19 administrative leave procedures, and instituting teleworking policies.

On a bi-weekly basis the Supreme Court, Office of Pro Bono Services, and Office of Chief Justice staff attended Judicial Branch's Weekly Teleconferences where information is shared regarding COVID-19 safety protocols, court operations, building use, telework operations, and budget overviews.

On a monthly basis the Judicial Staff Assistant attended monthly Office Technician meetings to review and get updates on financial matters and processes.

On a bi-weekly basis the Judicial Staff Assistant attended building update meetings with Grants Administrator regarding building projects for the Supreme Court and other court districts.

Supreme Court, Office of Chief Justice, and Pro Bono Services Office held staff meetings on April 27, 2022; May 26, 2022; June 07 and 23, 2022 regarding the Supreme Court's building projects, FY23 Budgets, Orders, Supplies, and phase 2 status. Suggestions were provided for Supreme Court to request for Phase 2 status.

Office of Chief Justice and Supreme Court staff attended the Court Administrators meeting in April, May, and June. Government Relations Officer gave an update on Hashkééjí Nahat'á Béeso Bá Hooghan. There were updates on the Branch ARPA proposal, FY 2023 budget process, and discussion on other topics affecting the Judicial Branch.

The Chief Justice previously assigned staff to update the Judicial Branch Strategic Plan which has not been updated in years. The Government Relations Officer attended the Judicial Branch Strategic Plan Work Sessions with team members to complete the draft of the Branch's strategic plan for 2022 to 2027. The plan identifies goals and objectives for the Branch to improve services. They have been going through the draft plan section by section to make revisions.

The Government Relations Officer continued to work with the Judicial Branch Information Technology office on the development of a Request for Proposals for design and development of the website. The team also met with Department of Information Technology to ensure the Request For Proposals is sufficient for the requirements of the Navajo Nation system and with New Mexico Courts to discuss how they developed their website.

Associate Attorney and Government Relations Officer attended internal Judicial Branch meetings on the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds that the Branch has received to plan for expenditure. The roles of staff and status of projects are discussed. They attended meetings on the Judicial Branch's proposal for ARPA Fiscal Recovery Funds. The Branch developed a proposal that would provide funding for the majority of the business units for both additional personnel and operating funds.

Associate Attorney and Government Relations Officer attended meetings to discuss concerns and recommendations for improvement to the process of submitting requests to move between phases and obtain approval regarding Roadmap to Full Capacity phases. Assisted with the reviewing and revising of the forms used for the Roadmap. Attended presentation on revised forms on June 2, 2022.

Associate Attorney continues to work on the Information Technology (IT) policy development. This is an extensive legal and policy development initiative for the Judicial Branch as various laws intersect in the development. The Navajo Nation Access to Information and Privacy Act, criminal law, children's code and other substantive laws as well as human resource issues are being reviewed to ensure that information contained by the Judicial Branch has the necessary security protections under this policy. In addition, IT protocols for court systems that are connected to other government entities through IT systems is being reviewed for potential guidance. This project should be completed by July 2022.

Associate Attorney worked extensively on procurement processes such as contract drafting, review, procurement reviews and trainings. This has included assisting units with understanding the procurement processes, providing revisions to drafts, identifying systems for streamlining,

reviewing contracts and being available for numerous meetings on contractual matters. She assists Administrative Offices of the Courts (AOC) external funding issues as they arise including federal regulation analysis. Additionally, works with various units of AOC to ensure legal positions being requested/created no matter the funding source is consistent with the legal needs of the Judicial Branch.

NAVAJO NATION GOVERNMENT

The Dine Action Plan was passed by the Navajo Nation Council on September 30, 2021, and signed into law by President Jonathan Nez on October 14, 2021, through Resolution CS-51-21. This important Plan establishes a multidisciplinary Advisory Group to “develop and oversee implementation of a detailed work plan to address all of our modern day Naayee’. These Naayee’ include substance abuse, violence, suicide and missing and murdered Dine relatives. On April 1, 2022, Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne appointed The Government Relations Office and Grants Administrator to the Dine Action Plan to provide input and expertise on its implementation on behalf of the Judicial Branch. They are working with Executive Branch, Legislative Branch and Casey Family Foundation to hold the inaugural meeting of the Diné Action Plan Advisory Group. The Foundation will be providing a facilitator and meeting space for group meetings. However, the Advisory Group meeting had to be rescheduled due to fires in the area where the meeting was to take place.

Chief Justice Jayne is a member of the Retirement Plan Administration Committee (RPAC) of the Navajo Nation. RPAC meetings held this quarter were on April 27, May 25, June 3 in Twin Arrows, and June 29, 2022.

Government Relations Officer attended the following meetings of the Legislative Branch virtually: Navajo Nation Council special session on April 4, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on April 5, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee on April 14, 2022; Navajo Nation Council spring session April 18, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on April 26, 2022; Health, Education and Human Services Committee on April 27, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee work session on May 2, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on May 3, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee work session on May 10, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee on May 12, 2022; Navajo Nation Council special session on May 13, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on May 17, 2022; Commission on Navajo Government Development on May 20, 2022; Sexual Assault Prevention Subcommittee on May 20, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee on May 26, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on June 7, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee on June 9, 2022; Navajo Nation Council special session on June 13, 2022; Budget and Finance Committee on June 21, 2022; Naa’bik’iyati’ Committee on June 22, 2022; Navajo Nation Council special session on June 23-24, 2022; and Navajo Nation Council special session on June 29-30, 2022.

Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer attended Law and Order Committee meetings and work sessions on April 11, 2022; April 22, 2022; May 9, 2022; June 14, 2022; and June 27, 2022. Government Relations Officer also attended the Law and Order Committee and Resources and Development Committee work session on April 12, 2022 and Law and Order Committee and Budget and Finance Committee joint meeting on April 28, 2022.

On June 12, 2022, Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer attended the Three Branch Chief meeting. Options for the Fiscal Year 2023 planning allocations for the branches were presented and the branch chiefs agreed on an option with recommendations to be incorporated into the Three Branch Chief agreement. On June 15, 2022 the Three Branch Chiefs Agreement for 2023 Fiscal Comprehensive Budget was signed by Chief Justice.

Government Relations Officer is a member of the Employee Housing Committee. She attended meetings and work sessions to revise the Employee Housing Rules and Regulations.

Government Relations Officer attended Navajo Nation Opioid Response Task Force meeting on May 17, 2022. There is ongoing discussion on policies related to the Nation's response to the opioid crisis and a grant that the Navajo Nation has to address this issue. She also attended Navajo Nation work group meeting on Suicide Prevention on May 19, 2022. A need was expressed to conduct an overarching review of the systems of care on the Navajo Nation.

Government Relations Officer attended meetings on tribal consultations with Navajo Nation Council Delegate Amber Crotty and the Navajo Nation Washington Office. These meetings are to discuss the Navajo Nation's responses to federal tribal consultations on public safety and health issues, including framing papers from the U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Health and Human Services.

STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Government Relations Officer virtually attended the following events: the purpose of this position is to gain information to share with the Chief Justice and the Judicial Branch.

- Utah Tribal Leaders meeting hosted by the Utah Division of Indian Affairs on April 14, 2022. There were updates from Utah state government programs, including the Utah state courts which has established a group to determine what issues trigger disparities in the court system and to give recommendations to the state judicial conference to create systemic change.
- National Indian Health Board discussion on Effective Prevention of Missing and Murdered Indigenous People on April 14, 2022 and April 19, 2022. This discussion was to discuss the U.S. HHS tribal consultation on missing and murdered Indigenous people.
- Listened to the federal Office of Management and Budget tribal consultation on President Joe Biden's Fiscal Year 2023 budget on May 23, 2022. OMB wanted feedback from tribes on transferring I.H.S. funding from discretionary to mandatory and reclassifying Indian Self Determination and Education Assistance.
- Attended U.S. HHS Tribal Consultation on April 20, 2022. Questions to tribal leaders were on the biggest challenges and obstacles in working with local level partners in communities on Missing and Murdered Indigenous People as a national crisis; what protective factors are in supporting work to address needs of tribal members; and how HHS can be more effective in prevention efforts. Some challenges included lack of knowledge on Native American people, culture and laws and lack of safe housing/facilities.

- Virtually attended White House Native Women Symposium: Session on the Violence Against Women Act on April 27, 2022. Several tribal representatives spoke about how they are implementing or have implemented VAWA.
- Virtually attended National Indigenous Women’s Resource Center week of action opening session on April 29, 2022. U.S. Senators Brian Schatz and Lisa Murkowski delivered remarks on the topic of violence against women. Attended presentation on the United Nation Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples on May 5, 2022.

MEDIA

Government Relations Officer drafted, finalized and sent out public service announcements on behalf of the Branch. Updated Judicial Branch website and social media accounts. She also worked on newspaper and radio advertisements and shared information on Judicial Branch services in Diné Bizaad on social media. Uploaded videos to YouTube and provided links on Facebook and Twitter. The recording was done by Ruby Frank and Rosiene Charley of the Peacemaking Program and is the same recording that is being aired on KTNN and KGAK. Government Relations Officer edited the audio and set to video for ease of use.

PUBLIC EVENTS

Chief Justice and Government Relations Officer attended the Navajo Nation Memorial Day Ceremony on May 30, 2022 to honor and recognize military heroes. Congressman Tom O’Halloran was a guest speaker.

Government Relations Officer virtually attended Culture Forward Meeting held by Casey Family Foundation and One Fire Associates on May 23, 2022 and June 30, 2022. Culture Forward is an initiative to address suicide among Native American youth utilizing tribally-driven and evidence-based solutions.

Chief Justice and Government Relations Office attended the Navajo Regional Hearing of the Commission on Native Children on April 21-22, 2022. The Commission was created by federal legislation and is tasked with studying federal funding and programs that support Native American children. Presentations were made on physical, mental and behavioral health; education and early childhood development; child welfare, juvenile justice and violence; systems innovations and best practices; and youth and community.

Government Relations Officer Attended Tribal Justice, Safety and Wellness Summit on June 6-8, 2022. Heard updates on Not Invisible Act and attended sessions on Capacity Building Post McGirt, Tribal Justice Using Custom and Tradition to Promote Healing in Tribal Communities, Recruitment and National Training Initiatives, Missing and Murdered Indigenous People Tribal Community Response Plans, and Drafting the Enforceable Tribal Protection Order. She also attended the New Mexico Indian Affairs Task Force Meeting on Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Relatives on June 22, 2022. The task force discussed planning for the first Missing Persons Day in New Mexico.

TRAININGS

Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne, Associate Justice Tina Tsinigine, and Associate Justice Eleanor Shirley attended the Federal Indian Law Conference in Albuquerque, New Mexico on April 7-8, 2022. The conference covered topics such as Perspectives on Indian Law in the Changing Supreme Court, Priorities under the Biden Administration under the DOI; Protecting Sovereignty in times of climate change; Constitutional challenges to ICWA and IGRA; Economic Development for Indigenous Nations; Data Compilations and securities; and Pursuit of Justice and Healing of MMIP legislation and policy.

Supreme Court and Office of the Chief Justice staff attended the 2022 Navajo Nation Annual Bar Conference at Twin Arrows Casino on June 9-10, 2022. Topics covered Criminal Justice in Indian Country; Federal Updates; Water Rights on Navajo Nation; Infrastructure Development on Navajo Nation; Practicing before Office of Hearing and Appels in Child Support hearings; Dine Fundamental Law by CJ Robert Yazzie and attorney, Justin Jones; probate proceeding; pro bono rules, NNBA Updates; Recruitment of Tribal Court Advocates; and Ethics presentations.

Telework agreement training was provided for staff on May 17, 2022, to provide guidance to staff on teleworking.

CourtCall training was provided to staff on May 23, 2022, on the new updates on CourtCall and preparation for upcoming hearings.

Associate Justice Tina Tsinigine attended a Logic and Opinion Writing course with National Judicial College June 20-23,2022.

B. ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Aneth Judicial District continues to operate in Phase One of the Judicial Branch Re-entry plans, which was inclusive of all the programs at Aneth District Court. The Phase One data incorporated and considered local health data, and for that specific reason chose to move forward into Phase One. The recent health data shows a decrease of infection rates of COVID-19 within the past three (3) months and lowering hospitalization rates. Aneth court management team has been monitoring the local health data on COVID-19 and is making decisions to submit to enter Phase 2 in the month of July 2022.

B. Aneth Judicial District embraces the health and safety of its staff as the highest priority and is continuing to offer essential court services. These safety protocols include the safety of the court building and to diminish exposure of COVID-19 by only allowing a limited number of employees in the building in Phase One. Aneth Court staff continue to self-monitor for symptoms for COVID-19 and for the seasonal cold before reporting to work.

C. Aneth Judicial District was afforded a no-cost extension on its contract for new Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning (HVAC) unit purchase and installment. The units are on backorder for six (6) months.

D. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) parking lot contract is pending signature. Once signed, the work will commence on Aneth District Court's ADA parking lot.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Honorable Irene S. Black continues to be temporarily assigned to Shiprock Judicial District and to the Judicial Grievance Board. The temporary assignment started on December 01, 2014 by then Chief Justice Herb Yazzie who assigned by Administrative Order 68-2014. With the current public health emergency, Judge Black conducts hearings by teleworking from home for Aneth and Shiprock Judicial Districts.

B. The Aneth District Court staff are participating in continuing educational offered by the Judicial Branch Training Manager via Skype for Business. Aneth District Court staff have completed required trainings to enter into Phase One and will continue to participate in other Health Safety trainings and attend job specific training to enhance their skills to their job duties.

C. Aneth District Court have bi-weekly meetings with staff and programs. These meetings are to update all employees on the information being disseminated from the weekly Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) meetings, from the Health and Safety Advisor, Window Rock Administrative Offices of the Courts and other court administrative updates.

D. Aneth District Court has two vacancies; a Staff Attorney position and an Office Technician position. An interview was completed interviews for the Office Technician on March 29, 2022. There were two applicants referred from Judicial Branch Human Resources and only one interviewed. A final selection was not made, and the position is being re-advertised. No one has applied for the Staff Attorney position.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished by Next Quarter

A. Phase Two re-entry plan will be submitted in the fourth quarter for consideration the Health and Safety Advisor and to the COOP Team. Aneth District court will have a safe working environment for the staff and to handle all case hearings.

B. Aneth District court administration will continue to advocate for its Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant parking lot and replace its aging HVAC units. Aneth District court management will assist in getting the projects underway in the next quarter with the two contracts.

C. CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. COVID-19 Court Response: Chinle Judicial District is in Phase Two of the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance. We continue to operate to expand services to the public. Judges continue to hear emergency cases. Court documents are received by drop box, postal mail, electronic mail and fax. Staff members are on a rotating schedule to come into the court to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings and to clerk hearings. They are doing a phenomenal job in continuing to provide expanded services to the public with

the bare essentials. We have a maximum of five (5) staff in the building on a daily basis to provide services to the public and to process court cases.

B. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The judges attended the quarterly Judicial Conference via teleconference. The court administrators and program managers were not included in the Judicial Conference.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Weekly Teleconference Meetings: The Court Administrator and Judges participated in the bi-monthly teleconference meetings. These updates are provided by the Chief Justice's support staff. Information on virtual trainings for staff updates on pandemic activities, and upcoming events are shared among staff.

B. Vacant Positions: The Chinle Judicial District has three (3) vacant position, Custodian, Bailiff and Staff Attorney. The Human Resources Office continues to advertise the Staff Attorney position. The Custodian and Bailiff positions are advertised with a closing date in July 2022.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished by Next Quarter

A. The Chinle Judicial District Judge and Court Administrator will participate in meetings with service providers and the Chief Justice's Office.

B. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work toward meeting its performance criteria goals.

C. The Court Administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, office technicians and custodian.

D. The Chinle Judicial District will continue to work with Judicial Branch Human Resources Office in filling the vacant positions and other goals.

D. CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT & PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

1. Accomplishment of Objective set the Previous Quarter

A. Continue essential court operations under the existing Judicial Branch Administrative Orders as directed by the Chief Justice, comply with the health & safety guidelines under the federal CPC and Navajo Nation Division of Health Public Health Emergency Orders: and to maintain the safety, welfare and moral of the judicial district personnel, litigants and general public and promote safety in the workplace, homes and communities and continue court operations under the COVID-19 pandemic.

Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado Courts are open

The Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado Courts never closed for processing cases during this quarter. If the goal is to get judgments for community processed (i.e. guardianship orders, criminal judgments & mittimus, domestic violence orders, etc), then the court is in full operation despite

the fact that the lobby is closed. We have drive-up services, email filing and phone services. During this quarter Acting Court Administrator facilitated a meeting with the court clerks on May 3, 2022 to work on backlog, and as a result 548 cases were closed where judgments were issued for Crownpoint and 62 Judgments were issued for Pueblo Pintado courts. All of these judgments were entered by the Honorable Judge Leonard Livingston with assistance of Judicial Hearing Officer and all clerks. Some of the bailiffs assisted with inputting data on judgments. The Court Administrator continues to Skype, call and go to Crownpoint from To'Hajiilee, to assist staff with these case docketing and management issues and to process the financial reports. In April, 2022, we had the assistance of former Court Administrator Ms. Rena Thompson. She did an excellent job to organize this effort at the building. Court Administrator Regina Roanhorse assisted with case management using JustWare during April, 2022 before Ms.Thompson had to leave and return back to retirement. Ms. Roanhorse had medical issues related to COVID-19 long term symptoms. Despite all these challenges of staff being exposed at their homes and in community, some getting COVID-19 and isolating and quarantining, the Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado courts worked diligently to remain accessible to the community. The challenge at the building are the phones because it works on VOIP. This requires internet. So, when the internet goes down, which is frequent, the phones don't work. Despite that, we remained accessible to the community and to provide the needed access to justice during this COVID-19 pandemic.

B. To continue with Roadmap to Full Capacity planning, to resume normal court operations in a healthy, safe manner according to the federal, state and tribal guidelines; under the guidance of the COOP Coordinator and his staff, and with the acknowledgement from Probation and Parole Services/Peacemaking Program; and to make sure the on-line training for "Roadmap to Full Capacity" is completed by new staff members moving towards Phase Three of the Roadmap to Full Capacity.

Safety Checklist, Phase 2

The Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado courts operate safely with daily check-ins with temperature and symptoms. All staff wear masks in the building. The SharePoint communication hub continues to post updates and the policies. During this quarter we did have one staff quarantine and others had to isolate for COVID-19. The leave policy needs to be changed to allow supervisors to direct isolation due to symptoms without docking an employee annual or sick leave. Due to the fact that COVID-19 testing is negative and then can turn positive 5 days later, it is hard to monitor the timeline for these cases.

C. To maintain archiving scanned cases pursuant to FY 2022 performance criteria.

Archiving

The Document Technician position of Crownpoint court is essential. Over 1,315 cases were archived during this quarter.

D. To maintain, report, update and generate status report on non-essential cases coming before Crownpoint/Pueblo Pintado courts.

Non-Essential Cases

Court clerks continue to process Pro Se packets and monitor the filing of cases with the Court Administrator. All cases are being docketed even though the clerks have the option of not moving non-essential cases forward. In other words, essential and non-essential cases are being calendared despite the COVID-19 pandemic issues. The courts for Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado utilize technology to achieve these results for a majority of these cases.

E. To achieve the best practices of the budget implementation by utilizing "performance-based objectives."

Performance based objectives being met

Crownpoint Court met the performance measures this quarter. Their goal for the five (5) clerks was 250 cases and they closed out 548.

Pueblo Pintado Court clerk closed out 62 cases this quarter and the performance measure was 22. She also met the other goal statements.

F. To bring Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court out of Devolution and into Phase One, in accordance with the Roadmap to Full Capacity.

Phase 1

Court Administrator worked with two court staff at Pueblo Pintado to do an inventory of supplies and an inspection of the building at Pueblo Pintado. The Administrative Office of the Courts maintenance person, before he left the position, also developed a plan to build sneeze guards at the court building which is a small building in Pueblo Pintado. However, the water pipe from the community waterline busted and the water remains off. The Phase 1 checklist will be activated in the next quarter to begin the process. There is only one clerk and one bailiff at this time for the Circuit Court of Pueblo Pintado and both are working at Crownpoint facility. All cases are being managed by one clerk. For this quarter, the clerk closed 62 cases, with a total of 24 on the criminal docket being the highest number, civil traffic and Domestic Violence cases which is a good showing for only one court clerk.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. In Person Hearings

The court conducted one in-person hearing at the courthouse. However, one of the legal counsels did not want to attend the hearing due to COVID-19 concerns about her family member, so a hybrid-in person hearing was conducted. The technology to have a Skype with one legal counsel and the other parties in the court room need to be strengthened because the speakers at the Skyping laptop is weak in volume. However, despite this challenge, Judge Livingston was able to conduct one in-person hearing. The court will be scheduling more once we get the technology and hardware to do it. Court Administrator requested IT to assist from the Administrative Office of the Courts, however, the equipment needs to be ordered.

B. Phase 3 Designation – Crownpoint Justice Center

The Crownpoint Judicial District requested approval to enter Phase 3 of the plan to re-open for in-person hearings during this reporting period. The Window Rock District Court continues to request to use the multi-purpose justice center to conduct criminal jury trials. The next jury trial is scheduled for November 2022.

C. Back log of cases

Court clerks closed out 548 cases this quarter, particularly in the Criminal, Civil traffic and domestic violence case dockets. The conditions of appropriation goals are being met by the court staff and the presiding Judge Livingston, with help from the Judicial Hearing Officer. Acting Court Administrator held a meeting on May 3, 2022, and encouraged the clerks to continue to work on cases. She stated to the court staff to continue to have integrity and work on cases. She updated staff on schedules, pulled outstanding cases to work on and assign staff as appropriate.

D. Financial Accounting Training

Tohajiilee Court Administrator was unable to provide full services for supervision in April, 2022 due to long term COVID-19 health issues. The Judicial Branch was able to hire Ms. Rena Thompson, former Court Administrator to assist the Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado courts. She had to go back into retirement in early May, 2022. Since that time, Tohajiilee Court Administrator was able to go out to Crownpoint two (2) days a week to aid the courts, particularly for processing the financial reporting for the trust accounts and the fines/fees for both courts. Prior to Ms. Thompson's departure she provided financial training for the clerks to ensure accountability of funds. This training assisted the clerks and Court Administrator Roanhorse to complete their weekly reporting of funds for the two Judicial Districts/ Circuit Court.

E. Staff and statistics Training

Acting Court Administrator was able to train the Office Technician to take over the accounting of statistics on a monthly basis using a manual accounting process and JustWare, which is the court's data base.

F. Crownpoint Resource Meeting

Staff Attorney and Court Administrator attended the Crownpoint Resource meeting facilitated by the Crownpoint Indian Health Services. Staff Attorney provided updates on the court's progress to the community service providers that attended from all disciplines for the 32 chapters in Eastern Navajo agency.

G. Murdered and Missing Indigenous Women Task Force

Court Administrator attended a online virtual meeting with the New Mexico Task force on April 21, 2022. They provided updates on initiatives throughout the state to implement new legislation and to highlight some funding and resources for tribes. She is on the task force as an advisory member.

H. Problem Solving Courts

Court Administrator attended a meeting regarding problem solving courts for the Law and Order Committee on April 25, 2022. Provided information on the initiative to create restorative justice models for the Navajo courts to address substance abuse addiction and its correlated mental

health issues by using the drug court model. She is a National Drug Court Professional member with NADCP.

I. JustWare Worksession

Court Administrator attended the JustWare worksession to work on strengthening the data points for case management on April 28, 2022. This includes working on the snap in components of JustWare to reflect the types of reports we want to create. AOC-IT is who we rely on for all these issues related to JustWare and provides us with information on what we can or can't do as Administrators. We wanted to address the forms that clerks use for case types. However, we were told that Chief Justice needs to approve those forms. These forms were already submitted more than six (6) years ago. At the trial courts, we will continue to use our own forms.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

A. Continue expanding court operations under the Judicial Branch Administrative Order 13-2022, comply with the health & safety guidelines under the federal CDC and Navajo Nation Division of Health Public Health Emergency Orders; and to maintain the safety, welfare and morale of the judicial district personnel, litigants and general public, to promote safety in the workplace, homes and communities, and to continue court operations under the COVID-19 pandemic.

B. To continue with Roadmap to Full Capacity planning, to resume normal court operations in a healthy, safe manner according to the federal, state and tribal guidelines; under the guidance of the COOP Coordinator and his staff, and with the acknowledgement from Probation and Parole Services/Peacemaking Program; and to make sure the on-line training for "Roadmap to Full Capacity" is completed by all new staff members, moving toward full capacity, re-opening status.

C. To continue to work on back log.

F. To bring Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court out of Devolution and to Phase One, in accordance with the Roadmap to Full Capacity.

E. DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. To facilitate the Dilkon Judicial District Resource Meetings to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public: This quarter, regardless of challenges we are all facing during the COVID-19 pandemic, we continue to meet virtually/telephonically the best way we can to achieve this particular set goal, by having our resource meetings with the local resources to keep the communication and to update each other to serve our customers and clients. Resource Meetings are conducted by the Staff Attorney.

B. To conduct two (2) in-service trainings for the district staff: In-services, meeting and planning are held virtually regarding court scheduling and planning on all cases and hearings; communicate customer services; case management update/edits on forms to better communicate with customers and local resources.

C. To plan, organize, prepare and structure regarding Roadmap Phase Two: Dilkon Judicial District submitted its plan and request to enter Phase Twoto Office of Chief Justice and COOP Team, and it was approved this quarter. We will work on our Phase Three plan and request.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Both the Judge and Staff Attorney attended virtual trainings and meetings.

B. Court Administrator has virtually attended meetings: Judicial Branch Strategic Planning, COOP meetings, CA/Managers' meetings, JustWare/Statistics trainings and meetings, ARPA FRF Budget review meetings, and assists other districts with personnel interviews.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter: (Dilkon Court will 'virtually' continue to accomplish these objectives in the best interest of the court during this pandemic.)

A. To facilitate the Dilkon Judicial District Resource Meetings 'virtually,' to network and collaborate with local resources to improve services to the public.

B. To conduct two (in-service) 'virtual' trainings for the district staff regarding the current situation of Coronavirus pandemic, safety protocols, self-care, etc.

C. To plan, organize, prepare and structure regarding the Phase Three of the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance.

D. To plan and prepare for FY 2023 budget process and budget hearings.

F. DZIŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. The Dził Yijiin Judicial District continues to deliver expanding court services within the Dził Yijiin region. In accordance with Navajo Nation Supreme Court Administrative Order 13-2022, the Dził Yijiin Judicial Court is closed to the public, the Court has been conducting expanded court operations. All services are subject to temporary mitigation measures designed to protect our patrons and team members to the greatest extent possible.

B. Physical access to the Dził Yijiin Judicial Court continues to be restricted. However, personnel are readily available to assist the public through contact-free methods by electronic processes: email and facsimile, in addition to, U.S. Postal Service Mail and an outside drop box located next to the main entrance. All court appearances are handled via telephonic conferencing and through Skype for Business. Individuals are encouraged to call or email the Court in lieu of making personal appearances to take care of their court business. Payments are made through contact-free payments for civil traffic fines and can be paid by credit or debit card online through Justice Web.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Dził Yijiin District continues to monitor the COVID-19 situation and take measures to help prevent virus spread in our community. Judge Thompson held court hearings on all scheduled

matters by utilizing alternative means of presiding over cases through teleconferencing or Skype for Business. Judicial Hearing Officer continues to conduct virtual hearings on Petition for Domestic Abuse Protection Orders every Monday.

B. Dził Yijiin Judicial District is moving forward with Phase Three of re-opening; and is optimistic with current safety protocols. Dził Yijiin district has met the Phase Two criteria pursuant to the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance and is currently operating in Phase Two.

C. Judge Thompson attended the Navajo Nation Bar Association annual conference on June 9-10, 2022; and attended a webinar on Emerging Issues in Neuroscience for Judges on June 22, 2022, which was presented by the American Association for Advancement of Science in collaboration with the National Judicial College.

D. Dził Yijiin Judicial District successfully completed the annual Sexual Harassment Awareness & Prevention training provided by the Judicial Branch Training Program Manager on June 3, 2022.

During the third quarter, Court Administrator attended the following Skype meeting and presentations, representing Dził Yijiin Judicial District:

- April 8, 2022, attended Phase Two training with Judge Thompson, Health & Safety Advisor, Probation & Peacemaking program managers and AOC leadership to discuss proposed plan for re-opening.
- Court Clerk attended Justware work session on April 28th.
- May 25 & 26, 2022 Bailiff attended and completed a sixteen (16) hours course of instructions in Defensive Tactic (*defensive body mechanics, force delivery, personal defense, and control techniques*). Leroy Wilson, Police Officer, Instructor.
- Office Technician attended virtual 2022 Tribal Justice, Safety and Wellness Summit June 6 – 9, 2022. During June 28 – 30, 2022, Office Technician also participated in the virtual American Indian Justice Conference.
- Staff continue to participate and complete the KnowBe4 security training issued by Navajo Nation DIT.
- Attended Court Administrator and Managers Skype meeting held on: May 5th and June 16, 2022.
- Court Administrator attended Roadmap Phase Requests – Refined Process Skype meeting on June 2, 2022; assisted WRJD with court clerk interviews on June 10th, and TCJD also for court clerk vacancy on June 23, 2022.
- June 29, 2022, Court Administrator attended FY2023 General Fund Budget work session.
- Attended virtual Pinon Chapter Government Planning and Chapter Meetings.
- Continuing to participate in the bi-monthly Judicial Branch Skype meetings regarding discussions and updates with Judicial Branch plans and operations, during the pandemic.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

A. Dził Yijiin Judicial District will continue court operations on processing all case types and provide services during this COVID-19 State of Emergency pursuant to Administrative Order 13-2022.

B. The district is eligible for Phase Three of re-opening. Continue to advocate to obtain approval

for Roadmap to Full Capacity - Phase Three operations, in accordance with guidance.

C. Staff will continue to assist in processing all case types beyond essential court matters.

D. Dził Yijiin Judicial District continues to be severely occupied with public health agencies, healthcare providers, local entities and partnering agencies to mitigate the impact of the virus. Dził Yijiin Judicial District will persist in encouraging the use of face coverings, physical distancing and disinfection practices, and all other measures our court has followed throughout the pandemic.

G. KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Since 2019, the Kayenta Judicial District and Kayenta Township pursued match funding from the State of Arizona. Through the endless support of the Navajo Nation State Task Force Sub-Committee of the 24th Council, Arizona Senator Theresa Hatathlie, AZ Representatives Jasmine Blackwater-Nygren and Myron Tsosie, the Kayenta Judicial Complex received appropriation in the Arizona 2023 Fiscal Year budget on June 28, 2022. Arizona House Bill No. 2858 will provide \$2 million dollars match funding for the judicial complex.

The district is seeking the remaining \$13,215,000 appropriation through Navajo Nation Council, Legislation 0065-22 for the construction of the Kayenta Judicial Complex. The proposed 17,000 square foot complex will safely accommodate the Kayenta Courts, Probation Services, Peacemaking Program, Office of the Prosecutor and Office of Public Defender.

B. The district participated in a Kayenta District Criminal Justice Summit meeting on April 29, 2022 to promote a more effective working relationship with the community, local law enforcement and other public service organizations.

C. Court Administrator participated in two preliminary FY 2023 Navajo Nation General Fund budget orientation and formulation work sessions.

D. District employees participated in the following trainings:

- In response to the COVID-19 crisis, the branch implemented staff trainings to address the imminent re-opening of the courts. The district staff participated in trainings entitled, “*COOP-Roadmap to Full Capacity*” on April 05, 2022. Staff are appreciative of the effective trainings that help keep them safe during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- All Staff participated in a Judicial Branch sponsored training entitled, “*Customer Service and Telephone Etiquette*” on May 02, 2022. The training explains the importance of a positive attitude in delivering good customer service and benefits of providing outstanding customer service to both internal and external customers.
- In response to the COVID-19 crisis, the branch implemented a remote appearance platform called CourtCall. The service provides Judges, court staff, attorneys and other participants with efficient, organized and reliable technology services to allow for simple remote

appearances. On June 02, 2022, CourtCall provided staff training on updates their new video platform. This new version is in response to the suggestions and requests made by participating courts around the country.

- All district staff participated in a branch on-line training entitled, “*Sexual Harassment & Awareness Training*” on June 03, 2022. The sole purpose of the mandatory and regular anti-harassment training is to protect employees and everyone in the workplace from harassment, the process for reporting and investigating and resolving acts or conduct of a sexually harassing nature.
- All staff participated in the “*2022 Tribal Justice Safety and Wellness Summit*” on June 06-09, 2022. The National Indian Country Training Initiative, Department of the Interior and Department of Justice sponsored virtual training was intended for federal, state, and tribal law enforcement, prosecutors, advocates, court staff, victim/witness services staff, and tribal leaders. The Summit features four training tracks on Criminal Justice Personnel, Records Collection and Data Sharing, Tribal Courts and Corrections; and Missing or Murdered Indigenous Persons.

E. Judge Stover and Judicial Hearing Officer earned continuing legal education credits at the Navajo Nation Bar Association 2022 annual conference on June 9-10, 2022, at Twin Arrows Navajo Casino and Resort.

F. Kayenta Judicial District continues to provide court services to the public pursuant to the Judicial Branch Continuity of Operations Plan (March 27, 2020) and Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance. The Judge and all staff report to offices daily to ensure access to the judicial system by the public. Court staff assist visitors at our court building front doors by utilizing a Ring doorbell device. The electronic activates when the visitor presses the button of the doorbell or when it senses a visitor with its built-in motion sensors. Staff can watch and talk with the visitor by using the doorbell's camera and microphone.

G. The district participated in bi-monthly updates as part of the Judicial Branch of the Navajo Nation Continuity of Operations (COOP) Plan on essential court operations during the Covid-19 Virus pandemic.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. By invitation, Judge Stover administered the Oath of Office to the Navajo Nation Department of Public Safety Sargeant Craig Laughter; new Black Mesa Chapter Community School Board Representative Lorraine Yazzie; and new Lukachukai Chapter District Grazing Committee member Larson F. Nez.

B. Judge Stover participated in Quarterly Judicial Conference teleconference meeting on June 30, 2022. Conference participants received Judicial Branch updates and new developments.

C. Court Administrator participated in twelve (12) on-line meetings to address the Judicial Branch Strategic Plan revisions, Judicial Branch training policy revisions, Judicial Branch re-opening Phase Development, Navajo Nation FY 2022 COA/Legislative Concerns report, FY

2022 Judicial Branch Special Duty Pay and Judicial Branch Compensation Study.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. To advocate for the Judicial Branch's fair share of the FY 2023 Navajo Nation General Funds budget to ensure efficient, fair and respectful judicial services and facility needs.
- B. To continue achieve Roadmap to Full Capacity Phase Three and Four status.
- C. To provide for three in-service trainings for the district staff.
- D. To promote positive and more effective working relationships with the community, local law enforcement and other public service organizations through monthly meetings.
- E. To pursue funding opportunities for the construction ready Kayenta Judicial Court Building.

H. RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. The Staff Attorney prepared and set an Amnesty Day on May 23-24, 2022. The notice was posted various places out in the public of the listing outstanding criminal, and traffic citation fees to be paid to the Ramah District Court. It was announced during the Ramah Navajo Chapter Planning Meeting, and at Regular Meeting.

B. Participated FY 2023 Proposed General Fund Work Session on Monday, June 27, 2022, to discuss the FY2023 GWA Allocation, Through Skype invite for a budget work session are the process of updating the budgets. The budget development process for ARPA was updated at the work session, it has not been approved yet through the council session.

On June 29, 2022, meeting through Skype Meeting with AOC Financial Technician. Court Administrator and Office Technician participated in FY 2023 General Funds Budget session, went over how to prepared budget formulation, Revised Personnel Costs and O&M Summary overview, and General Funds Budget Form 3 updates. Most of the district managers agreed with prepared FY 2023 GWA Allocation.

C. Ramah District Court staff continued processing court matters via teleconference hearing, and keeping up with hearing schedule.

D. Ramah Chapter Coordination: Court Administrator called in through teleconference to attend the Ramah Planning and Regular Chapter meetings to give reports on a daily Court Operations, such as staff work scheduling, hearing schedules; and updates on seeking funds for the plans, designs and construction of a new Ramah Court Facility Complex.

E. On April 30, 2022, Ramah Judicial District's Request to Enter Phase 2 of Road Map to Full Capacity was approved. Pursuant to the responsibilities delineated in the Judicial Branch Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance document, the COOP Coordinator approved the Ramah Judicial District's to enter into Phase 2 of the re-

opening process. On June 30, 2022 the Ramah Judicial District received approval to enter Phase 3 of the Road Map to Full Capacity guidance.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Due to COVID-19, the Ramah Court Staff are continuing with on-call scheduling system where only two or three staff are in the court building to mitigate exposure to COVID-19. Staff are self-monitoring before symptoms of the virus or the common cold before they report for work, and as they come into the building, staff sanitize their hands and they take their temperature before going to their work stations. Staff continues to be on a rotating schedule for daily operations, answering telephone calls, processing fines and fees, and processing postal mail and conducting hearings.

B. Approximately: 72 people signed in for services, 598 telephone calls logged in/out were received, and there were 22 incoming/outgoing fax services. Court Bailiff security reported for this quarter, 00 people served, 00 pocket knives, 00 other deadly weapon; Bench Warrant & Public Intoxication: 00 bench warrant 00 public intoxication. Due to COVID-19, the court building is closed to the general public.

C. The Court Clerks maintained the bench warrant list with Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement on a monthly basis. The Court Bailiff made bank deposits (fines & fees & cash bond) in Gallup, NM at the Wells Fargo Bank, and incoming/outgoing mail to Administrative Offices of the Court.

D. On April 20, 2022, Judge Laughing administered yearly Oath of Office to three (3) Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement Dispatchers, and eight (8) Ramah Navajo Law & Enforcement Police Officers.

E. Court Administrator, Judge Laughing, and Staff Attorney participated in bi-monthly Skype meetings regarding COOP updates. Chief Justice's support staff provides status of operations updates, communication, teleconference, email, and PPE, supplies, and job reassignments as necessary. There were discussions regarding the Roadmap to re-opening. The group is updated on programmatic information district services, discussion and update on the spread of the COVID-19 virus, and vaccination planning at various area, the Safety & Health changes based on judicial needs.

On May 19, 2022, and June 16, 2022, Court Administrator, aside from the regular duties continued to participate in the budget orientation, and numerous week session/meetings attended the Court Administrators/Managers meetings through teleconference this quarter with the rest of the Court Administrators Managers, Fiscal Office staff, TPS, CPPO, Grant Writer, Administrative Director, meeting on prepared agenda.

F. This quarter, we did not host a resource meeting. Will slowly start rescheduling in the fourth quarter. Most of the Resources Office in the surrounding community work hours are all different office hours and work half a day, due to COVID-19 Exposure.

G. The Ramah Judicial District honored fourteen (14) dispositional requests from the Ramah Navajo School Broad, Inc., the Office of FBI Investigation Office, Gallup, New Mexico, and

Window Rock Background Investigation Office. Requests were for outstanding Criminal and Traffic background checks or copies of completed payments regarding traffic citations. Background checks are for employment, if they are incarcerated within the State or Federal systems.

H. Training: The Court Bailiff completed Sexual Harassment Awareness & Prevention Training on May 23, 2022, and on May 25-26, 2022, completed Defensive Tactic Training Certification.

On June 3, 2022, Ramah Court Staff participated in the Annual Sexual Harassment awareness training received certificate for completing the training.

The Navajo Nation Bar Association annual conference was on June 9-10, 2022, Staff Attorney obtained updated information and earned CLE Credits required for Bar Membership during the conference which was held at Twin Arrows Navajo Casino and Resort. She also participated and obtained information on Navajo Nation Judicial Conduct Commission, Law updates, and NNBA updates, etc.

On June 6-9 Office Technician and Court Clerk participated in the 2022 Tribal Justice and Safety and Wellness Summit Sponsored by the Department of the Interior and Department of Justice.

In the Month of April, Ramah Court staff completed The Navajo Nation Department of Information Technology training regarding cyber-crime security training.

3. Objectives to Be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Court Administrator will provide technical assistance to the newly hired Custodian.
- B. To accomplish the business unit performance criteria goals.
- C. To focus on the district's archiving backlog.

I. SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishments of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Shiprock Project Tse'bit ah Criminal Justice Facility: Project is pending funding of construction funds. No project meeting held during this quarter.

B. Continuity of Operations Plan: The Shiprock Judicial District continues to operate in accordance with the Continuity of Operations Plan. Coordination of work schedule among twelve court personnel; managing with scheduling limited employees during business hours; and management has incorporated a work schedule in the evening and during the weekend so employees can complete their forty hours per week.

C. Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan: The Shiprock Judicial District management continues to manage the district court services with guidance of the Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan. Building modification for the district courtroom finally started with the Bailiff and Custodian working on the project. The Shiprock Judicial District management submitted a Phase One Plan, in accordance to the Roadmap to Full Capacity Plan, to the Judicial Branch COOP team. The

district's Phase One Plan returned by the COOP team with recommendations and further information requested.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. The Court Bailiffs and Custodian are installing plexi-glass barriers in the courtrooms. Other tasks includes assisting with filing of returned summons (no services), closed cases, and boxing closed cases.

B. This quarter four hundred and fifty-one (451) new filings were received in the district, and eight hundred and thirty-four (834) cases were closed.

C. Review of case backlog was initiated this quarter. Cases are reviewed and updated in the JustWare case management system, and forwarded to the court.

D. Honorable Genevieve Woody and Staff Attorney participated in the Navajo Nation Bar Association Annual Conference on June 8, 2022 thru June 10, 2022, and accomplished twelve (12) hours of Navajo Law and three (3) hours of Navajo Ethics.

E. Bi-Monthly Judicial Branch Meetings via Skype for Business: Judicial Branch meetings changed to bi-monthly meeting schedule held by the executive judicial staff providing COVID-19 health and safety updates by the Health and Safety Advisor.

F. District's Essential Services Provided

| Services | Received | Sent Out |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Telephone Calls | 1,737 | |
| Fax Transmittals | 0 | 0 |
| New Established Court's E-mail | 980 | |
| On-Line Payment | 0 | |
| Call-In Payment | 23 | |
| Postal Mail & Drop Box | 168 | 1,122 |
| Documents Filed w/ District | 603 | |
| Documents Filed w/ Family | 397 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 3,908 | 1,122 |

Three hundred and ninety-seven (397) family court documents filed with the Shiprock Judicial District, types of documents summarized below:

| FY22- 3RD QUARTER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| COURT DOCUMENT(S) FILED WITH FAMILY COURT | Pro Se Forms | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Application for Legal Counsel/Indigency Assessment | Minor(s) Guardianship | Adult Guardianship | Divorce | Paternity, Custody, Visitation, Support | Quiet Title Grazing Permit | Quiet Title Homesite Lease | Quiet Title Land Use Permit | Div-Petition for TPO | Motion to Domesticate | DV-Motion to Ext./Mod. (by Respondent) | DV-Motion for Ext./Mod. (by Petitioner) | DV-Motion to Vacate PO (by Petitioner) | DV-Motion to Vacate (by Respondent) | DV-Motion for OSC (by Petitioner) | DV-Motion for OSC (by Respondent) | Name Change (Minor Child) | Name Change (Adult) | Correction of Record (DOB) | Correction of Record (Place of Birth) | Guardianship of Adult | Guardianship of Minor Child | Petition for Correction of Name Change for Decedent | Petition for Correction of Record (DOB) for Decedent | Petition for Correction of Record (Place of Birth) for Decedent | Probate (with Probate Rules & Statute) | Complaint Against a Lawyer/Advocate | Private Process Server Listing | Motion to Appoint Special Appointee Packet | Inmate Request | Release of Information Form | Other |
| APRIL | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 127 |
| MAY | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 136 |
| JUNE | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 134 | |
| TOTAL: | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 301 | 397 | |

Six hundred and three (603) district court documents filed with the Shiprock Judicial District, types of documents summarized below:

| FY 2022 3RD QUARTER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| COURT DOCUMENTS FILED WITH DISTRICT COURT | FY 2022 3RD QUARTER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AA SHEET | Affidavit of Service | Application for Legal Counsel | Apology Letter | Call-Up Sheet | Certificate of DWI | Certificate of VIP | Conditions of Probation | Court Request Form | CSW Agreement | CSW Report - PPO | Executed Bench Warrants | Memos on L VES & Certificates | Motions & Orders | New CR Complaint | New District Civil Petition | New Small Claims Form | New TRCR Complaint | New TRCV Complaint | Presentence Report- PPO | Request for Extension | Request for Record/Background | Request for Transport | Request to Convert CSW back to Fine | Request to Convert Fine to CSW | Screening /Assessment/ Treatment | Statement of Compliance | Status Report - PPO | Subpoenas Filed | Subpoenas Return of Service | Summons Return of Service | Plea Statement (Traffic Citations) | OTHER | TOTAL |
| JANUARY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 92 | 221 |
| FEBRUARY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 52 | 230 |
| MARCH | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 36 | 152 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 24 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 5 | 180 | 603 |

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Continue to provide expanded court and program services.
- B. Complete the Building Modification for safe work environment.
- C. Hire and fill the last vacant position of District Court Clerk.
- D. Continue Training on the Continuity of Operation Plan.
- E. Continue Training on the Road Map to Full Capacity.

F. Continuation – Tse Bit'ai Justice Center coordination meeting.

G. Continuation – Conduct district caseload inventory for calendar year 2019.

J. TO'HAIILEE - ALAMO JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter

A. Review Cases for Both Districts and Continue to work on Back Log.

To'Hajiilee Judicial District closed out 23 cases this quarter without a court clerk. The Court Administrator took over clerking for this quarter. Alamo Court closed out 10 cases. The Staff Attorney published JustWare reports on cases to the Court Clerks to ensure that cases that are older are properly closed out in the database. Case reviews were conducted using the SharePoint restricted workspace in order to do these reviews remotely by the clerks, Court Administrator and Staff Attorney. This also involved uploading recordings to review. Orders were prepared for Judge William Platero's signature.

We will continue working on outstanding cases, and at this point it's the criminal cases that have outstanding criminal summons not served. The bailiffs for both districts take the summons all the way to Crownpoint Police Department (more than 200 miles travel), in order to make sure the police receive these summonses for service, and that seems to help with expediting service of criminal summons. Local police (one police officer) has not asked the court clerks for summons to be served.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. PHASE ONE PLAN RE-SUBMITTED

The Alamo Judicial District and the To'Hajiilee Judicial District submitted a Phase 1 plan checklist, separately, and are still waiting for feedback. Challenges to this submission is the fact that Alamo Courts have only four (4) staff. To'Hajiilee has four (4) court staff with two (2) clerks vacant to open up the lobby during hearings would require another bailiff, and the two clerks. Even if the Custodian were to do that job, if the Bailiff took leave or is in quarantine, there would be no additional staff to manage court days. This is true for To'Hajiilee Judicial District as well. Not all courts are the same.

B. COVID-19 Update

To'Hajiilee District and Family Court Clerk's positions remain vacant after the clerk in 2021 resigned in December, 2021. During this quarter we interviewed and make recommendations for selection. This would relieve Court Administrator as the acting Court Clerk.

Court Administrator has experience health issues since January 2022, including fatigue, inflammation and vertigo. Court Administrator's health issues reduced her capacity to work long hours and manage the two Judicial Districts along with Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado. However, during this quarter she did increase travel time to Crownpoint due to the expiration of Acting Court Administrator for Crownpoint and Pueblo Pintado districts in early May 2022. Any re-opening is impacted by Court Administrator's ability to work with limited staff at Alamo Courts and To'Hajiilee Courts. Unlike larger districts, where there can be more staff to assist,

due to our location over 150 miles from Window Rock, Arizona, there is little to no assistance from other courts.

C. TO'HAIILEE CHAPTER MEETINGS

Court Administrator gave updates and announced the vacant clerk's position to the To'Hajiilee Chapter online meeting on April 5, 2022.

D. Murdered and Missing Indigenous Women Task Force

Court Administrator attended an on-line virtual meeting with the New Mexico Task force on April 21, 2022. They provided updates on initiatives throughout the state to implement new legislation and to highlight some funding and resources for tribes. Court Administrator is on the task force as an advisory member.

E. Problem Solving Courts

Court Administrator attended a meeting regarding problem solving courts for the Law and Order Committee on April 25, 2022. Provided information on the initiative to create restorative justice models for the Navajo courts to address substance abuse addiction and its correlated mental health issues by using the drug court model. Court Administrator is a National Drug Court Professional member with NADCP.

F. JustWare Worksession

Court Administrator attended the JustWare work session to work on strengthening the data points for case management on April 28, 2022. This includes working on the snap in components of JustWare to reflect the types of reports we want to create. AOC-IT is who we rely on for all these issues related to JustWare, and they provide us with information on what we can or can't do as Administrators. We wanted to address the forms that clerks use for case types. However, we were told that Chief Justice needs to approve those forms. These forms were already submitted more than six (6) years ago. At the trial courts, we will continue to use our own forms.

G. FY 23 Court Administrator Position Alamo Judicial District

Chief Justice JoAnn Jayne approved a Court Administrator position for Alamo Judicial District starting in October, 2022 (Fiscal Year 2023).

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

- A. Continue to work on Case/Work Back Log.
- B. Set up laptops in the court rooms for in person hearings (Legal counsels tables).

K. TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set the Previous Quarter:

A. Court Operation. The Tuba City Judicial District continues to deliver court services via electronic filing, telephonic services, postal mail, facsimile, credit card/money order for

payments and drop boxes. All court hearings are telephonic and conference call numbers are provided for customers to call in for their court hearings. In response to Administrative Order 13-2022, the Honorable Victor Clyde has the discretion to hear all case types filed with the court. However, the current backlog of cases is of high volume due to the minimal services since March 2020. The management team is working on addressing and moving cases forward for the customers.

B. General Staff Meeting. Court Administrator provided up-dates on court operations and shared information on Judicial Branch plans for teleworking, equipment purchases, budgets, and Roadmap to Full Capacity advancement plans. Also, shared with staff are administrative orders from the Office of Chief Justice with special emphasis on preventing the spread of COVID-19 Coronavirus, keeping office area cleaned by the staff. Reminders on workplace health and safety protocols.

C. Court Clerks' Meeting. Court Administrator held meetings with court clerks to address case processing and case management issues. The main emphasis and information shared with the court clerks is to properly handle and process all court documents in a timely manner. The court continues to receive a lot of incoming court documents from the post office, fax and the court's email.

D. Judicial Branch COOP Meetings. Judge Clyde, Staff Attorney and Court Administrator attended the bi-monthly Judicial Branch telephonic meetings. The Judicial Branch, on a bi-monthly basis, meets with Judicial Districts, program managers and key administrative staff. COOP Coordinator and key staff provided information on the status of the COVID-19 pandemic and the latest up dates from the CDC and Navajo Nation Division of Health guidelines. For the safety of the staff, the Judicial Branch in its facilities requires wearing masks, sanitizing work spaces and thorough cleaning of facilities.

On April 1, 2022, Navajo Occupational Safety and Health Administration (NOSHA) Sr. Safety Technician and Judicial Branch Training Manager came to Tuba City Judicial Complex to inspect for health and safe protocols. NOSHA shared his recommendations and comments for re-opening the court building. The site visit was very informational and helpful.

E. Court Administrator, Business Managers and AOC Meeting. On May 5, 2022 and June 16, 2022, two meetings were held. Court Administrator from Kayenta district and Court Administrator from Aneth district respectively facilitated the meetings via Skype for Business. Court Administrator attended both meetings. The group discussed and shared information regarding administrative court operation, such as; special duty pay budget, step increases, Roadmap to Full Capacity & Phase Advancements, General Funds and ARPA budgets, Professional Services Contracts, and JustWare updates.

F. Defensive Driving Course (DDC). On April 7, 2022, Court Administrator and Court clerk attended the DDC training during this reporting period. The National Safety Council Defensive Driving course focuses on personal driving behaviors, sharing the road with other drivers, recognizing potential hazards, collision factors and prevention of collisions and traffic violations. The training is for employees to obtain their Navajo Nation tribal motor vehicle permit to drive

tribal vehicles. Both employees received their certificates and obtained their tribal vehicle permit.

G. Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Meetings. Grants Administrator facilitated numerous meetings to streamline with Judicial Districts the development of HVAC service contracts, following the FMIS procurement processes. Court Administrator and Office Technician participated in the meetings. The Tuba City Judicial District is working on a professional service contract for its HVAC system.

H. Office Technician Meetings. AOC Financial Technicians held meetings with all the Judicial Districts. The meetings provided instructions to follow all requirements of the FMIS purchasing procurements. Participants raised questions and clarifications were provided to the staff. Office Technician participated in the meetings.

I. Skillpath: Business Grammar & Proofreading. On May 25, 2022, Court Administrator and Office Technician participated in the training via Zoom. The refresher course was very informational and participants asked many questions on common sentence structures that are commonly overlooked.

J. Pro Se Training for Court Staff. On May 27, 2022, Staff Attorney Michael Bennett provided training on Probate process and procedures for the court staff. The purpose of the training is for staff to be of the same information when assisting customers.

K. Sexual Harassment Awareness Training. On June 3, 2022, Judicial Branch Training Manager Francine Bradley-Arthur provided the four-hour training for all Judicial Branch court staff. The protocols for reporting and prevention/awareness information were shared with the staff. Four court staff from the Tuba City Judicial District attended the annual training.

L. Daily Visitor Sign-in and Metal Detector Count for Apr., May and Jun. 2022. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the public is not allowed into the court building, therefore there is no status report.

M. Request for court documents and audio for Apr., May, and Jun. 2022.

| Month: | Apr - 2022 | May - 2022 | Jun - 2022 | Total: |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Total Court Document Request | 20 | 14 | 10 | 44 |
| Completed documents Request | 10 | 9 | 5 | 24 |
| Pending Document Request | 10 | 5 | 5 | 20 |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total Audio Request | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Completed Audio Request | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Pending Audio Request | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Resource Meeting. On May 27, 2022, the Tuba City Judicial District held its first Resource meeting coming out of the Pandemic. Judge Clyde instructed to schedule the meeting and to meet with local core group. Court Administrator and Office Technician assisted with setting up the meeting. The group in attendance were Law Enforcement, Office of the Prosecutor, Department of Corrections, Probation/Parole Services, Peacemaking Program, Division of Social Services, Public Defender and the Courts. With collaboration efforts, the group hopes to continue work on identifying how to share and streamline existing processes to improve services to the communities. The group appreciated the meeting and glad to hear each other’s voices. Staff Attorney facilitated the meeting.

B. Navajo Nation Bar Association (NNBA). On June 9-10, 2022, the NNBA held its first “in-person” conference was held at the Twin Arrows Casino, Flagstaff, Arizona. Judge Clyde and Staff Attorney attended the conference to maintain the required CLE hours for Bar membership.

C. Advanced Bench Skills: Procedural Fairness. On June 13-14, 2022, the National Judicial College provided the training in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Judge Clyde attended the two-day training.

D. Roadmap to Full Capacity – Phase Two Plan. On June 15, 2022, the Tuba City Judicial received approval to enter in to Judicial Branch’s “Roadmap to Full Capacity” re-opening process. The district met all gating criteria with a review of current Administrative Orders, Public Health Emergency Orders and the Readiness Checklist have been properly addressed. The district (Court, Probation/Parole Services and Peacemaking Program) will be working on its Phase Three plans.

E. Probate Training for Pro Se Litigants. The Tuba City court has been reviewing family pending cases and identified a need to provide a Probate training. On June 14, 2022, Staff Attorney provided an “open” training for Pro Se litigants with pending cases, not moving forward towards adjudication. Only one person attended the training.

F. Navajo Nation Judicial Conference. On June 30, 2022, Office of the Chief Justice held its third quarter Judicial Conference via Skype for Business. Judge Clyde attended the conference. Topics presented were reports by Government Relations Officer on Haashkeeji Nahata Beeso Ba Hooghan and Judge Livingston on caseload.

G. Judicial Hearing Officer. The Judicial Hearing Officer continues to hear domestic violence (DV) cases every week on Wednesdays. Due to the high volume of DV petitions filed with the court, the “overflow” of cases is scheduled on Fridays. All hearings are held via telephonic conference calls. On June 22, 2022, the Crownpoint Judicial Hearing Officer assisted with DV case hearings in Tuba City Judicial District.

H. Sage Education Building the Foundation, Level 1. On May 3-4, 2022, three (3) court clerks attended the training by Sage Education. The training focused on tribal court education to Indian Country. The training covered all aspects of filing with the court system such as due process, customer service, professional standards and ethics, court stamp standards, proper court filing procedures, and case file management. Instructors have over 50 years of experience working and teaching in Indian Country.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

A. To review court operations and processes to become more efficient and to eliminate duplication of services for court.

B. To close adjudicated cases.

C. To arrange for telephonic court hearings for all cases filed with the court.

D. To coordinate, organize and develop Phase Three plans pursuant to the Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance.

L. WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1. Accomplishment of Objectives set in the Previous Quarter:

A. The Window Rock Judicial District (WRJD) is in Phase three (3) reopening, of the Judicial Branch’s Roadmap to Full Capacity guidance, and is taking preventative measures to address all court cases during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Window Rock Judicial District has been preparing to re-open the courts for public services. For the staff’s safety, minimal renovations were constructed to include plexi-glass barriers for staff workstations, and the front office was implemented for social distancing and a safe work environment. Ongoing efforts continue, with Crownpoint Court, to address WRJD’s requirements for in-person criminal/civil jury trials.

We have a maximum of (12) twelve staff working daily (eight hours) in the AOC building to provide services to the public and process court cases. We are still hearing cases virtually. With the large caseload volume, WRJD is now planning and scheduling for the calendar year 2023. The Staff Attorney and Court Administrator are drafting plans for conducting outdoor hearings.

B. The district is working with Crownpoint Judicial District who are allowing the Window Rock Judicial District to utilize their facility to conduct upcoming in-person jury trials. The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) has authorized the re-openings of the Crownpoint

Court to address in-person trial requirements. The WRJD wishes to thank the Crownpoint Judicial District staff for assisting with WRJD jury trials, specifically Judge Livingston and Staff Attorney for facilitating Crownpoint Court to Phase Two status. Future WRJD jury trials will be requested to be scheduled in the Crownpoint Court.

C. A new Judicial Hearing Officer joined the Window Rock Judicial District to address the Domestic Violence caseloads. Ongoing efforts to recruit/retain additional legal support personnel are to no avail and Judge Begay is looking at artificial intelligence (AI)/automation applications in processing the high volume caseloads of WRJD. The AI/automation applications would better serve the communities by timely processing, scheduling, and managing the high volume caseloads that overwhelm WRJD human resources.

To further address the case backlog, Window Rock Judicial District will seek funding from the FY 22 General Funds Personnel Lapse funds to hire a Judicial Clerk and interns to assist with drafting orders for the backlog.

D. Court documents are received by dropbox, electronic and postal mail, and fax. Discussions are ongoing for the limited reopening of the court, to the public, for intake and filing in person. Staff members are scheduled throughout the day (eight hours) to answer telephone calls, process fines and fee payments, process postal mail, process filings, and clerk hearings. They are doing an extraordinary job in providing the public with the bare essentials. Currently, we have limited staff working due to vacancies and monitoring the staff, so we do not burn out the team.

E. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, it is imperative to understand the changing guidance for Fully-Vaccinated persons, for the Coronavirus variants, for vaccine booster shots, and to clean/disinfect COVID-19 contaminated facilities provided by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Navajo Nation Health Command Operations Center (HCOG).

The cleaning and disinfecting of a building or the affected workspaces can be accomplished by staff, and re-entry into a clean and safe building can occur much faster at this time. This allows for a quicker resumption of court and program services. When a Judicial Branch facility closes due to a potential or confirmed exposure, it is out of an abundance of caution to protect our personnel, and to do our best to mitigate the spread of the Coronavirus and its variants in our communities where we live and work.

The WRJD and HR Department continue to work with staff regarding possible or actual COVID-19 exposures. In the third quarter there were no exposures resulting in positive COVID-19 cases at the facility. However, out of an abundance of caution, even if there is possible exposure to our staff and facilities, the WRJD/HR Department works with the district/program leadership, the Health & Safety Advisor, and the COOP Coordinator on timeframes for closing court facilities. If necessary, arranging for the sister court to assist with the operation and rearrange staff as necessary.

F. Through the Window Rock Court's email, 1,134 people registered and utilized this service. This number represents inquiries for Court hearings, case status, requiring forms, and services. Additional services were provided to individuals calling the Court; however, no-log was kept regarding this public service.

There were 250 Family Court hearings and 152 District Court hearings for this Quarter.

For the second quarter, there were 51 document requests made, and 18 requests were completed. It should be noted that while the completed requests were limited, it can be attributed to the fact that most records are archived and need to be researched. This process is time-consuming and challenging due to the limited number of court staff assigned to our District goals.

Peacemaking Program's Traditional Program Specialist did not provide the Life Value Engagement Workshop (LVE) for the defendants in the WRJD this quarter but assisted and provided the LVE workshops in other districts and in the community. He is often called upon for teachings and enlightenment; for example, to give a 'talking to' for a newly-wed couple on Dine traditional roles and responsibilities. The experience gave the young couple understanding of traditional parenting, ethics, and kinship.

B. Administrative Responses:

1. Honorable Malcolm Begay is the only Judge who presides and hears all Window Rock Judicial Family and District Court cases. Judge Begay continues to assist and presided on court cases for the Tuba City, Dilkon and Kayenta Courts. Judge Begay administered Oaths to various personnel such as the Process Servers, Navajo Nation Corrections Officers, Internal Affairs personnel, and Navajo Nation/State Police Officers for annual and cross-deputizations, via Skype.

3. Staff Attorney assists in closing out WRJD's backlog cases and drafts orders for Judge Cynthia Thompson, Chinle District, and Judge Begay. He also helps Judge Begay with legal questions or research. Staff Attorney also helps the Court Administrator with legal questions concerning employment on JBEPP matters. He assists the WRJD with legal research and question on civil cases matters.

3. Navajo Nation Budget: Court Administrator and Judge Begay participated in the FY 2023 General Funds and ARPA discussions. The Window Rock Judicial District submitted an FY 2023 General Funds budget only. The discussions included the needs of the District and the services provided by the District, and how the District was affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic caused our court cases to be backlogged. Through the Chief Justice's Administrative Order 13-2022, the District provides all court services to the public. A work session was held on June 29, 2022, to finalize and submit a budget for FY 2023. The budget is subjected to change and as time moves on performance-based objectives will remain in consideration of the line items. With the pandemic still real among us, it is challenging to implement/project a performance-based objective budget.

2. Other Significant Accomplishments

A. Court Administrator and Judge Begay attended and participated in the Business Skype for meeting Re: COOP Bi-MONTHLY UPDATES; the status of operations; Communications: Teleconference, Email, and PPE; supplies: Job reassignments as necessary.

B. Quarterly Judicial Conference: The judges attended the quarterly judicial conference via teleconference. The court administrators and program managers were not included in the

discussion at the judicial conference meeting. Judge Begay continues to advocate for more Judges to be assigned to WRJD and support staff to serve the public better. He also voices concerns for the safety of court staff, workplace grievances, as well as the deplorable conditions in operating out of a conference room with no permanent court building for WRJD.

C. Vacant and Filled Position: The Window Rock Judicial District has six (6) vacant positions: two district Judges, one bailiff, and three court clerks. Two clerk positions were interviewed and await the hiring process. The Human Resource Office within the Judicial Branch continues to advertise the vacant positions.

D. Training: Judge Begay, Court Administrator and Staff Attorney conducted two (2) internal-service meetings for the district staff regarding court scheduling, planning on cases and hearings; communicating customer services; case management updates, and NOH forms to better communicate with customers and local resources/programs.

Judicial Branch Financial Management Training: The Judicial Branch Financial Services Manager provided training on Financial Documents, FMIS-6B Rollout overview, and FY 2023 General Funds budget work session. The Office Technician attends and participates in the Office Technician weekly meetings to review and get updates on financial matters and processes.

Pro Se Clinic: Staff Attorney provided a Pro Se clinic on Probate and Quiet Title to (5) five participants and he will provide more Pro Se clinics for Guardianship for minors and adults. The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted and changed the family setting due to the loss of a loved one due to the pandemic.

E. In 2021, the Law & Order Committee passed Resolution LOCMA-01-21 to fund a new modular building for the Window Rock Judicial District and Probation & Parole Services; NEZ/FCI is the contractor. In November 2021, Chief Justice Jayne signed the contract, but it took an extended amount of time to obtain a contract number, to process the first payment requests, to respond to rising costs of construction materials, and to move this project forward. WRJD publicly thanks the following personnel in their ongoing efforts to seek a court building for WRJD: Chief Justice Jayne; Construction Project Manager; Associate Attorney, Staff Attorney; Administrative Directory. *A'hee'ee* for all that you continue to do for WRJD.

Court Administrator attends weekly Modular Building Project meetings. The contractors are initiating design plans and will schedule design review meetings in the fourth quarter.

3. Objectives to be Accomplished in the Next Quarter

A. To continue with Roadmap to Full Capacity planning, to resume normal court operations in a healthy, safe manner according to the federal, state, and tribal guidelines; under the guidance of the COOP Coordinator and his staff, and with the acknowledgment from Probation and Parole Services/Peacemaking Program; and to make sure the on-line training for “Roadmap to Full Capacity” is completed by all new staff members, in Phase Three of re-opening status.

B. Staff training with the limited funds available is always a challenge; however, identifying training resources to benefit the staff remains a goal for the WRJD. The essential training required

and needed is the active shooter, First responders, CPR, Incident Command, and Suicide Prevention for all the staff. The Court Administrator will continue to provide technical assistance to the court clerks, bailiffs, and office technicians.

C. The Window Rock Judicial District seeks qualified applicants for the vacant Judges and Bailiff positions. The WRJD needs additional judges to handle the district's caseload, which is starting to decline. One full-time Judge is not enough to take on the district's caseload. The presiding Judge is overburdened by the large caseloads. The district needs an additional two (2) full-time Judges and twice the size of support staff positions to help with hearings and addressing the enormous caseloads. The Court Administrator supported and assisted with the duties and responsibilities within the vacant Court Clerks and Bailiff positions. It caused stress and burnout; we appreciate having an emergency hire of a court clerk.

D. In the event carryover is approved, the Window Rock Judicial District will be requesting funds to hire a temporary or permanent Archiving Clerk, Judicial Clerk, Attorney Candidate, and Staff Attorney to assist with the immense caseload.

E. The Window Rock District's current facility housing is temporary at the Administrative Office of the Courts. The Court Administrator and Construction Project Manager continue to meet with NEZ/FCI Company (contractor) on the Modular Building Project. The WRJD/PPS and NEZ/FCI will continue to meet via Zoom to discuss the contract, project summary, and starting with construction by phases.

F. To promote positive and a more effective working relationship with the community, local law enforcement, and other public service organizations through monthly meetings.

G. To pursue funding opportunities for the development of a construction-ready, permanent Window Rock Judicial Court Building.

VIII. JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSION

In the third quarter of FY 2022, regular activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission (“JCC”) were affected by the public health emergency. Trainings, work sessions and meetings were not regularly held due to the pandemic. Judicial Conduct Commission members are Chairman Robert Yazzie, Vice Chairman Dr. Manley Begay Jr., Judy R. Apachee, Dr. Raymond Austin, and Rhonda Tuni. Judicial Branch staff who assist the Commission are Government Relations Officer and Human Resources Director.

The following are some of the activities of the Judicial Conduct Commission during the FY 2022 Third Quarter:

A. Pursuant to Resolution LOCS-19-18, the Judicial Conduct Commission screens applicants for Navajo Nation judge and justice positions, and recommends probationary appointment of judges and justices to the Navajo Nation President. The Commission received two applications for the position of District Court Judge in the third quarter. Hybrid meetings were held on May 28, 2022 in Dilkon, Arizona and on June 13-14, 2022 in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The applicants were interviewed on May 28th and June 14th. The Commission also revised the interview questions during a work session on June 13th. The revised questions will be used for interviewing applicants for judge positions.

B. Three (3) Judicial Conduct Commission members attended the Navajo Nation Bar Association annual conference. They received the latest updates from the NNBA during the conference. The Commission also contributed items for the Judicial Branch Office of Human Resources to give out while recruiting applicants for vacant judges positions..

IX. CASELOAD AND STATISTICAL DATA

FY2021 THIRD QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY LOCATION

| LOCATION | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Supreme Court | 89 | 0.4% | 35 | 0.7% | 124 | 0.4% | 4 | 0.1% | 120 | 0.5% |
| Alamo | 95 | 0.4% | 13 | 0.3% | 108 | 0.4% | 10 | 0.2% | 98 | 0.4% |
| Aneth | 628 | 2.6% | 102 | 2.1% | 730 | 2.5% | 44 | 0.9% | 686 | 2.8% |
| Chinle | 1,738 | 7.1% | 360 | 7.3% | 2,098 | 7.1% | 718 | 14.9% | 1,380 | 5.6% |
| Crownpoint | 2,566 | 10.5% | 310 | 6.3% | 2,876 | 9.8% | 548 | 11.4% | 2,328 | 9.5% |
| Dilkon | 3,794 | 15.5% | 421 | 8.6% | 4,215 | 14.3% | 176 | 3.7% | 4,039 | 16.4% |
| Dzìł Yíjiiin | 692 | 2.8% | 123 | 2.5% | 815 | 2.8% | 133 | 2.8% | 682 | 2.8% |
| Kayenta | 1,921 | 7.8% | 453 | 9.2% | 2,374 | 8.1% | 461 | 9.6% | 1,913 | 7.8% |
| Pueblo Pintado | 254 | 1.0% | 60 | 1.2% | 314 | 1.1% | 62 | 1.3% | 252 | 1.0% |
| Ramah | 2,161 | 8.8% | 199 | 4.1% | 2,360 | 8.0% | 292 | 6.1% | 2,068 | 8.4% |
| Shiprock | 2,915 | 11.9% | 420 | 8.6% | 3,335 | 11.3% | 834 | 17.4% | 2,501 | 10.2% |
| To'hajiiilee | 411 | 1.7% | 24 | 0.5% | 435 | 1.5% | 23 | 0.5% | 412 | 1.7% |
| Tuba City | 2,257 | 9.2% | 739 | 15.1% | 2,996 | 10.2% | 742 | 15.4% | 2,254 | 9.2% |
| Window Rock | 4,215 | 17.2% | 956 | 19.5% | 5,171 | 17.6% | 185 | 3.9% | 4,986 | 20.3% |
| Probation Services | 590 | 2.4% | 456 | 9.3% | 1,046 | 3.6% | 354 | 7.4% | 692 | 2.8% |
| Peacemaking | 165 | 0.7% | 227 | 4.6% | 392 | 1.3% | 219 | 4.6% | 173 | 0.7% |
| TOTAL | 24,491 | 100.0% | 4,898 | 100.0% | 29,389 | 100.0% | 4,805 | 100.0% | 24,584 | 100.0% |

FY2021 THIRD QUARTER OVERALL STATISTICS BY CASE TYPE

| CASE TYPE | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Civil | 1,300 | 5.3% | 62 | 1.3% | 1,362 | 4.6% | 124 | 2.6% | 1,238 | 5.0% |
| Criminal | 8,880 | 36.3% | 1,010 | 20.6% | 9,890 | 33.7% | 1,025 | 21.3% | 8,865 | 36.1% |
| Civil Traffic | 7,361 | 30.1% | 2,061 | 42.1% | 9,422 | 32.1% | 2,010 | 41.8% | 7,412 | 30.1% |
| Criminal Traffic | 1,786 | 7.3% | 154 | 3.1% | 1,940 | 6.6% | 251 | 5.2% | 1,689 | 6.9% |
| Family Civil | 2,808 | 11.5% | 283 | 5.8% | 3,091 | 10.5% | 239 | 5.0% | 2,852 | 11.6% |
| Domestic Violence | 961 | 3.9% | 530 | 10.8% | 1,491 | 5.1% | 499 | 10.4% | 992 | 4.0% |
| Dependency | 363 | 1.5% | 39 | 0.8% | 402 | 1.4% | 50 | 1.0% | 352 | 1.4% |
| Delinquency | 137 | 0.6% | 27 | 0.6% | 164 | 0.6% | 28 | 0.6% | 136 | 0.6% |
| CHINS | 51 | 0.2% | 14 | 0.3% | 65 | 0.2% | 2 | 0.0% | 63 | 0.3% |
| Supreme Court | 89 | 0.4% | 35 | 0.7% | 124 | 0.4% | 4 | 0.1% | 120 | 0.5% |
| Probation/Parole | 590 | 2.4% | 456 | 9.3% | 1,046 | 3.6% | 354 | 7.4% | 692 | 2.8% |
| Peacemaking | 165 | 0.7% | 227 | 4.6% | 392 | 1.3% | 219 | 4.6% | 173 | 0.7% |
| TOTAL | 24,491 | 100.0% | 4,898 | 100.0% | 29,389 | 100.0% | 4,805 | 100.0% | 24,584 | 100.0% |

SUPREME COURT OF THE NAVAJO NATION

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Reconsiderations | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Civil | 83 | 93% | 23 | 66% | 0 | 0% | 106 | 85% | 4 | 100% | 102 | 85% |
| Criminal | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% |
| NNBA | 0 | 0% | 12 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 10% |
| Special Proceedings | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% |
| Quarter Caseload | 89 | 100% | 35 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 124 | 100% | 4 | 100% | 120 | 100% |

ALAMO COURT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Civil | 22 | 23.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 22 | 20.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 22 | 22.4% |
| Criminal | 40 | 42.1% | 1 | 7.7% | 41 | 38.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 41 | 41.8% |
| Civil Traffic | 2 | 2.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 1.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 2.0% |
| Criminal Traffic | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| District Total | 64 | | 1 | | 65 | | 0 | | 65 | |
| Family Civil | 22 | 23.2% | 6 | 46.2% | 28 | 25.9% | 5 | 50.0% | 23 | 23.5% |
| Domestic Violence | 2 | 2.1% | 6 | 46.2% | 8 | 7.4% | 5 | 50.0% | 3 | 3.1% |
| Dependency | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Delinquency | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| CHINS | 7 | 7.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 6.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 7.1% |
| Family Total | 31 | | 12 | | 43 | | 10 | | 33 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 95 | 100.0% | 13 | 100.0% | 108 | 100.0% | 10 | 100.0% | 98 | 100.0% |

ANETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Civil | 35 | 5.6% | 8 | 7.8% | 43 | 5.9% | 1 | 2.3% | 42 | 6.1% |
| Criminal | 273 | 43.5% | 51 | 50.0% | 324 | 44.4% | 31 | 70.5% | 293 | 42.7% |
| Civil Traffic | 78 | 12.4% | 17 | 16.7% | 95 | 13.0% | 3 | 6.8% | 92 | 13.4% |
| Criminal Traffic | 55 | 8.8% | 2 | 2.0% | 57 | 7.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 57 | 8.3% |
| District Total | 441 | | 78 | | 519 | | 35 | | 484 | |
| Family Civil | 126 | 20.1% | 12 | 11.8% | 138 | 18.9% | 1 | 2.3% | 137 | 20.0% |
| Domestic Violence | 52 | 8.3% | 10 | 9.8% | 62 | 8.5% | 8 | 18.2% | 54 | 7.9% |
| Dependency | 8 | 1.3% | 1 | 1.0% | 9 | 1.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 9 | 1.3% |
| Delinquency | 1 | 0.2% | 1 | 1.0% | 2 | 0.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.3% |
| CHINS | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 187 | | 24 | | 211 | | 9 | | 202 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 628 | 100.0% | 102 | 100.0% | 730 | 100.0% | 44 | 100.0% | 686 | 100.0% |

CHINLE JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 98 | 5.6% | 10 | 2.8% | 108 | 5.1% | 37 | 5.2% | 71 | 5.1% |
| Criminal | 559 | 32.2% | 104 | 28.9% | 663 | 31.6% | 88 | 12.3% | 575 | 41.7% |
| Civil Traffic | 433 | 24.9% | 92 | 25.6% | 525 | 25.0% | 428 | 59.6% | 97 | 7.0% |
| Criminal Traffic | 142 | 8.2% | 12 | 3.3% | 154 | 7.3% | 52 | 7.2% | 102 | 7.4% |
| District Total | 1,232 | | 218 | | 1,450 | | 605 | | 845 | |
| Family Civil | 220 | 12.7% | 43 | 11.9% | 263 | 12.5% | 63 | 8.8% | 200 | 14.5% |
| Domestic Violence | 172 | 9.9% | 71 | 19.7% | 243 | 11.6% | 44 | 6.1% | 199 | 14.4% |
| Dependency | 51 | 2.9% | 8 | 2.2% | 59 | 2.8% | 1 | 0.1% | 58 | 4.2% |
| Delinquency | 49 | 2.8% | 8 | 2.2% | 57 | 2.7% | 5 | 0.7% | 52 | 3.8% |
| CHINS | 14 | 0.8% | 12 | 3.3% | 26 | 1.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 26 | 1.9% |
| Family Total | 506 | | 142 | | 648 | | 113 | | 535 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 1,738 | 100.0% | 360 | 100.0% | 2,098 | 100.0% | 718 | 100.0% | 1,380 | 100.0% |

CROWNPOINT JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 81 | 3.2% | 14 | 4.5% | 95 | 3.3% | 12 | 2.2% | 83 | 3.6% |
| Criminal | 1,612 | 62.8% | 48 | 15.5% | 1,660 | 57.7% | 199 | 36.3% | 1,461 | 62.8% |
| Civil Traffic | 139 | 5.4% | 143 | 46.1% | 282 | 9.8% | 165 | 30.1% | 117 | 5.0% |
| Criminal Traffic | 230 | 9.0% | 3 | 1.0% | 233 | 8.1% | 18 | 3.3% | 215 | 9.2% |
| District Total | 2,062 | | 208 | | 2,270 | | 394 | | 1,876 | |
| Family Civil | 302 | 11.8% | 24 | 7.7% | 326 | 11.3% | 46 | 8.4% | 280 | 12.0% |
| Domestic Violence | 97 | 3.8% | 76 | 24.5% | 173 | 6.0% | 89 | 16.2% | 84 | 3.6% |
| Dependency | 76 | 3.0% | 2 | 0.6% | 78 | 2.7% | 9 | 1.6% | 69 | 3.0% |
| Delinquency | 27 | 1.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 27 | 0.9% | 10 | 1.8% | 17 | 0.7% |
| CHINS | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.1% |
| Family Total | 504 | | 102 | | 606 | | 154 | | 452 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 2,566 | 100.0% | 310 | 100.0% | 2,876 | 100.0% | 548 | 100.0% | 2,328 | 100.0% |

DILKON JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 44 | 1.2% | 3 | 0.7% | 47 | 1.1% | 3 | 1.7% | 44 | 1.1% |
| Criminal | 1,229 | 32.4% | 75 | 17.8% | 1,304 | 30.9% | 39 | 22.2% | 1,265 | 31.3% |
| Civil Traffic | 2,038 | 53.7% | 280 | 66.5% | 2,318 | 55.0% | 83 | 47.2% | 2,235 | 55.3% |
| Criminal Traffic | 153 | 4.0% | 10 | 2.4% | 163 | 3.9% | 6 | 3.4% | 157 | 3.9% |
| District Total | 3,464 | | 368 | | 3,832 | | 131 | | 3,701 | |
| Family Civil | 218 | 5.7% | 21 | 5.0% | 239 | 5.7% | 6 | 3.4% | 233 | 5.8% |
| Domestic Violence | 76 | 2.0% | 31 | 7.4% | 107 | 2.5% | 33 | 18.8% | 74 | 1.8% |
| Dependency | 28 | 0.7% | 1 | 0.2% | 29 | 0.7% | 6 | 3.4% | 23 | 0.6% |
| Delinquency | 7 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 0.2% |
| CHINS | 1 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 330 | | 53 | | 383 | | 45 | | 338 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 3,794 | 100.0% | 421 | 100.0% | 4,215 | 100.0% | 176 | 100.0% | 4,039 | 100.0% |

DZİŁ YIJIIN JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Civil | 14 | 2.0% | 2 | 1.6% | 16 | 2.0% | 4 | 3.0% | 12 | 1.8% |
| Criminal | 284 | 41.0% | 53 | 43.1% | 337 | 41.3% | 69 | 51.9% | 268 | 39.3% |
| Civil Traffic | 235 | 34.0% | 3 | 2.4% | 238 | 29.2% | 2 | 1.5% | 236 | 34.6% |
| Criminal Traffic | 39 | 5.6% | 6 | 4.9% | 45 | 5.5% | 1 | 0.8% | 44 | 6.5% |
| District Total | 572 | | 64 | | 636 | | 76 | | 560 | |
| Family Civil | 57 | 8.2% | 10 | 8.1% | 67 | 8.2% | 15 | 11.3% | 52 | 7.6% |
| Domestic Violence | 24 | 3.5% | 37 | 30.1% | 61 | 7.5% | 34 | 25.6% | 27 | 4.0% |
| Dependency | 30 | 4.3% | 3 | 2.4% | 33 | 4.0% | 5 | 3.8% | 28 | 4.1% |
| Delinquency | 3 | 0.4% | 8 | 6.5% | 11 | 1.3% | 3 | 2.3% | 8 | 1.2% |
| CHINS | 6 | 0.9% | 1 | 0.8% | 7 | 0.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 1.0% |
| Family Total | 120 | | 59 | | 179 | | 57 | | 122 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 692 | 100.0% | 123 | 100.0% | 815 | 100.0% | 133 | 100.0% | 682 | 100.0% |

KAYENTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 44 | 2.3% | 2 | 0.4% | 46 | 1.9% | 10 | 2.2% | 36 | 1.9% |
| Criminal | 1,289 | 67.1% | 95 | 21.0% | 1,384 | 58.3% | 98 | 21.3% | 1,286 | 67.2% |
| Civil Traffic | 120 | 6.2% | 233 | 51.4% | 353 | 14.9% | 244 | 52.9% | 109 | 5.7% |
| Criminal Traffic | 286 | 14.9% | 35 | 7.7% | 321 | 13.5% | 14 | 3.0% | 307 | 16.0% |
| District Total | 1,739 | | 365 | | 2,104 | | 366 | | 1,738 | |
| Family Civil | 87 | 4.5% | 16 | 3.5% | 103 | 4.3% | 20 | 4.3% | 83 | 4.3% |
| Domestic Violence | 29 | 1.5% | 66 | 14.6% | 95 | 4.0% | 67 | 14.5% | 28 | 1.5% |
| Dependency | 59 | 3.1% | 6 | 1.3% | 65 | 2.7% | 6 | 1.3% | 59 | 3.1% |
| Delinquency | 4 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 0.2% | 1 | 0.2% | 3 | 0.2% |
| CHINS | 3 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.2% | 2 | 0.1% |
| Family Total | 182 | | 88 | | 270 | | 95 | | 175 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 1,921 | 100.0% | 453 | 100.0% | 2,374 | 100.0% | 461 | 100.0% | 1,913 | 100.0% |

PUEBLO PINTADO COURT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Civil | 7 | 2.8% | 1 | 1.7% | 8 | 2.5% | 1 | 1.6% | 7 | 2.8% |
| Criminal | 195 | 76.8% | 18 | 30.0% | 213 | 67.8% | 24 | 38.7% | 189 | 75.0% |
| Civil Traffic | 1 | 0.4% | 27 | 45.0% | 28 | 8.9% | 15 | 24.2% | 13 | 5.2% |
| Criminal Traffic | 7 | 2.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 2.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 7 | 2.8% |
| District Total | 210 | | 46 | | 256 | | 40 | | 216 | |
| Family Civil | 23 | 9.1% | 3 | 5.0% | 26 | 8.3% | 7 | 11.3% | 19 | 7.5% |
| Domestic Violence | 13 | 5.1% | 10 | 16.7% | 23 | 7.3% | 12 | 19.4% | 11 | 4.4% |
| Dependency | 8 | 3.1% | 1 | 1.7% | 9 | 2.9% | 3 | 4.8% | 6 | 2.4% |
| Delinquency | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| CHINS | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 44 | | 14 | | 58 | | 22 | | 36 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 254 | 100.0% | 60 | 100.0% | 314 | 100.0% | 62 | 100.0% | 252 | 100.0% |

RAMAH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 5 | 0.2% | 3 | 1.5% | 8 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.3% | 7 | 0.3% |
| Criminal | 508 | 23.5% | 71 | 35.7% | 579 | 24.5% | 112 | 38.4% | 467 | 22.6% |
| Civil Traffic | 1,376 | 63.7% | 106 | 53.3% | 1,482 | 62.8% | 113 | 38.7% | 1,369 | 66.2% |
| Criminal Traffic | 74 | 3.4% | 11 | 5.5% | 85 | 3.6% | 55 | 18.8% | 30 | 1.5% |
| District Total | 1,963 | | 191 | | 2,154 | | 281 | | 1,873 | |
| Family Civil | 114 | 5.3% | 1 | 0.5% | 115 | 4.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 115 | 5.6% |
| Domestic Violence | 62 | 2.9% | 7 | 3.5% | 69 | 2.9% | 10 | 3.4% | 59 | 2.9% |
| Dependency | 5 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% | 5 | 0.2% | 1 | 0.3% | 4 | 0.2% |
| Delinquency | 17 | 0.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 17 | 0.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 17 | 0.8% |
| CHINS | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 198 | | 8 | | 206 | | 11 | | 195 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 2,161 | 100.0% | 199 | 100.0% | 2,360 | 100.0% | 292 | 100.0% | 2,068 | 100.0% |

SHIPROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 137 | 4.7% | 3 | 0.7% | 140 | 4.2% | 19 | 2.3% | 121 | 4.8% |
| Criminal | 1,044 | 35.8% | 121 | 28.8% | 1,165 | 34.9% | 151 | 18.1% | 1,014 | 40.5% |
| Civil Traffic | 397 | 13.6% | 102 | 24.3% | 499 | 15.0% | 455 | 54.6% | 44 | 1.8% |
| Criminal Traffic | 547 | 18.8% | 43 | 10.2% | 590 | 17.7% | 76 | 9.1% | 514 | 20.6% |
| District Total | 2,125 | | 269 | | 2,394 | | 701 | | 1,693 | |
| Family Civil | 509 | 17.5% | 59 | 14.0% | 568 | 17.0% | 13 | 1.6% | 555 | 22.2% |
| Domestic Violence | 243 | 8.3% | 82 | 19.5% | 325 | 9.7% | 110 | 13.2% | 215 | 8.6% |
| Dependency | 13 | 0.4% | 6 | 1.4% | 19 | 0.6% | 6 | 0.7% | 13 | 0.5% |
| Delinquency | 15 | 0.5% | 3 | 0.7% | 18 | 0.5% | 4 | 0.5% | 14 | 0.6% |
| CHINS | 10 | 0.3% | 1 | 0.2% | 11 | 0.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 11 | 0.4% |
| Family Total | 790 | | 151 | | 941 | | 133 | | 808 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 2,915 | 100.0% | 420 | 100.0% | 3,335 | 100.0% | 834 | 100.0% | 2,501 | 100.0% |

TOHAJILEE COURT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Civil | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Criminal | 254 | 61.8% | 6 | 25.0% | 260 | 59.8% | 12 | 52.2% | 248 | 60.2% |
| Civil Traffic | 77 | 18.7% | 1 | 4.2% | 78 | 17.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 78 | 18.9% |
| Criminal Traffic | 16 | 3.9% | 0 | 0.0% | 16 | 3.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 16 | 3.9% |
| District Total | 347 | | 7 | | 354 | | 12 | | 342 | |
| Family Civil | 32 | 7.8% | 6 | 25.0% | 38 | 8.7% | 6 | 26.1% | 32 | 7.8% |
| Domestic Violence | 20 | 4.9% | 10 | 41.7% | 30 | 6.9% | 4 | 17.4% | 26 | 6.3% |
| Dependency | 2 | 0.5% | 1 | 4.2% | 3 | 0.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 0.7% |
| Delinquency | 4 | 1.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 0.9% | 1 | 4.3% | 3 | 0.7% |
| CHINS | 6 | 1.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 6 | 1.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 6 | 1.5% |
| Family Total | 64 | | 17 | | 81 | | 11 | | 70 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 411 | 100.0% | 24 | 100.0% | 435 | 100.0% | 23 | 100.0% | 412 | 100.0% |

TUBA CITY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 51 | 2.3% | 7 | 0.9% | 58 | 1.9% | 11 | 1.5% | 47 | 2.1% |
| Criminal | 1,410 | 62.5% | 306 | 41.4% | 1,716 | 57.3% | 134 | 18.1% | 1,582 | 70.2% |
| Civil Traffic | 346 | 15.3% | 315 | 42.6% | 661 | 22.1% | 496 | 66.8% | 165 | 7.3% |
| Criminal Traffic | 177 | 7.8% | 31 | 4.2% | 208 | 6.9% | 16 | 2.2% | 192 | 8.5% |
| District Total | 1,984 | | 659 | | 2,643 | | 657 | | 1,986 | |
| Family Civil | 206 | 9.1% | 22 | 3.0% | 228 | 7.6% | 18 | 2.4% | 210 | 9.3% |
| Domestic Violence | 41 | 1.8% | 49 | 6.6% | 90 | 3.0% | 53 | 7.1% | 37 | 1.6% |
| Dependency | 19 | 0.8% | 3 | 0.4% | 22 | 0.7% | 10 | 1.3% | 12 | 0.5% |
| Delinquency | 7 | 0.3% | 6 | 0.8% | 13 | 0.4% | 4 | 0.5% | 9 | 0.4% |
| CHINS | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 273 | | 80 | | 353 | | 85 | | 268 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 2,257 | 100.0% | 739 | 100.0% | 2,996 | 100.0% | 742 | 100.0% | 2,254 | 100.0% |

WINDOW ROCK JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Civil | 762 | 18.1% | 9 | 0.9% | 771 | 14.9% | 25 | 13.5% | 746 | 15.0% |
| Criminal | 183 | 4.3% | 61 | 6.4% | 244 | 4.7% | 68 | 36.8% | 176 | 3.5% |
| Civil Traffic | 2,119 | 50.3% | 742 | 77.6% | 2,861 | 55.3% | 6 | 3.2% | 2,855 | 57.3% |
| Criminal Traffic | 60 | 1.4% | 1 | 0.1% | 61 | 1.2% | 13 | 7.0% | 48 | 1.0% |
| District Total | 3,124 | | 813 | | 3,937 | | 112 | | 3,825 | |
| Family Civil | 892 | 21.2% | 60 | 6.3% | 952 | 18.4% | 39 | 21.1% | 913 | 18.3% |
| Domestic Violence | 130 | 3.1% | 75 | 7.8% | 205 | 4.0% | 30 | 16.2% | 175 | 3.5% |
| Dependency | 64 | 1.5% | 7 | 0.7% | 71 | 1.4% | 3 | 1.6% | 68 | 1.4% |
| Delinquency | 3 | 0.1% | 1 | 0.1% | 4 | 0.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 0.1% |
| CHINS | 2 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.0% | 1 | 0.5% | 1 | 0.0% |
| Family Total | 1,091 | | 143 | | 1,234 | | 73 | | 1,161 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 4,215 | 100.0% | 956 | 100.0% | 5,171 | 100.0% | 185 | 100.0% | 4,986 | 100.0% |

NAVAJO NATION PROBATION SERVICES

| Case Type | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Adult Probation | 215 | 36.4% | 146 | 32.0% | 361 | 34.5% | 118 | 33.3% | 243 | 35.1% |
| Adult Parole | 5 | 0.8% | 2 | 0.4% | 7 | 0.7% | 4 | 1.1% | 3 | 0.4% |
| Adult Short-Term Probation | 336 | 56.9% | 278 | 61.0% | 614 | 58.7% | 214 | 60.5% | 400 | 57.8% |
| Adult Probation Total | 556 | | 426 | | 982 | | 336 | | 646 | |
| Juvenile Probation | 10 | 1.7% | 8 | 1.8% | 18 | 1.7% | 4 | 1.1% | 14 | 2.0% |
| Juvenile Short-Term Probation | 24 | 4.1% | 22 | 4.8% | 46 | 4.4% | 14 | 4.0% | 32 | 4.6% |
| Juvenile Probation Total | 34 | | 30 | | 64 | | 18 | | 46 | |
| Quarter Caseload | 590 | 100.0% | 456 | 100.0% | 1,046 | 100.0% | 354 | 100.0% | 692 | 100.0% |

NAVAJO NATION PEACEMAKING PROGRAM

| District | Brought Forward | | Filed | | Caseload | | Closed Cases | | Pending | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Alamo | 13 | 7.9% | 1 | 0.4% | 14 | 3.6% | 12 | 5.5% | 2 | 1.2% |
| Aneth | 4 | 2.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 1.0% | 4 | 1.8% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chinle | 16 | 9.7% | 10 | 4.4% | 26 | 6.6% | 9 | 4.1% | 17 | 9.8% |
| Crownpoint | 36 | 21.8% | 1 | 0.4% | 37 | 9.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 37 | 21.4% |
| Dilkon | 18 | 10.9% | 26 | 11.5% | 44 | 11.2% | 31 | 14.2% | 13 | 7.5% |
| Dzit' Yijiin | 2 | 1.2% | 9 | 4.0% | 11 | 2.8% | 4 | 1.8% | 7 | 4.0% |
| Kayenta | 22 | 13.3% | 13 | 5.7% | 35 | 8.9% | 20 | 9.1% | 15 | 8.7% |
| Ramah | 7 | 4.2% | 4 | 1.8% | 11 | 2.8% | 8 | 3.7% | 3 | 1.7% |
| Shiprock | 11 | 6.7% | 11 | 4.8% | 22 | 5.6% | 15 | 6.8% | 7 | 4.0% |
| To'hajiilee | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Tuba City | 21 | 12.7% | 147 | 64.8% | 168 | 42.9% | 114 | 52.1% | 54 | 31.2% |
| Window Rock | 15 | 9.1% | 5 | 2.2% | 20 | 5.1% | 2 | 0.9% | 18 | 10.4% |
| Quarter Caseload | 165 | 100.0% | 227 | 100.0% | 392 | 100.0% | 219 | 100.0% | 173 | 100.0% |

X. JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGETS AND EXPENDITURES

JUDICIAL BRANCH FY 2022 BUDGETS vs EXPENDITURES - as of 6/30/22

The Judicial Branch receives operating funds from two main sources of continual appropriation to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

A. Navajo Nation General Fund. The 24th Navajo Nation Council approved Legislation 0182-21, the FY 2022 Comprehensive budget on 9/10/21. The NN President approved the FY 2022 Comprehensive budget per legislation CS-49-21 on 9/27/21. The Judicial Branch General Fund budget allocation is \$15,765,782 plus Indirect Cost Fund of \$144,564; General Wage Adjustment (GWA) of \$439,253; and Personnel Lapse Fund of \$283,478 for Five (5) of eighteen (18) Business Units (Unmet Needs budgets) for a Grand Total of \$16,633,077 (Original Budget). The FY 2022 budget was revised to include FY 2021 Prior Year Encumbrance Carryover in the amount of \$3,324.03 for the following Business Units: BU 102004 @ \$567.18; BU 102005 @ \$47.70; BU 102008 @ \$1,500; BU 102006 @ \$1,050; BU 102011 @ \$8.05; and BU 102015 @ \$151.10. The budget was revised again on 11/10/21 to include Supplemental Funding per CO-55-21 in the amount of \$961,438 for the remaining thirteen (13) business units' Unmet Needs budgets. The budget was revised on 1/10/22 to include FY 21 Prior Year IDC Carryover in the amount of \$22,064.99. The budget was revised again on 4/27/22 to include FY '22 GWA in the amount of \$451,609. The Revised Budget is now at \$18,071,513.02. The Judicial Branch's FY 2022 General Fund Budgets consists of eighteen (18) Business Units. Fixed Costs. The JB Fixed Costs allocation is \$375,000 for the NN Integrated Justice Information Sharing - JB (NNIJS.) This amount was revised to include FY 2021 Prior year encumbrance carryover in the amount of \$32,079.98. The revised budget for NNIJS is \$407,079.98. As of 6/30/22 the

| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 102001 | Admin Office of the Courts | | | | | | |
| | 1930 | Miscellaneous | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (22,064.99) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (22,064.99) | 0.00% |
| | 1992 | IDC Recovery | (144,564.00) | (144,564.00) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (54,619.60) | 62.22% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,516,355.00) | (2,169,510.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (2,169,510.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,660,919.00) | (2,336,138.99) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (2,246,194.59) | 3.85% |
| | 2000 | Personnel Expenses | 1,526,584.00 | 1,710,411.00 | 1,042,667.65 | 0.00 | 667,743.35 | 60.96% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 134,335.00 | 625,727.99 | 60,405.90 | 57,875.00 | 507,447.09 | 18.90% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,660,919.00 | 2,336,138.99 | 1,103,073.55 | 57,875.00 | 1,175,190.44 | 49.70% |
| 2 | 102002 | Chinle Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,147,978.00) | (1,215,177.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,215,177.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,147,978.00) | (1,215,177.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,215,177.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,061,122.00 | 1,093,018.00 | 586,422.78 | 0.00 | 506,595.22 | 53.65% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 86,856.00 | 122,159.00 | 58,315.31 | 19,135.80 | 44,707.89 | 63.40% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,147,978.00 | 1,215,177.00 | 644,738.09 | 19,135.80 | 551,303.11 | 54.63% |
| 3 | 102003 | Crownpoint Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (977,668.00) | (1,018,653.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,018,653.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (977,668.00) | (1,018,653.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,018,653.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 916,555.00 | 944,138.00 | 506,242.91 | 0.00 | 437,895.09 | 53.62% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 61,113.00 | 74,515.00 | 36,200.82 | 0.00 | 38,314.18 | 48.58% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 977,668.00 | 1,018,653.00 | 542,443.73 | 0.00 | 476,209.27 | 53.25% |
| 4 | 102004 | Window Rock Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (567.18) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (567.18) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,200,962.00) | (1,267,349.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,267,349.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,200,962.00) | (1,267,916.18) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,267,916.18) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,127,663.00 | 1,161,563.00 | 547,573.28 | 0.00 | 613,989.72 | 47.14% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 73,299.00 | 106,353.18 | 16,006.75 | 33,399.44 | 56,946.99 | 46.45% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,200,962.00 | 1,267,916.18 | 563,580.03 | 33,399.44 | 670,936.71 | 47.08% |
| 5 | 102005 | Shiprock Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (47.70) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (47.70) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,162,610.00) | (1,229,136.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,229,136.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,162,610.00) | (1,229,183.70) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,229,183.70) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,094,500.00 | 1,127,451.00 | 606,469.44 | 0.00 | 520,981.56 | 53.79% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 68,110.00 | 95,232.70 | 22,667.32 | 6,192.66 | 66,372.72 | 30.30% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 6,500.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6,500.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,162,610.00 | 1,229,183.70 | 629,136.76 | 6,192.66 | 593,854.28 | 51.69% |

| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 6 | 102006 | Tuba City Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (1,050.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,050.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,095,344.00) | (1,188,509.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,188,509.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,095,344.00) | (1,189,559.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,189,559.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,019,657.00 | 1,050,312.00 | 531,925.01 | 0.00 | 518,386.99 | 50.64% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 75,687.00 | 139,247.00 | 76,910.64 | 22,383.29 | 39,953.07 | 71.31% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,095,344.00 | 1,189,559.00 | 608,835.65 | 22,383.29 | 558,340.06 | 53.06% |
| 7 | 102007 | Ramah Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (659,424.00) | (677,178.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (677,178.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (659,424.00) | (677,178.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (677,178.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 596,092.00 | 628,973.00 | 403,801.22 | 0.00 | 225,171.78 | 64.20% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 63,332.00 | 48,205.00 | 30,554.11 | 1,149.29 | 16,501.60 | 65.77% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 659,424.00 | 677,178.00 | 434,355.33 | 1,149.29 | 241,673.38 | 64.31% |
| 8 | 102008 | Supreme Court | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (1,500.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,500.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,380,972.00) | (1,471,602.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,471,602.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,380,972.00) | (1,473,102.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,473,102.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,290,646.00 | 1,328,884.00 | 698,466.93 | 0.00 | 630,417.07 | 52.56% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 90,326.00 | 144,218.00 | 34,218.39 | 8,405.02 | 101,594.59 | 29.55% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,380,972.00 | 1,473,102.00 | 732,685.32 | 8,405.02 | 732,011.66 | 50.31% |
| 9 | 102009 | Peacemaking Program | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,427,488.00) | (1,463,633.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,463,633.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,427,488.00) | (1,463,633.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,463,633.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,204,847.00 | 1,240,992.00 | 646,332.58 | 0.00 | 594,659.42 | 52.08% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 102,641.00 | 102,641.00 | 47,258.38 | 2,259.10 | 53,123.52 | 48.24% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 120,000.00 | 120,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 120,000.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,427,488.00 | 1,463,633.00 | 693,590.96 | 2,259.10 | 767,782.94 | 47.54% |
| 10 | 102010 | Kayenta Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (895,518.00) | (960,037.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (960,037.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (895,518.00) | (960,037.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (960,037.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 819,010.00 | 843,529.00 | 422,047.54 | 0.00 | 421,481.46 | 50.03% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 76,508.00 | 116,508.00 | 38,078.09 | 11,971.31 | 66,458.60 | 42.96% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 895,518.00 | 960,037.00 | 460,125.63 | 11,971.31 | 487,940.06 | 49.17% |
| 11 | 102011 | Dilkon Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (8.05) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (8.05) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (842,941.00) | (864,796.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (864,796.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (842,941.00) | (864,804.05) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (864,804.05) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 730,844.00 | 752,699.00 | 490,350.24 | 0.00 | 262,348.76 | 65.15% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 112,097.00 | 112,105.05 | 12,963.50 | 0.00 | 99,141.55 | 11.56% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 842,941.00 | 864,804.05 | 503,313.74 | 0.00 | 361,490.31 | 58.20% |
| 12 | 102012 | Aneth Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (665,883.00) | (704,324.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (704,324.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (665,883.00) | (704,324.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (704,324.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 599,459.00 | 617,500.00 | 345,748.41 | 0.00 | 271,751.59 | 55.99% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 66,424.00 | 86,824.00 | 31,539.23 | 2,857.74 | 52,427.03 | 39.62% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 665,883.00 | 704,324.00 | 377,287.64 | 2,857.74 | 324,178.62 | 53.97% |

| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expended of Total |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 13 | 102013 | Tohajiilee Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (710,392.00) | (728,433.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (728,433.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (710,392.00) | (728,433.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (728,433.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 600,236.00 | 618,277.00 | 366,200.81 | 0.00 | 252,076.19 | 59.23% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 110,156.00 | 110,156.00 | 27,923.60 | 10,601.37 | 71,631.03 | 34.97% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 710,392.00 | 728,433.00 | 394,124.41 | 10,601.37 | 323,707.22 | 55.56% |
| 14 | 102014 | Alamo Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (208,912.00) | (213,463.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (213,463.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (208,912.00) | (213,463.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (213,463.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 150,469.00 | 169,358.00 | 109,732.20 | 0.00 | 59,625.80 | 64.79% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 58,443.00 | 44,105.00 | 13,552.90 | 2,571.69 | 27,980.41 | 36.56% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 208,912.00 | 213,463.00 | 123,285.10 | 2,571.69 | 87,606.21 | 58.96% |
| 15 | 102015 | Dzil Yijjin Judicial District | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (151.10) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (151.10) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (469,479.00) | (487,511.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (487,511.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (469,479.00) | (487,662.10) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (487,662.10) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 420,278.00 | 445,689.00 | 303,199.85 | 0.00 | 142,489.15 | 68.03% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 49,201.00 | 41,973.10 | 23,366.50 | 275.05 | 18,331.55 | 56.33% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 469,479.00 | 487,662.10 | 326,566.35 | 275.05 | 160,820.70 | 67.02% |
| 16 | 102017 | Pueblo Pintado Circuit Court | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (205,128.00) | (222,497.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (222,497.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (205,128.00) | (222,497.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (222,497.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 188,664.00 | 194,343.00 | 42,342.30 | 0.00 | 152,000.70 | 21.79% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 16,464.00 | 28,154.00 | 6,360.07 | 4,529.37 | 17,264.56 | 38.68% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 205,128.00 | 222,497.00 | 48,702.37 | 4,529.37 | 169,265.26 | 23.92% |
| 17 | 102018 | Probation Services | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (1,894,247.00) | (1,984,790.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,984,790.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (1,894,247.00) | (1,984,790.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (1,984,790.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,717,376.00 | 1,843,719.00 | 1,097,058.97 | 0.00 | 746,660.03 | 59.50% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 176,871.00 | 110,371.00 | 52,275.26 | 0.00 | 58,095.74 | 47.36% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 30,700.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30,700.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,894,247.00 | 1,984,790.00 | 1,149,334.23 | 0.00 | 835,455.77 | 57.91% |
| 18 | 102019 | Judicial Conduct Commission | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (27,212.00) | (34,962.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (34,962.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (27,212.00) | (34,962.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (34,962.00) | 0.00% |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 8,758.00 | 8,758.00 | 3,670.88 | 0.00 | 5,087.12 | 41.91% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 18,454.00 | 26,204.00 | 5,174.82 | 0.00 | 21,029.18 | 19.75% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 27,212.00 | 34,962.00 | 8,845.70 | 0.00 | 26,116.30 | 25.30% |
| Judicial Branch General Fund Total: | | | 16,633,077.00 | 18,071,513.02 | 9,344,024.59 | 183,606.13 | 8,543,882.30 | 52.72% |
| Overall Breakdown of General Funds: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1930 | Miscellaneous | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (25,389.02) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (25,389.02) | 0.00% |
| | 1992 | IDC Recovery | (144,564.00) | (144,564.00) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (54,619.60) | 62.22% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (16,488,513.00) | (17,901,560.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (17,901,560.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (16,633,077.00) | (18,071,513.02) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (17,981,568.62) | 0.50% |
| | 2000 | Personnel Expenses | 15,072,760.00 | 15,779,614.00 | 8,750,253.00 | 0.00 | 7,029,361.00 | 55.45% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 1,440,317.00 | 2,134,699.02 | 593,771.59 | 183,606.13 | 1,357,321.30 | 36.42% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 120,000.00 | 157,200.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 157,200.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 16,633,077.00 | 18,071,513.02 | 9,344,024.59 | 183,606.13 | 8,543,882.30 | 52.72% |

| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 19 | 118019 | NN Integrated Justice (Fixed Costs) | | | | | | |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (32,079.98) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (32,079.98) | 0.00% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (375,000.00) | (375,000.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (375,000.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (375,000.00) | (407,079.98) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (407,079.98) | 0.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 375,000.00 | 407,079.98 | 51,559.73 | 27,479.98 | 328,040.27 | 19.42% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 375,000.00 | 407,079.98 | 51,559.73 | 27,479.98 | 328,040.27 | 19.42% |
| Overall Breakdown of General Funds Plus NN Integrated Justice Fixed Costs: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1930 | Miscellaneous | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 1942 | Prior Year Carry Over | 0.00 | (57,469.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (57,469.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1992 | IDC Recovery | (144,564.00) | (144,564.00) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (54,619.60) | 62.22% |
| | 1996 | Allocation | (16,863,513.00) | (18,276,560.00) | 0.00 | 0.00 | (18,276,560.00) | 0.00% |
| | 1000 | Revenues | (17,008,077.00) | (18,478,593.00) | (89,944.40) | 0.00 | (18,388,648.60) | 0.49% |
| | 2000 | Personnel Expenses | 15,072,760.00 | 15,779,614.00 | 8,750,253.00 | 0.00 | 7,029,361.00 | 55.45% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 1,815,317.00 | 2,541,779.00 | 645,331.32 | 211,086.11 | 1,685,361.57 | 33.69% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 120,000.00 | 157,200.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 157,200.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 17,008,077.00 | 18,478,593.00 | 9,395,584.32 | 211,086.11 | 8,871,922.57 | 51.99% |

B. The Judicial Branch currently has twenty-four (24) External Fund Budgets as follows: **(1) K170801** Peacemaking Youth Edu. Apprentices Contract Term 10/1/17 – 9/30/22; **(2) K180800** Navajo Juvenile Healing to Wellness Court, Contract Term 10/1/17 – 9/30/22; **(3) K170802** FY 2017 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/16 - 9/30/22; **(4) K180801** FY '18 New Path Reentry, Contract Term 10/01/18 - 9/30/22; **(5) K180802** NN Wellness Courts, Contract Term 10/1/18 - 9/30/22; **(6) K160800** FY '16 Edward Byrne JAG, Contract Term 10/1/15 - 9/30/19; **(7) K180803** FY '18 Edward Bryne Jag , Contract Term 10/1/17 - 9/30/22; **(8) K160736** CY 16 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19; **(9) K160781** CY 16 Judicial One Time Funding, Contract Term 1/1/16 - 12/31/19, **(10) K170745** CY 17 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/17 – 12/31/19; **(11) K170748** CY 17 One Time Funding VAVA, Contract Term 1/1/17 - 12/31/18; **(12) K180718** CY 18 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/18 – 12/31/22; **(13) K180772** CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE Funding Contract Term 1/1/18 - 12/31/22; **(14) K190723** CY 19 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/19 - 12/31/22; **(15) K190778** CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds, Contract Term 1/1/19 - 12/31/22; **(16) K200713** CY 20 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; **(17) K200744** CY 20 One Time Funds VAWA, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; **(18) K200791** CY 20 One time Fund DAPA CW, Contract Term 1/1/20 - 12/31/22; **(19) K210722** CY 21 Tribal Courts, Contract Term 1/1/21-12/31/22; **(20) K210758** Tribal Courts Program - ARPA, Contract Term 1/1/21 -12/31/22; **(21) K220710** CY22 Tribal Courts Program, Contract Term 1/1/21 - 12/31/22 **(22) K220740** CY22 Domestic Abuse Protection/Child Welfare, Contract Term 01/1/20 - 12/31/22; **(23) K201506** US Treasury - Judicial Branch (CARES ACT), Contract Term 8/26/20 - 12/30/20, extended to 12/30/21 and **(24) K211518** US Treasury (ARPA), Contract Term 1/1/21 to 12/31/22. There are fifteen (15) Public Law 93-638 Indian Self-Determination Act, multiyear contracts with the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) to provide court services within the Navajo Nation.

| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
|----------|---------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | K170801 | Peacemaking Youth EDU-Apprentices | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 0.00 | 106,658.00 | 11,646.50 | 0.00 | 95,011.50 | 10.92% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 302,448.00 | 195,660.00 | 65,576.65 | 0.00 | 130,083.35 | 33.52% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 47,182.00 | 47,312.00 | 12,085.44 | 0.00 | 35,226.56 | 25.54% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 349,630.00 | 349,630.00 | 89,308.59 | 0.00 | 260,321.41 | 25.54% |
| 2 | K180800 | Navajo Juvenile Healing To Wellness Court | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 106,185.00 | 106,185.00 | 15,609.35 | 0.00 | 90,575.65 | 14.70% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 196,583.00 | 196,583.00 | 10,866.06 | 0.00 | 185,716.94 | 5.53% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 47,232.00 | 47,232.00 | 4,192.70 | 0.00 | 43,039.30 | 8.88% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 350,000.00 | 350,000.00 | 30,668.11 | 0.00 | 319,331.89 | 8.76% |
| 3 | K170802 | FY 17 Edward Byrne JAG | | | | | | |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 64,537.00 | 64,537.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 64,537.00 | 0.00% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 6,453.00 | 6,453.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6,453.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 70,990.00 | 70,990.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70,990.00 | 0.00% |
| 4 | K180801 | FY '18 New Path Reentry | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 59,902.00 | 208,259.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 208,259.00 | 0.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 113,033.00 | 385,906.00 | 405.06 | 0.00 | 385,500.94 | 0.10% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 27,065.00 | 105,835.00 | 64.20 | 0.00 | 105,770.80 | 0.06% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 200,000.00 | 700,000.00 | 469.26 | 0.00 | 699,530.74 | 0.07% |
| 5 | K180802 | NN Wellness Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 365,197.00 | 365,197.00 | 5,265.35 | 0.00 | 359,931.65 | 1.44% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 283,311.00 | 283,311.00 | 563.67 | 0.00 | 282,747.33 | 0.20% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 101,492.00 | 101,492.00 | 923.90 | 0.00 | 100,568.10 | 0.91% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 750,000.00 | 750,000.00 | 6,752.92 | 0.00 | 743,247.08 | 0.90% |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 6 | K160800 | FY 16 Edward Byrne JAG | | | | | | |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 46,301.00 | 44,392.00 | 43,115.52 | 0.00 | 1,276.48 | 97.12% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 2,530.00 | 4,439.00 | 4,311.56 | 0.00 | 127.44 | 97.13% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 48,831.00 | 48,831.00 | 47,427.08 | 0.00 | 1,403.92 | 97.12% |
| 7 | K180803 | FY 18 Edward Byrne JAG | | | | | | |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 99,581.00 | 99,581.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 99,581.00 | 0.00% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 9,958.00 | 9,958.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9,958.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 109,539.00 | 109,539.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 109,539.00 | 0.00% |
| | | Judicial Branch External Funds | \$1,878,990.00 | \$2,378,990.00 | \$174,625.96 | \$0.00 | \$2,204,364.04 | 7.34% |
| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expended of Total |
| 8 | K160736 | CY 16 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 1,334,724.00 | 949,782.11 | 949,782.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 101,577.00 | 680,813.45 | 680,813.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 95,175.49 | 95,175.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,436,301.00 | 1,725,771.05 | 1,725,771.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| 9 | K160781 | CY 16 Judicial One Time Funds | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 276,511.00 | 280,169.60 | 280,169.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 16,305.00 | 12,646.40 | 12,646.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 292,816.00 | 292,816.00 | 292,816.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| 10 | K170745 | CY 17 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 770,471.00 | 1,358,721.44 | 1,357,608.08 | 0.00 | 1,113.36 | 99.92% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 53,563.00 | 232,055.56 | 232,055.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 824,034.00 | 1,590,777.00 | 1,589,663.64 | 0.00 | 1,113.36 | 99.93% |
| 11 | K170748 | CY 17 One Time Funds VAWA | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 10,272.00 | 25,798.30 | 25,798.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 79,728.00 | 64,201.70 | 64,201.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 90,000.00 | 90,000.00 | 90,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| 12 | K180718 | CY 18 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 258,684.00 | 1,559,353.06 | 1,559,111.78 | 0.00 | 241.28 | 99.98% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 10,933.00 | 111,361.94 | 111,361.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 269,617.00 | 1,670,715.00 | 1,670,473.72 | 0.00 | 241.28 | 99.99% |
| 13 | K180772 | CY 18 One Time Direct TIWAHE | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 272,340.00 | 275,815.10 | 271,595.74 | 0.00 | 4,219.36 | 98.47% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 23,488.00 | 20,012.90 | 19,496.13 | 0.00 | 516.77 | 97.42% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 295,828.00 | 295,828.00 | 291,091.87 | 0.00 | 4,736.13 | 98.40% |
| 14 | K190723 | CY 19 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 262,524.00 | 1,436,572.56 | 1,436,572.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 8,119.00 | 249,247.44 | 223,361.35 | 15,636.76 | 10,249.33 | 95.89% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 270,643.00 | 1,685,820.00 | 1,659,933.91 | 15,636.76 | 10,249.33 | 99.39% |
| 15 | K190778 | CY 19 Judicial One Time Funds | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 277,245.00 | 278,655.00 | 255,408.62 | 0.00 | 23,246.38 | 91.66% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 48,061.00 | 46,651.00 | 8,697.68 | 411.88 | 37,541.44 | 19.53% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 325,306.00 | 325,306.00 | 264,106.30 | 411.88 | 60,787.82 | 81.31% |
| 16 | K200713 | CY 20 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 272,055.00 | 1,688,151.59 | 1,657,556.49 | 0.00 | 30,595.10 | 98.19% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 51,130.00 | 214,889.41 | 51,126.48 | 71,868.72 | 91,894.21 | 57.24% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 323,185.00 | 1,903,041.00 | 1,708,682.97 | 71,868.72 | 122,489.31 | 93.56% |
| 17 | K200744 | CY 20 Judicial One Time Funds VAWA | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 80,927.00 | 103,196.00 | 102,612.22 | 0.00 | 583.78 | 99.43% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 104,265.00 | 81,996.00 | 764.23 | 36,623.00 | 44,608.77 | 45.60% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 185,192.00 | 185,192.00 | 103,376.45 | 36,623.00 | 45,192.55 | 75.60% |
| 18 | K200791 | CY 20 Judicial One Time Fund DAPA CW | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 478,643.00 | 516,574.66 | 481,581.61 | 0.00 | 34,993.05 | 93.23% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 47,200.00 | 9,268.34 | 4,076.38 | 0.00 | 5,191.96 | 43.98% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 525,843.00 | 525,843.00 | 485,657.99 | 0.00 | 40,185.01 | 92.36% |
| 19 | K210722 | CY 21 Tribal Courts | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 273,047.00 | 1,621,107.87 | 1,535,002.28 | 0.00 | 86,105.59 | 94.69% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 16,878.00 | 250,314.13 | 17,763.76 | 2,088.20 | 230,462.17 | 7.93% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 0.00 | 102,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 102,000.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 289,925.00 | 1,973,422.00 | 1,552,766.04 | 2,088.20 | 418,567.76 | 78.79% |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 20 | K210758 | CY 21 Tribal Courts Program - ARPA | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 35,933.00 | 35,933.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35,933.00 | 0.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 673,067.00 | 673,067.00 | 56,043.20 | 66,527.15 | 550,496.65 | 18.21% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 291,000.00 | 291,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 291,000.00 | 0.00% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 1,000,000.00 | 1,000,000.00 | 56,043.20 | 66,527.15 | 877,429.65 | 12.26% |
| 21 | K220710 | CY '22 Tribal Courts Program | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 253,732.00 | 1,409,621.00 | 521,364.50 | 0.00 | 888,256.50 | 36.99% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 8,575.00 | 86,713.00 | 2,675.83 | 32,787.04 | 51,250.13 | 40.90% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 262,307.00 | 1,496,334.00 | 524,040.33 | 32,787.04 | 939,506.63 | 37.21% |
| 22 | K220740 | CY'22 DOM ABUSE PRTCN/CHLD WELF | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 582,319.00 | 582,319.00 | 59,658.72 | 0.00 | 522,660.28 | 10.25% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 71,095.00 | 71,095.00 | 319.52 | 0.00 | 70,775.48 | 0.45% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 653,414.00 | 653,414.00 | 59,978.24 | 0.00 | 593,435.76 | 9.18% |
| | | Total P.L. 93-638 Funds: | \$7,044,411.00 | \$15,414,279.05 | \$12,074,401.71 | \$225,942.75 | \$3,113,934.59 | 79.80% |
| | | Judicial Branch External Funds & P.L. 93-638 Grand Total: | \$8,923,401.00 | \$17,793,269.05 | \$12,249,027.67 | \$225,942.75 | \$5,318,298.63 | 70.11% |
| No. | Business Unit/Object Code | Program/Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
| 23 | K201506 | US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (CARES ACT) | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 334,029.00 | 61,242.57 | 61,242.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 7,475,923.00 | 1,871,924.41 | 1,871,924.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 1,823,911.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | #DIV/0! |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 9,633,863.00 | 1,933,166.98 | 1,933,166.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00% |
| 24 | K211518 | US TREASURY - Judicial Branch (APRA) | | | | | | |
| | 2001 | Personnel Expenses | 272,786.00 | 272,786.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 272,786.00 | 0.00% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 5,603,899.00 | 5,603,899.00 | 0.00 | 10,540.00 | 5,593,359.00 | 0.19% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | 5,876,685.00 | 5,876,685.00 | 0.00 | 10,540.00 | 5,866,145.00 | 0.18% |
| Overall Breakdown of General Funds, NNIJ, External Funds, P.L. 93-638 Funds and US Treasury | | | | | | | | |
| | 2000 | Personnel Expenses | 21,650,286.00 | 29,021,711.86 | 19,337,839.38 | 0.00 | 9,683,872.48 | 66.63% |
| | 3000-7000 | Operating Expenses | 17,314,917.00 | 14,091,905.68 | 4,123,186.30 | 447,568.86 | 9,521,150.52 | 32.44% |
| | 9000 | Capital Outlay | 2,234,911.00 | 645,375.49 | 95,175.49 | 0.00 | 550,200.00 | 14.75% |
| | 9500 | Matching & Indirect Cost | 241,912.00 | 322,721.00 | 21,577.80 | 0.00 | 301,143.20 | 6.69% |
| | 2000 | Expenses | \$41,442,026.00 | \$44,081,714.03 | \$23,577,778.97 | \$447,568.86 | \$20,056,366.20 | 54.50% |

XI. JUDICIAL BRANCH FINES AND FEES COLLECTION

| FY 2022 3rd Quarter - Budget Status Report as of 6/30/22 - BU 107008 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Object Code | Description | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Actuals - YTD | Encumbrances | Budget Available | % Expensed of Total |
| 1400 | Financial Revenues | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.53 | 0.00 | (60.53) | |
| 1600 | Fines & Court Fees | (500,000.00) | (500,000.00) | (354,763.98) | 0.00 | (145,236.02) | 70.95% |
| 1850 | Other Revenue Sources | 0.00 | 0.00 | (11,214.60) | 0.00 | 11,214.60 | #DIV/0! |
| 1000 | Revenues | (\$500,000.00) | (\$500,000.00) | (\$365,918.05) | \$0.00 | (\$134,081.95) | 73.18% |
| Court Fines & Fees Collected by Quarter | | | | | | | |
| Object Code | Description | FY 2022 1st Qtr. | FY 2022 2nd Qtr. | FY 2022 3rd Qtr. | FY 2022 4th Qtr. | TOTAL - YTD | |
| 1600 | Fines & Court Fees | | | | | | |
| 1611 | District Court - Chinle | 1,440.00 | 2,464.60 | 2,181.45 | | 6,086.05 | |
| 1612 | District Court - Crownpoint | 1,704.20 | 652.70 | 2,975.75 | | 5,332.65 | |
| 1613 | District Court - Kayenta | 606.05 | 1,736.27 | 1,685.60 | | 4,027.92 | |
| 1614 | District Court - Ramah | 375.00 | 1,970.00 | 2,410.00 | | 4,755.00 | |
| 1615 | District Court - Shiprock | 635.40 | 679.15 | 1,345.65 | | 2,660.20 | |
| 1616 | District Court - Tuba City | 3,506.10 | 3,840.01 | 4,116.55 | | 11,462.66 | |
| 1617 | District Court - Window Rock | 1,356.00 | 1,105.25 | 2,142.20 | | 4,603.45 | |
| 1618 | District Court - Dilkon | 235.00 | 1,110.00 | 795.00 | | 2,140.00 | |
| 1619.02 | District Court - Aneth | 155.00 | 35.00 | 455.00 | | 645.00 | |
| 1619.04 | District Court - Dzil Yijiin | 218.40 | 680.00 | 140.00 | | 1,038.40 | |
| 1610 | Dist. Fines & Court Fees Total: | \$10,231.15 | \$14,272.98 | \$18,247.20 | \$0.00 | \$42,751.33 | |
| 1620 | Family | | | | | | |
| 1621 | Family Court - Alamo | 130.00 | 35.00 | 265.00 | | 430.00 | |
| 1622 | Family Court - Chinle | 495.00 | 1,075.00 | 1,275.00 | | 2,845.00 | |
| 1623 | Family Court - Crownpoint | 685.00 | 565.00 | 890.00 | | 2,140.00 | |
| 1624 | Family Court - Kayenta | 445.00 | 575.00 | 590.00 | | 1,610.00 | |
| 1625 | Family Court - Ramah | 47.10 | 45.00 | 20.00 | | 112.10 | |
| 1626 | Family Court - Shiprock | 635.25 | 695.00 | 1,624.10 | | 2,954.35 | |
| 1627 | Family Court - Tohajiilee | 355.05 | 20.00 | 16.65 | | 391.70 | |
| 1628 | Family Court - Tuba City | 1,151.95 | 541.00 | 1,070.75 | | 2,763.70 | |
| 1629 | Family Court - Window Rock | 1,155.00 | 690.00 | 1,640.00 | | 3,485.00 | |
| 1630 | Family Court - Dilkon | 335.00 | 230.00 | 635.00 | | 1,200.00 | |
| 1631.02 | Family Court - Aneth | 190.00 | 355.00 | 280.00 | | 825.00 | |
| 1631.04 | Family Court - Dzil Yijiin | 195.00 | 755.00 | 790.00 | | 1,740.00 | |
| 1620 | Family Court Total: | \$5,819.35 | \$5,581.00 | \$9,096.50 | \$0.00 | \$20,496.85 | |
| 1640 | Circuit | | | | | | |
| 1642 | Circuit Court - Alamo | 22.75 | 39.70 | 50.45 | | 112.90 | |
| 1644 | Circuit Court - Tohajiilee | 157.00 | 35.00 | 275.60 | | 467.60 | |
| 1640 | Circuit Court Total: | \$179.75 | \$74.70 | \$326.05 | \$0.00 | \$580.50 | |
| 1650 | Supreme | | | | | | |
| 1652 | Supreme Court - WR | 480.00 | 420.00 | 660.00 | | 1,560.00 | |
| 1650 | Supreme Court Total: | \$480.00 | \$420.00 | \$660.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,560.00 | |
| 1601 | Court Total: | \$16,710.25 | \$20,348.68 | \$28,329.75 | \$0.00 | \$65,388.68 | |

| Object Code | Description | FY 2022 1st Qtr. | FY 2022 2nd Qtr. | FY 2022 3rd Qtr. | FY 2022 4th Qtr. | TOTAL - YTD |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1660 | Public Safety Fines | | | | | |
| 1661 | Traffic | | | | | |
| 1662 | Traffic Fines - Alamo | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.00 |
| 1663 | Traffic Fines - Chinle | 4,100.00 | 8,062.00 | 16,763.00 | | 28,925.00 |
| 1664 | Traffic Fines - Crownpoint | 6,325.80 | 6,987.50 | 20,788.50 | | 34,101.80 |
| 1665 | Traffic Fines - Kayenta | 33,318.50 | 27,330.00 | 22,010.00 | | 82,658.50 |
| 1666 | Traffic Fines - Ramah | 2,167.50 | 9,736.00 | 7,744.00 | | 19,647.50 |
| 1667 | Traffic Fines - Shiprock | 2,780.00 | 4,765.00 | 10,230.00 | | 17,775.00 |
| 1668 | Traffic Fines - Tohajiilee | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| 1669 | Traffic Fines - Tuba City | 23,134.00 | 11,181.00 | 33,480.00 | | 67,795.00 |
| 1670 | Traffic Fines - Window Rock | 2,160.00 | 6,890.00 | 9,582.50 | | 18,632.50 |
| 1671 | Traffic Fines - Dilkon | 4,575.00 | 3,970.00 | 9,095.00 | | 17,640.00 |
| 1672.02 | Traffic Fines - Aneth | 695.00 | 580.00 | 450.00 | | 1,725.00 |
| 1672.04 | Traffic Fines - Dzil Yijjin | 200.00 | 200.00 | 75.00 | | 475.00 |
| 1661 | Traffic Total: | \$79,455.80 | \$79,701.50 | \$130,218.00 | \$0.00 | \$289,375.30 |
| 1682 | Restitution | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1600 | Fines & Court Fees Totals: | \$96,166.05 | \$100,050.18 | \$158,547.75 | \$0.00 | \$354,763.98 |
| Judicial District Court Fines & Fees Summary: | | | | | | |
| 1600 | Fines & Court Fees | 10,231.15 | 14,272.98 | 18,247.20 | 0.00 | 42,751.33 |
| 1620 | Family | 5,819.35 | 5,581.00 | 9,096.50 | 0.00 | 20,496.85 |
| 1640 | Circuit | 179.75 | 74.70 | 326.05 | 0.00 | 580.50 |
| 1650 | Supreme | 480.00 | 420.00 | 660.00 | 0.00 | 1,560.00 |
| 1661 | Traffic | 79,455.80 | 79,701.50 | 130,218.00 | 0.00 | 289,375.30 |
| 1682 | Restitution | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Grand Totals: | \$96,166.05 | \$100,050.18 | \$158,547.75 | \$0.00 | \$354,763.98 |